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i. Introduction

1.1 This screening report is used to determine whether or not the contents of the North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan (NP) requires a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) in accordance with the European Directive 2001/42/EC and associated Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004. Harborough District Council has further determined the requirement for a full SEA in the light of statutory consultees responses and a detailed assessment of each NDP policy as contained in Appendix 4.

1.2 The LPA has determined that a full SEA is not required for the North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan.

1.3 The purpose of the North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan is to reflect the desires of the community and consider issues such as:

-- Policies in relation to housing provision and need;
-- Protecting important views;
-- Safeguarding the aquifer and introducing policies relating to biodiversity;
-- Tackling signage issues within the village;
-- Policies to address car parking issues within the village;
-- The protection of important community assets;
-- The incorporation of the Village Design Statement into the Neighbourhood Plan;
-- Protection of important local buildings and structures and open spaces of local importance; and
-- Protecting businesses and supporting business expansion in appropriate locations.

1.4 The core objectives of the Examination Version Neighbourhood Plan at December 2016 are based on the key issues raised by local people. They have been summarised and refined by the Steering Group to form the basis of the Neighbourhood Plan for North Kilworth.

1.5 The North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan specifically considers the following:

- **POLICY NK1: GENERAL POLICY PRINCIPLE** - Where there are no policies in this Plan relevant to a planning application or development proposal, the provisions of relevant National and District wide planning policies apply.

- **POLICY NK2: HOUSING PROVISION** - Having regard to dwellings already constructed or with planning permission, the remaining housing provision for North Kilworth Parish will be a target of a minimum of 24 new dwellings over the period 2015 to 2031, which will be met within the housing site identified in NK3 and
POLICY NK3: HOUSING PROVISION -
Housing Provision within the Limits to Development (See Proposals Map Figure 4) will be supported at the following site to meet, along with windfall development, the housing requirement of a target of 24 dwellings:
Land at the corner of Pincet Lane and Lutterworth Road (opposite the White Lion). Development of up to around 20 units will be supported subject to the following criteria:
• At least 40% of the dwellings should be affordable;
• There should be a mix of housing types in accordance with Policy NK5 of the Plan and other evidence of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment;
• A pedestrian crossing linking the site to the garage and White Lion should be provided across the A 4304;
• A green space is to be provided as a buffer between the new development and the existing houses on the eastern edge of the site
• Any Planning Application must demonstrate the adoption of measures to minimise surface water run-off in line with the recommendations of the 2004 hydro-geological study of the North Kilworth aquifer.
• Development must limit the impact of light pollution, including from security lights and from artificial externally visible light sources. The use of on-street lighting will be appropriate and sympathetic to the context and consistent with the density and output of the lighting used in the surrounding area.

Two reserve sites will be considered for housing development if:
a) It is required to remediate a substantial shortfall in the supply of housing land due to the failure of existing housing sites in North Kilworth to deliver the anticipated scale of development required; or
b) It becomes necessary to provide for additional homes in the Parish in accordance with any new development plan document that replaces the Harborough Local Plan.

The following two sites are put forward as reserve sites to be brought forward should additional new housing be required within the lifetime of the Plan:
Reserve site 1 - Land on South Kilworth Road (adjacent to the all-weather pitch) shown on the Proposals map (figure 4). Development of up to around 20 units will be supported subject to the following criteria:
• At least 40% of the dwellings should be affordable;
• There should be a mix of housing types in accordance with Policy NK5 of the Plan and other evidence of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment;
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- A pedestrian crossing linking the site to the Primary School should be provided across South Kilworth Road;
- Important views across to Kilworth House should be maintained;
- A dual use public car park should be provided to serve both the housing development and the adjacent Primary School;
- Any Planning Application must demonstrate the adoption of measures in line with the recommendations of the 2004 hydro-geological study of the North Kilworth aquifer;
- Development must limit the impact of light pollution, including from security lights and from artificial externally visible light sources. The use of on-street lighting will be appropriate and sympathetic to the context and consistent with the density and output of the lighting used in the surrounding area.

