

HDC Initial Equality Impact Assessment Form (EIA1)

| | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Service Area/Section | Customer Services (Community Safety Team) | Persons undertaking the assessment (please also state name of contact officer) | Peter Rowbotham |
| Name of policy or function to be assessed | Chill Out Bus | Date of assessment | 16 th July 2009 |
| | | New or existing policy | Existing |
| 1. Briefly describe the aims, objective or purpose of the policy, or service function. | Provide a mobile youth facility for young people within the district. | | |
| 2. Are there any associated objectives of the policy/function? | To reduce the amount of anti social behaviour within the District. | | |
| 3. Who is intended to benefit from this policy and in what way? | Young people can access the service to access advice, information and other services. | | |
| 4. What outcomes are wanted from this policy/function and for whom? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A reduction in anti social behaviour. • Better use of a community facility • Sustainable service used by a variety of organisations | | |
| 5. How do these outcomes meet or hinder other policies, values, or objectives of HDC? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The services included in the review make a contribution to the objectives set out in Harborough 2010 – a vision for South Leicestershire and the Leicestershire Together priorities. • Children and Young People, and Safer Communities are two of the seven Local Area Agreement Blocks. The Chill Out Bus will have some influence on the Council's performance in these keys areas. | | |
| 6. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the intended outcomes? | If the Bus was not utilised for young peoples activities. | | |
| 7. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to this policy? | VASL The District Council The Community Safety Partnership | 8. Who implements the policy/function and is responsible for the policy? | Will be passed onto VASL – with terms to ensure delivery of policy. Community Safety Team will still utilise the Bus as required. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| 9. Are there any concerns that the policy/function could have a differential impact on racial groups? | Y | N | No |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? | | | The Bus is available to young people regardless of race. There is no evidence to suggest that people are excluded due to race. |
| 10. Are there any concerns that the policy/function could have a differential impact due to gender? | Y | N | No |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? | | | The Bus is available to young people regardless of gender. There is no evidence to suggest that people are excluded due to gender. |
| 11. Are there any concerns that the policy/function could have a differential impact due to disability? | Y | N | Yes |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? | | | There is no easy access on to the bus for those with mobility issues, especillay those in wheel chairs. |
| 12. Are there any concerns that the policy/function could have a differential impact due to sexual orientation? | Y | N | No |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? | | | The Bus is available to young people regardless of sexual orientation. There is no evidence to suggest that people are excluded due to this. |
| 13. Are there any concerns that the policy/function could have a differential impact due to age? | Y | N | The Chill Out Bus is deliberately aimed at young people – generally 12 to 14 year olds. |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? | | | Feedback from the service. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|
| 14. Are there any concerns that the policy/function could have a differential impact due to religious belief? | Y | N | No. |
| • What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? | This is open all faiths. | | |
| 15. Are there any concerns that the policy/function could have a differential impact due to people having dependants/caring responsibilities? | Y | N | No |
| • What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this? | It is presumed that the vast majority of young people do not have caring responsibilities. However, it is possible that some may provide care to other members of their family. | | |
| 16. Could any differential impact identified in 9-15 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy? | Y | N | No |
| 17. Can any adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one or more groups or any other reason? | Y | N | No |
| 18. Should the policy proceed to a full impact assessment? | Y | N | No |
| 19. If no, are there any recommended changes required to the policy to improve it around the equality agenda? | Would suggest that the access issue be addressed as part of the VASL refurbishment project. | | |

| | |
|--|--|
| Additional notes/guidance (Policy Officer) | |
|--|--|

Signed (completing officer) Date / /

Signed (completing officer) Date / /

Signed (Head of Service) Date / /