07 June 2017 Hi Matthew,

I have started work on the above, and have some initial questions for you and the qualifying body. I have used the clerk at Great Glen as the first point of contact, please advise me if this should alter?

- 1. There is reference to a Village Design Statement for Great Glen. I can't immediately find this online so can an electronic version be sent to me please. Has this been included as originally written in the neighbourhood plan, or has the text been amended?
- 2. One of the Reg16 responses refers to two documents from the District Council concerned with Local Green Space, dated 2014 and 2016. I have only found one fairly informal background paper which does not seem to be dated. Can you please confirm and send whatever the District has prepared on this subject.
- 3. I have not received any comments from the District at the Reg16 stage were none made, or is this an oversight?
- 4. Does the highway authority or the LPA have existing parking standards?

That's all for now, many thanks in advance,

Liz Examiner Great Glen Neighbourhood Plan.

LPA response 8/6/2017

Liz

Thank you, Lesley Sanderson (Clerk to the Parish Council) is the point of contact in locally.

I will answer the queries I can in order.

- 1. Village Design Statement The Qualifying Body should be able to provide this Lesley, can you send this document to Liz please?
- 2. The Local Green Space background paper was completed in autumn 2015 after a call for sites of sites suitable for Local Green Space from parishes in 2012 and 2013. The sites in Great Glen that are proposed to be designated as LGS in the new Local Plan are the post office green and land outside the chemist (LGS/GRTG/1 and LGS/GRTG2). We consider that this does not prevent the Neighbourhood Plan from designating further sites, and we will amend sites in the Local Plan to ensure there is no duplication of designation as LGS. The link to the document is here
 - http://www.harborough.gov.uk/directory_record/1166/local_green_space_background_paper . I am able to send a hard copy if required.
- 3. There were no additional comments from HDC officers for the 2017 submission for regulation 16. Officers had made extensive comments on draft plans throughout preparation and at regulation 14. I can confirm that all HDC Planning Policy and Development Management Officers were notified of the consultation.
- 4. The Highway Authority has parking standards in their 6Cs design guide https://resources.leicestershire.gov.uk/environment-and-planning/planning/the-6cs-design-guide

Examiner Enquiry Great Glen Neighbourhood Plan June 2017

Hope this helps

Regards

Matthew

8 June 2017

Many thanks Matthew.

Could you also please confirm whether or not Harborough accepts it doesn't have a 5 year land supply currently?

Best wishes

Liz

Examiner

LPA Response 9 June 2017

Liz

I can confirm Harborough does not have a five year housing land supply. The attached is the position as at 30 Nov 2016. There has been an update, but it has not yet been published. Regards

Matthew

9 June 2017

Hi Matthew,

I see the reference in the Bovis Homes submission to a 2016 document is the Background Paper – I have noted the date of Autumn 2015 on this. They also refer to a 2014 "Green Space Submission Report" 2014 – do you have this, is it a committee report?

Best wishes

Liz

Examiner

LPA Response 9 June 2017

Liz

The 2014 reports were briefing notes to the Executive Advisory Panel. I attach the report from Jan 2014 and July 2014 with appendices.

Please note that the Local Green Space designations were officer recommendations only . I also attach the notes of the meetings.

The sites proposed to be included in the Local Plan for Great Glen are as follows:

Table D.9Local Green Space

Location Reference Title

GI4r Great Glen LGS/GRTG/1 Post Office Green Great Glen

GI4s LGS/GRTG/2 Grassed Area Outside Chemist GG

Regards

Matthew

Attachments

8a LGS simple report
8 Local Green Space briefing note Jan 2014
6 Local Green Space Briefing note June 2014
Appendix 1 v2 193 pages LGS Assessment and recommendations

Local Planning 22-07-2014 Notes

Notes 30 Jan 2014

11 June 2017

Hi Again Matthew,

From the introduction to the GGNP the following suggests that a previous plan was withdrawn after an initial Reg16 consultation by Harborough District Council, and thus this is a re-submission – presumably by mutual agreement. Is this correct?

"This is a re-submission of the Neighbourhood Plan to address consultation responses made during the Regulation 16 consultation and a revised housing target being considered by Harborough District Council in the preparation of the new Local Plan, which is scheduled for Adoption in September 2018"

A brief outline of the circumstances of the plan development would be appreciated – or a link to a document explaining it.