Reserve site 2 - Land south of Station Road opposite Rose Dale as shown on the proposals map (figure 4). Development will be permitted subject to the following criteria:
- At least 40% of the dwellings should be affordable;
- There should be a mix of housing types in accordance with Policy NK5 of the Plan and other evidence of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment;
- Any development should incorporate an area of open space between the new houses and the existing dwellings on Elmcroft Road;
- A pedestrian crossing linking the site to the extant affordable homes development, Millennium Close and Rose Dale should be provided across the A 4304;
- A footpath to be created from the site into the area known as the Bogs to create traffic free access to the core of the Village and school;
- An “eastern” play area to be created on the route of this footpath within the Manor Farm Yard boundaries;
- An extension to the Bogs Nature reserve from the area of Manor Farm Yard also containing the footpath;
- Any Planning Application must demonstrate the adoption of measures in line with the recommendations of the 2004 hydro-geological study of the North Kilworth aquifer.
- Development must limit the impact of light pollution, including from security lights and from artificial externally visible light sources. The use of on-street lighting will be appropriate and sympathetic to the context and consistent with the density and output of the lighting used in the surrounding area.

- POLICY NK4: WINDFALL SITES –
  Small scale development proposals for infill and redevelopment sites will be supported where:
  - It is within the existing built up area of the Village of North Kilworth (as defined by the Limits of Development identified, see fig 4);
  - It helps to meet the identified housing requirement for North Kilworth;
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• It respects the shape and form of North Kilworth in order to maintain its distinctive character and enhance it where possible;
• It is of an appropriate scale which reflects the size, character and level of service provision within North Kilworth;
• It retains existing important natural boundaries such as trees, hedges and streams;
• It provides for a safe vehicular and pedestrian access to the site;
• It does not result in an unacceptable loss of amenity for neighbouring occupiers by reason of loss of privacy, loss of daylight, visual intrusion or noise;
• It incorporates sustainable design and construction techniques to meet high standards for energy and water efficiency, including the use of renewable and low carbon energy technology, as appropriate;
• It does not reduce garden space to an extent where it adversely impacts on the character of the area, or the amenity of neighbours and the occupiers of the dwelling; and
• Traffic generation and parking impact created does not result in an unacceptable direct or cumulative impact on congestion or road and pedestrian safety.

POLICY NK5: HOUSING AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT OUTSIDE THE VILLAGE OF NORTH KILWORTH -
Outside the Village of North Kilworth as defined by the Limits to Development (see figure 4: Proposals Map) there will be a strong presumption against new housing and other forms of development, which will only be allowed in special circumstances in accordance with national and district wide planning policies.

POLICY NK6: HOUSING MIX -
Development proposals for new housing will be expected to demonstrate how the proposal will meet current and future housing needs in North Kilworth. Priority should be given to smaller properties suitable for young families (e.g. two or three bedrooms) and those suitable for older people that wish to downsize in order to meet an identified need.

POLICY NK7: AFFORDABLE HOUSING -
Development proposals for new housing where there is a net gain of ten or more dwellings should provide at least 40% affordable housing in accordance with district wide planning policies that are suitable to meet the current and future housing needs of North Kilworth.

Development proposals that make affordable housing available for local people through shared ownership will be encouraged.

In exceptional circumstances, off-site provision and/or commuted payments in lieu of on-site provision will be supported where this
would secure an equivalent or enhanced provision of affordable housing in the Plan area and is supported by the Parish Council.

- **POLICY NK8: AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND LOCAL PEOPLE** -
  Priority should be given to local residents, or people who work in the Parish or an adjoining Parish in the allocation of affordable housing through Section 106 agreements or similar. In this context, ‘local’ is defined as 10 years permanent and continuous residence in the Parish or an adjoining Parish, or demonstration of an essential need to live close to someone who fits that requirement.

- **POLICY NK9: DESIGN QUALITY** -
  Development proposals must demonstrate high quality design, form and layout consistent with the special character of the Parish, and which are in accordance with the development principles set out on North Kilworth Village Design Statement. The use of modern methods of construction will be supported.

