HDC Initial Equality Impact Assessment Form EIA1 (Updated January 2008)

| Service Area/Section Change Services | | S | | Persons undertaking the assessment (please also state name of contact officer) | | | Head of Change | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Name of policy or function to be assessed | | У | Date of assessment | | 10 th January 2008 | New or exist | ting policy | Existing | |
| Briefly describe the aims, objective or purpose of the policy, or service function. | | | To ensure the security, confidentiality and accuracy of HDC's ICT systems and the data in them. | | | | | | |
| Are there any associated objectives of the policy/function? How do these objectives meet or hinder other policies, values, or objectives of HDC? | | | To use for ICT business transformation, increase effectiveness and efficiency, improving service – and achieve savings. Supports HDC's change agenda. | | | | | | |
| Who is intended to benefit from this policy and in what way? | | | People and businesses on which HDC holds data: confidentiality of personal and commercial information. HDC staff: compliance with legislation and HDC-internal guidance (avoidance of disciplinary action). | | | | | | |
| What outcomes are wanted from this policy/function and for whom? | | | No breaches of confidentiality or violation of legislation (for example under the Data protection Act). Increased reputation for HDC as a "trusted" partner on personal and business levels. No action necessary against staff for violations, e.g. minimise disciplinary action. Avoidance of financial loss (damage to ICT systems, loss of ICT equipment). | | | | | | |
| 5. | What factors/force contribute/detract outcomes? | es could from the intended | Changes to the impact of | | | mation Act or Data Pr | otection Act | could either s | strengthen or reduce |

| 6. | Who are the main stakeholders in relation to this policy? | Staff, elected members, citizens and businesses. | | | 7. Who implements the policy/function and is responsible for the policy? | Head of Change is responsible for updating the policy. Heads of Service are responsible for ensuring their staff are familiar with the contents. Nominated Officers for each application are also responsible for implementing regarding their systems. |
|-----|---|--|----------|--|---|---|
| 8. | 3. Is there an identified potential for the policy/function to have a differential impact on racial groups? | | | N | NO | |
| • | What existing evidence (eit for this? | ther presumed or otherwise |) do you | Policy is based on best practic service areas, UNISON, Wellan | e. Consultation has taken place with all dinternal Audit. | |
| 9. | Is there an identified potential for the policy/function to have a differential impact due to gender? | | | N | No | |
| • | What existing evidence (eit for this? | ther presumed or otherwise) |) do you | This policy is gender neutral at members using HDC ICT syste | nd applies to all HDC staff and elected ms and equipment. | |
| 10 | . Is there an identified potent to have a differential impac | | Y | YES | | |
| • | What existing evidence (eit for this? | ther presumed or otherwise) | do you | | t software which is required to assist installed on HDC ICT equipment provided ces staff. | |
| 11. | . Is there an identified potent to have a differential impact orientation? | | Y | N | YES | |
| • | What existing evidence (eit for this? | ther presumed or otherwise | do you | This policy will provide greater equality monitoring purposes. | security for protecting data gathered for | |

| 12. Is there an identified potential for the policy/function to have a differential impact due to age? | Y | N | NO |
|--|----------|--|----|
| What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise for this? |) do you | This policy is age neutral and applies to all HDC staff and elected members using HDC ICT systems and equipment. | |

| 13. Is there an identified potential for the policy/function to have a differential impact due to religious belief? | Υ | N | NO |
|---|--------|---|-----|
| What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) for this? | do you | This policy is "religious belief" orientation neutral and applies to all HDC staff and elected members using HDC ICT systems and equipment. | |
| 14. Is there an identified potential for the policy/function to have a differential impact on any other groups of people? | Υ | N | NO |
| What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) for this? | do you | | |
| 15. Could any differential impact identified in points 9-14 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy? | Y | N | NO |
| 16. Can any adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one or more groups or any other reason? | Y | N | N/A |
| 17. Should the policy proceed to a full impact assessment? | Y | N | NO |
| 18. If no, are there any recommended changes required to the policy to improve it around the equality agenda? | NO | | |

| Additional notes/guidance (Policy Officer) | | |
|--|----------|--------|
| | | |
| Signed (Completing | officer) | Date// |
| Signed (Equality office | cer) | Date// |
| Signed (Head of Ser | vice) | Date// |