Many thanks

liz

LPA response 12 June 2017

Liz

The withdrawal of the initial Reg. 16 submission (July 2016) by GGPC was by mutual agreement.

The second submission in January 2017 has been determined by the LPA not to require a full SEA. I have attached the screening and determination.

All the statutory bodies have been notified as part of the screening process and reg 16 consultation. You will not that Historic England have acknowledged the removal of the Reserve Site policy in the latest submission and have no further comments to make.

The attached document shows the timeline and the responses to consultation of the statutory consultees.

I trust this will be sufficient, but if any further information is required please let me know

Regards

Matthew

Attachments

Great Glen SEA determination May 2017

Further LPA response 19 June 2017

Liz

You are correct that the Plan was with drawn by the QB after the first Reg 16 consultation.

Please find attached the final screening report and determination for Great Glen NDP.

The determination of the LPA is that a full SEA is not required.

The Statutory Consultees have been consulted twice (2015 and 2016) on the policies of draft plans, and one comment on the July 2016 version from Historic England suggested that a full SEA may be required because of the Reserve Site that had been added between the first and second screening reports. (Note HE had no objections to the finding s of the first screening report in 2015 without the Reserve Site)

As a consequence of this comment the QB withdrew the plan, made some amendments to delete the Reserve Site and resubmitted the Plan.

We have been able to undertake the screening and determination based on the previous responses from the Statutory Consultees, and have now issued this determination to HE, NE and EA.

In addition, Historic England responded to the Regulation 16 consultation in March 2017 stating:

Great Glen Neighbourhood Development Plan

Thank you for consulting us on the Great Glen Neighbourhood Development Plan (re-submission document).

Further to our letter of 4 October 2016, we note the omission of the housing allocations and offer no further comments.

This has been included in the summary of responses for regulation 16.

I hope this is satisfactory and that the text in the report is self explanatory.

If you need any further information please let me know.

Regards

Matthew

Enquiry 19 June 2017 Thanks Matthew,

This has dealt with the issue of SEA. However I cannot find any reference to consideration of whether or not an Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) is required or not in the document. You

will be aware that both SEA and HRA relate to EU directives, and thus bear directly on whether or not the basic conditions have been met. Have you had any correspondence with Natural England on the subject of HRA?

Many thanks Liz Examiner Great Glen NP

LPA response 19 June 2017

Liz

I believe Page 3 of the determination deals with this. Sean Mahoney from Natural England responded in 2015

Natural England Sean Mahoney

4/12/2015 Planning consultation: Great Glen Neighbourhood Plan Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening Report

Thank you for your consultation on the above document which was received by Natural England on 20 November 2015.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

Natural England welcomes the opportunity to comment on the screening report to determine whether or not the Great Glen Neighbourhood Plan (GGNP) requires a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) in accordance with the European Directive 2001/42/EC and associated Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

I can confirm that Natural England concurs with the report's conclusion that it is unlikely there will be any significant environmental effects arising from the Great Glen Neighbourhood Plan Pre-Submission Draft that were not covered in the Sustainability Appraisal of the Adopted Harborough Core Strategy. As such, the Great Glen Neighbourhood Plan does not require a full Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA). Natural England also agrees that the Great Glen Neighbourhood Plan Pre-Submission Draft alone, or in combination with other plans, is unlikely to have an adverse impact on any Natura 2000 sites either alone or in combination and therefore no further assessment work under the Habitats Regulations is required.

I have not had any further correspondence with Natural England on this matter, but I am more than happy to clarify with Natural England if this is not sufficient.

I also attach the detailed assessment of each policy of the Great Glen Neighbourhood Plan policies against:

- Core Strategy,
- NPPF,
- potential effects on Historic and/environmental consideration
- Strategic Environmental Assessment
- likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (Ensors Pool approx. 30km away), and
- Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)

I look forward to hearing from you with regard to this.

Evaminar	Enquir	Crost 1	Clan	Maia	hhaurh	$\sim \sim \sim$	Dlan	luna	2017
Examiner	LIIGUII V	Great	Glett	INCIR	HDOUHH	oou	riaii J	Julie	ZU1/

Regards

Matthew

Attachments

<u>GGNDP determination – detail</u>

22 June 2017

Thanks Matthew

Your assessment combined with the report of the email from Natural England will be fine. I can see from the magic map that there are no Natura 2000 sites nearby.