  Design principles that apply to the Conservation Area should be applied where development is adjacent to the Conservation Area to help ensure a controlled transition between the Conservation Area and new development outside the Conservation Area.

- **POLICY NK10: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT** -
  Development should incorporate sustainable design, drainage and construction techniques and practices to meet high standards for energy and water efficiency, including the use of renewable and low carbon energy technology, as appropriate.

  If it is not possible to incorporate any such technologies or practices into the development because it would make the development unviable, then the applicant must clearly demonstrate that this is the case to the satisfaction of the local planning authority and the Parish Council.

- **POLICY NK11: DESIGN QUALITY - BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES OF LOCAL HISTORICAL AND ARCHITECTURAL INTEREST** -
  Development proposals that will affect an identified non-designated building or structure of local historical or architectural interest or its setting will be required to conserve and enhance the character, integrity and setting of that building or structure.

- **POLICY NK12: SIGNAGE** -
  There will be a general presumption against new signage proposals. Any proposal for signs should be small in scale, sympathetic in
design, limited in number and not present a distraction to motorists. Proposals for digital illuminated or neon signs will be resisted, and not allowed in the Conservation Area.

- POLICY NK13: EMPLOYMENT - Development proposals for new small scale employment related development or the expansion of existing employment uses (including homeworking) will be supported where it can be demonstrated that it will not generate unacceptable impacts (including noise, fumes, smell and vehicular movements); they respect and are compatible with the local character and surrounding uses and where appropriate protect residential amenity.

Development proposals that result in the loss of, or have a significant adverse effect on, an existing employment use will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that the site or building is not viable for employment uses, and has been marketed for at least a year.

- POLICY NK14 BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE – Proposals to provide access to a super-fast broadband service and improve the mobile telecommunication network that will serve businesses and other properties within the Parish will be supported. This may require aboveground network installations, which must be sympathetically located and designed to integrate into the landscape.

- POLICY NK15: KEY COMMUNITY SERVICES, FACILITIES AND SHOPS - The retention, provision and enhancement of a range of community services and facilities is a priority. Development proposals that result in the loss of, or have a significant adverse effect on, a community service or facility will not be supported, unless the service or facility is replaced by an equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in an equally suitable location or it can be demonstrated to the Parish Council that the service or facility is not viable or is no longer required by the community and has been marketed unsuccessfully for at least a year.

- POLICY NK16: NEW OR IMPROVED COMMUNITY FACILITIES – Proposals that improve the quality and/or range of community facilities, will be supported provided that the development:
  a) Meets the design criteria stated in Policy NK9;
  b) Will not result in unacceptable traffic movements or other disturbance to residential properties;
  c) Will not generate a need for parking that cannot be adequately catered for; and
  d) Is of a scale appropriate to the needs of the locality and conveniently accessible for residents of the village wishing to walk or cycle.
POLICY NK17: ASSETS OF COMMUNITY VALUE -
Development proposals that will result in either the loss of, or have a significant adverse effect on, an Asset of Community Value will not be permitted unless the Asset is replaced by an equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in an equally suitable location or it can be clearly demonstrated that it is no longer viable or required by the community.

POLICY NK18: PROTECTION OF LOCAL GREEN SPACES - identified local green space (see below and in Proposals Map, figure 4) will only be permitted if the community would gain equivalent benefit from the provision of suitable replacement green space or gain to the satisfaction of the Parish Council significant social, economic or environmental community benefits from an alternative facility.

1 – Public Tennis Court opposite Primary School
2 – South Kilworth Road, Sports Field
3 – Spinney off Stoney adjacent to the Golf Course
4 – Silver Jubilee Rose beds and surrounding verges to the Pincet Lane Junction
5 – St Andrews Church Yard
6 – St Andrews’ School Playing Field
7 – War Memorial, The Green
8 – The Wash Pit
9 – Grassland adjacent to the Village Hall
10 – The Bogs
11 – Old Drovers’ Road
12 - Stoney Field
13 – Millennium Green
14 – Children’s Play Area, Dag Lane
15 – Eastern Boundary off Little London and South Kilworth Road

POLICY NK 19: BIODIVERSITY -
Development proposals that affect a site of ecological value will be expected to conserve and enhance its significant features, species and habitats, and to apply the hierarchy of avoid, mitigate and compensate.

POLICY NK 20: IMPORTANT TREES AND HEDGES -
Development proposals that damage or result in the loss of trees and hedges of good arboricultural, ecological and amenity value will not normally be permitted. Proposals should be designed to retain trees and hedges of arboricultural, ecological and amenity value. Development proposals should be designed to retain such trees and must be accompanied by a tree survey that establishes the health and longevity of any affected trees.
• POLICY NK 21: LANDSCAPE -
Development proposals that have a significant effect on the special landscape of North Kilworth will be required to respect and enhance the quality, character, distinctiveness and amenity value of that landscape.

• POLICY NK22: IMPORTANT OPEN VIEWS AND VISTAS -
Development proposals that affect the identified important views and vistas (as shown in Proposals Map, figure 4) will be required to respect and enhance this by ensuring that the visual impact of development on these views is carefully controlled.
  a) Views from Stoney across and beyond to the Jurassic clay ridge known as the Northamptonshire heights;
  b) Views from South Kilworth across open paddocks towards the undulating rooftop of the Village;
  c) Street vistas down High Street toward War Memorial; from Pincet Lane towards the White Lion; and along Dag Lane towards the Church; and
  d) Views across paddocks east towards North Kilworth Hall.

• POLICY NK23: ARCHAEOLOGY AND GEOLOGY -
Development proposals that affect a site of archaeological and geological value will be expected to conserve and enhance important archaeological and geological features. Development proposals which may harm an important archaeological or geological feature will not be permitted unless effective mitigation and/or compensatory measures can be ensured.

• POLICY NK24: TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT -
Development proposals will only be permitted where the traffic generation and parking impact created by the proposal does not result in unacceptable severe direct or cumulative impact on congestion or road and pedestrian safety.

• POLICY NK25: PARKING -
Development proposals that result in the loss of or have a severe adverse effect on car parking provision will not be supported unless:
  a) It can be clearly demonstrated that the loss of parking will not have an adverse impact on existing parking issues in the nearby area; or
  b) Adequate and convenient replacement car parking spaces will be provided on the site or nearby.

At least two off-street car parking spaces shall be provided within the curtilage for each new dwelling developed within the Village of North Kilworth. Three such spaces should be provided for four-bedroom or larger dwellings.
POLICY NK26: FOOTPATHS, FOOTWAYS AND BRIDLEWAYS - Development proposals which would increase or improve (including signage) the network of cycleways, footways and footpaths especially between the Village and Kilworth House will be viewed sympathetically. Development proposals will be expected to protect any existing cycle ways, footways and footpaths.

POLICY NK27: SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS - Development proposals of one dwelling or any other single building or extension of more than 50sqm must demonstrate the adoption of measures to minimise surface and roof water runoff.

POLICY NK28: SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS - Development proposals shall not commence until full details, where required by the District Council or the Lead Local Flood Authority, of the proposed drainage schemes for surface and foul water (including details of their routing, design, and subsequent management and maintenance) have been submitted and approved.

POLICY NK29: AQUIFER - Development proposals that will have a significant adverse impact on the aquifers or on surface water drainage will not be permitted.

Policy NK30: DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS - Financial contributions towards off-site provision of neighbourhood infrastructure obtained either through the Community Infrastructure Levy or negotiated planning obligations will, as appropriate, be used for the following:

• Measures aimed at improving parking, congestion and traffic calming in the Village;
• Affordable housing;
• Pedestrian Crossing over the A4304 if not delivered through the housing provision in NK3; and
• The enhancement of public footpaths (and cycleways) to provide better connectivity within the Parish.

1.5 The legislation set out below outlines the regulations that require the need for this screening exercise. Section 4 provides a screening assessment of the likely significant environmental effects of the Neighbourhood Plan and the need for a full SEA.

2. Legislative Background

2.1 The basis for Strategic Environmental Assessments and Sustainability Appraisal legislation is European Directive 2001/42/EC and was transposed into English law by
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the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004, or SEA Regulations. Detailed Guidance of these regulations can be found in the Government publication 'A Practical Guide to the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive' (ODPM 2005).

2.2 Schedule 2 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 makes provision in relation to the Habitats Directive. The Directive requires that any plan or project, likely to have a significant effect on a European site, must be subject to an appropriate assessment. To achieve this, paragraph 1 prescribes a basic condition that the making of a neighbourhood plan is not likely to have a significant effect on a European site or a European offshore marine site. Paragraphs 2 to 5 of the Schedule amend the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 so as to apply its provisions to neighbourhood development orders and neighbourhood plans. In particular paragraph 4 inserts new regulation 78A which provides that a neighbourhood development order may not grant planning permission for development which is likely to have a significant effect on a European site or a European offshore marine site.

2.3 Schedule 3 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 makes provision in relation to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Directive. The Directive requires that EIA development must be subject to a development consent process. To enable this, Schedule 3 prescribes a basic condition that applies where development which is the subject of a proposal for a neighbourhood development order is of a type caught by the EIA Directive, and applies the relevant provisions of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2011(3) (“the EIA Regulations”) with appropriate modifications (regulation 33 and paragraphs 1 to 4 and 6 of Schedule 3). Paragraphs 5 and 7 to 13 of Schedule 3 correct errors in the EIA Regulations.

2.4 This report focuses on screening for SEA and the criteria for establishing whether a full assessment is needed in light of the Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment undertaken for the Core Strategy in 2010. A copy of the SA Report can be viewed here; Harborough District Council - Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA).

3. Criteria for Assessing the Effects of Neighbourhood Plans (the ‘plan’)

3.1 Criteria for determining the likely significance of effects referred to in Article 3(5) of Directive 2001/42/EC are set out below:

1. The characteristics of neighbourhood plans (“plan”), having regard, in particular, to
- the degree to which the plan sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources,
- the degree to which the plan influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy,

- the relevance of the plan for the integration of environmental considerations in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development,

- environmental problems relevant to the plan,

- the relevance of the plan for the implementation of community legislation on the environment (e.g. plans and programmes linked to waste-management or water protection).

2. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected, having regard, in particular, to

- the probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the effects,

- the cumulative nature of the effects,

- the trans boundary nature of the effects,

- the risks to human health or the environment (e.g. due to accidents),

- the magnitude and spatial extent of the effects (geographical area and size of the population likely to be affected),

- the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to:

  - special natural characteristics or cultural heritage,

  - exceeded environmental quality standards or limit values,

  - intensive land-use,

  - the effects on areas or landscapes which have a recognised national, Community or international protection status.

Source: Annex II of SEA Directive 2001/42/EC
4. Assessment

4.1 Black arrows indicate the process route for North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Screening Assessment.

The Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive

Is an Strategic Environmental Assessment needed? This diagram is intended as a guide to the criteria for application of the Directive to plans and programmes (PP’s). It has no legal status.

1. Is the PP subject to preparation and/or adoption by a national, regional or local authority or prepared by an authority for adoption through legislative procedures by Parliament or Government? (Art. 1(1))
   - yes: all criteria
   - no: to all criteria

2. Is the PP required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions? (Art. 2(1))
   - yes: to both criteria
   - no: to all criteria

3. Is the PP proposed for agriculture, forestry, fisheries, energy, industry, transport, waste management, water management, telecommunications, housing, town and country planning or nature? (Art. 3(1)(a))
   - yes: to both criteria
   - no: to all criteria

4. Does the PP determine the use of land or areas at local (are) or is it a minor modification of a PP subject to Art. 3.1, Art. 3.2?
   - yes: all criteria
   - no: to all criteria

5. Is the PP’s main purpose to serve national defense or civil security, or is it financial or budget PP, or is it co-financed by structural funds or EAGGF programmes 2000 to 2006? (Art. 3.1, Art. 3.2)
   - yes: all criteria
   - no: to all criteria

6. Has the PP (or of the likely effect of this PP) require an assessment under Article 5 of the Directive? (Art. 3.2(1))
   - yes: all criteria
   - no: to all criteria

7. Is it likely to have a significant effect on the environment? (Art. 3.1)
   - yes: all criteria
   - no: to all criteria

Directive requires SEA

Directive does not require SEA

*The Directive requires Member States to determine whether plans or programmes in this category are likely to have significant environmental effects. These determinations may be made on a case by case basis and/or by specifying types of plan or programme.

The diagram above illustrates the process for screening a planning document to ascertain whether a full SEA is required, from The Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive: Guidance for Planning Authorities, OJL 2007.*
4.2 The table below shows the assessment of whether the Neighbourhood Plan (NP) will require a full SEA. The questions below are drawn from the diagram above which sets out how the SEA Directive should be applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Y/N</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is the NP subject to preparation and/or adoption by a national,</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>The preparation of and adoption of the NP is allowed under The Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Localism Act 2011. The NP will be prepared by North Kilworth Parish Council (as the ‘relevant body’) and will be ‘made’ by HDC as the local authority. The preparation of NPs is subject to the following regulations: The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 and The Neighbourhood Planning (referendums) Regulations 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regional or local authority OR prepared by an authority for adoption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>through a legislative procedure by Parliament or Government? (Art. 2(a))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Is the NP required by legislative, regulatory or administrative</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Whilst the Neighbourhood Plan is not a requirement and is optional under the provisions of The Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Localism Act 2011, it will if ‘made’, form part of the Development Plan for the District. It is therefore important that the screening process considers whether it is likely to have significant effects on the natural or historic environment and hence whether SEA is required under the Directive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provisions? (Art. 2(a))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Is the NP prepared for agriculture, forestry, fisheries, energy,</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Whilst the NP covers a wide range of land use issues and allocations, it does not set the framework for future development consent of projects in Annexes I and II to the EIA Directive (see Appendix 2 for list).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industry, transport, waste management, water management, telecommunications, tourism, town and country planning or land use, AND does it set a framework for future development consent of projects in Annexes I and II (see Appendix 2) to the EIA Directive? (Art 3.2(a))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Will the NP, in view of its likely effect on sites, require an</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>The NP is unlikely to have a substantial effect on the Natura 2000 network of protected sites. A full Habitat Regulations Assessment Screening Report was carried out as part of the Core Strategy preparation process in 2011. The report concludes that the Harborough Core Strategy alone, or in combination with other plans, is unlikely to have an adverse impact on any of the Natura 2000 sites within approximately 25kms of the boundary of the district. The 3 Natura 2000 sites looked at in the Screening Report, Ensor’s Pool SAC is within 25 Km of the Neighbourhood Development Area of North Kilworth. Ensor’s Pool was found to be essentially a self contained eco system. The Habitat Regulations Assessment concluded that its vulnerabilities are very local in nature and unlikely to be caused harm by the Harborough Core Strategy. This conclusion can be assumed applicable for the North Kilworth Neighbourhood Development Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The River Mease SAC and Rutland Water SPA were also considered in the Habitat Regulations Assessment Screening Report. They lie approx. 50km and 40km respectively from North Kilworth.

The Screening Report concluded that the Core Strategy would not lead to significant adverse effects on either area given that:

- the River Mease SAC is separate to any water courses in the district and does not contribute to the water supply or drainage of the district; and
- any effects on Rutland Water SPA would be indirect and relate only to a greater number of visitors being attracted to the site from additional development in the District.

It is considered that the NP will not affect the 3 specified Natura 2000 sites over and above the impacts identified in the Habitats Regulation Assessment Screening Report carried out for the Core Strategy in 2011. Therefore, it is concluded that a full Appropriate Assessment is not deemed to be required.

The full Habitat Regulation Assessment Screening Report for the Core Strategy can be viewed at:
Habitat Regulations Screening Report

5. Does the NP determine the use of small areas at local level, OR is it a minor modification of a PP subject to Art. 3.2? (Art. 3.3)  
Y Determination of small sites at local level

6. Does the NP set the framework for future development consent of projects (not just projects in annexes to the EIA Directive)? (Art.3.4)  
Y The NP is to be used for determining future planning applications

7. Is the NP’s sole purpose to serve the national defence or civil emergency, OR is it a financial or budget PP, OR is it co-financed by structural funds or EAGGF programmes 2000 to 2006/7? (Art 3.8, 3.9)  
N No further comments

8. Is it likely to have a significant effect on the environment? (Art. 3.5)  
N The North Kilworth NP is a relatively self contained planning unit and considers sites only at a local level to meet requirement figures set out in the Core Strategy and subsequent Local Plan. The level of development proposed is not going to impact on any Natura 2000 site and the Neighbourhood Area does not have any sites of special scientific interest within it. Proposed development will not impact on any nationally recognised landscape designations. Flood risk is an issue in North Kilworth and the surrounding area and the NP
ensures that appropriate development only takes place in suitable locations which will not increase the risk of flooding in the vicinity or the wider area.

More locally, The Neighbourhood Area contains the North Kilworth Bogs and Millennium Green which are both recognised as Local Nature Reserves. These sites are recognised in the Neighbourhood Plan for retention and improvement. The Aquifer at North Kilworth is also recognised in the Neighbourhood plan for protection.

The listed buildings within the Neighbourhood Area are recognised and further protected within the NP along with other buildings that are considered important to the community (see appendix 1)

Three sites are proposed for allocation of housing. These sites have been selected taking into account such factors as current use of the land, the impact on traffic, distance to the local primary school and other important facilities and have a minimum threshold of 10 dwellings. The sites are within the built area of North Kilworth and will therefore have minimum impact on the surrounding landscape.

Whilst it is inevitable that the level of development proposed will have some impact on the environment, these impacts are not expected to be significant, in so far as they will be local and minimised.

These questions are answered using the flow diagram above. The result is given by following the logical steps shown by the black arrows on the flow diagram. Note: some of the questions may not be applicable depending on previous answers.

5. Sustainability Appraisal and SEA for New Local Plan

5.1 A number of scenarios for housing growth have been tested in the Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment for the New Local Plan. The detailed outcome for North Kilworth is shown in Appendix 3 below.

5.2 The scenarios tested and summary of the outcomes for North Kilworth is shown in the table below.
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Scenarios tested for North Kilworth

The table below sets out five distinct scenarios for North Kilworth to assess the implications of the nine strategic housing options and corresponding employment provision. The housing options and employment provision have been grouped into scenarios to reflect potential differential effects that the housing and employment options could have for North Kilworth. Therefore, if the level of housing and employment is anticipated to have very similar effects for certain options, then these have been grouped together to avoid duplication. The grouping of options has taken into account available land, the scale and rate of growth, and the sensitivity of receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Range of housing growth</th>
<th>Relevant Housing options</th>
<th>Local Employment provision*</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate growth (31-47 dwellings)</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>10 ha</td>
<td>4 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Low growth no SDA in Lutterworth (6-24 dwellings)</td>
<td>3,4, 5,7</td>
<td>10 ha</td>
<td>4 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Low growth SDA in Lutterworth (6-24 dwellings)</td>
<td>6, 8</td>
<td>10 ha</td>
<td>10 ha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excludes strategic distribution sector

Summary of effects for North Kilworth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural Environment (SA Objectives 1 and 2)</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
<th>Scenario 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built and Natural Heritage (SA Objective 3)</td>
<td>××</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Wellbeing (SA Objectives 4 and 5)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 Scenario 1 (moderate growth of 31 to 47 dwellings) is showing a moderate negative impact on Built and Natural Heritage. All scenarios have a minor positive impact on Health and Wellbeing. Scenarios 1 and 3 show a moderate positive impact on Housing and Economy. North Kilworth NDP proposes a target housing growth figure of 24 dwellings with reserve sites identified for approximately 20 dwellings should the preferred site fail to deliver housing or the housing requirements substantially change. The proposed housing allocations for North Kilworth NDP fall into the low growth scenario, indicating only a minor negative impact on Built and Natural Heritage with some positive impacts on Health and Wellbeing and Housing and Economy. The North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan has considered the negative impacts on built heritage, historical and environmental sites and sought through policies to mitigate against these. The NDP seeks to deliver development in North Kilworth that is low density and carefully designed to ensure that it is in keeping with the scale and character of the settlement. The Conservation Area (CA), Scheduled Monuments and number of listed buildings are respected within the proposed policies. Development adjacent to the Conservation Area is required to adopt the principles of the Conservation Area through policy NK9.

6. Screening and Determination February 2017

6.1 As a result of the assessment in Section 4 above it is unlikely there will be any significant environmental effects arising from the North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Examination Version Neighbourhood Plan as submitted, that were not covered in the Sustainability Appraisal of the Core Strategy and the subsequent interim Sustainability Appraisal for the emerging New Local Plan. As such, it is the consideration of the Local Planning Authority that the North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan does not require a full SEA to be undertaken.

6.2 The Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England were consulted on the Screening Report and their responses are made available below.
The Environment Agency

The Environment Agency has reviewed the attached document and we do not disagree with the conclusion given stated in paragraph 6.1.

Historic England

For the purposes of this consultation, Historic England will confine its advice to the question ‘Is it likely to have a significant effect on the environment?’ in respect of our area of concern, cultural heritage. I note that the screening assessment does not consider that a SEA is required in this instance.

We observe that the Plan proposes three housing sites adjacent to the Conservation Area and other heritage assets, including several Grade II Listed buildings. It is noted that there are current, not yet determined, planning applications pending on parts of the sites proposed; however these sites are not allocated within an adopted Local Plan nor have they been subject to SA/SEA as part of an adopted Local Plan process.

We are of the view, at this time, that there may well be significant impacts on the historic environment. It is therefore our view that a SEA is likely to be required. We understand that our views, together with the views of other statutory consultation bodies should be taken into account before the overall decision on the need for SEA is made. I should be pleased if you could send a copy of the determination when this is issued.

We would like to stress that this is based on the current information provided in the screening request and the current draft Neighbourhood Plan. To avoid any doubt, this does not reflect our obligation to provide further advice on the SEA process, and subsequent draft Plan’s.

Natural England

6.3 The LPA have determined that a full SEA is not required for the NKNDP.

6.4 The LPA has determined that the policies considered as part of the NKNDP will not have any significant environmental effects on either the natural or historic environment that require a full SEA to be undertaken.
6.5 The detailed consideration by the LPA of each policy of the NKNDP is contained in Appendix 4. Each policy has been considered against the following criteria:

- Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)
- Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan
- Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations
- Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment
- Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km
- Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)
## Appendix 1
CONSERVATION AREA AND LISTED BUILDINGS WITHIN THE PARISH OF NORTH KILWORTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Settlement features:</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation Area</td>
<td>The village of North Kilworth lies almost wholly to the south of the A4304 road, (Station Road, Lutterworth to Market Harborough). It is a network of small roads covering an oblong area of approximately 0.5 x 0.4km within the angle of Station Road and South Kilworth Road. The Conservation Area embraces almost the whole of the settlement including the paddocks between the South Kilworth Road and the village which give a setting for the village. It includes a narrow area north of the A4304 opposite the main village; this has some older buildings and completes the setting of the main road. It incorporates Landau House a fine red brick house at the western entrance with bay windows and ogee shaped mesh surround to the front door. The Conservation Area also includes the site of the former moated hall on the south-east edge of the village which now lies within the open space of the Millennium Green, together with the adjacent wetland area and springs in Cranmer Lane known as The Bogs. Cranmer Lane, the road to Husbands Bosworth before the Turnpike road was formed in 1755, has a thatched vernacular cottage in mud and brick at the former village entrance opposite the Bogs. Excluded are the modern houses off South Kilworth Road in the south, Elmcroft Road in the east (a mid 20th century development) and a group of houses on the north side of the A 4304 detached from any other development of the village.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The form of the village is a series of narrow lanes and roads, many linked by
right angles to form blocks. Two roads lead to each of Station Road and South Kilworth Road. The series of corners and short sections of road give much character to the village. There is a sense of intimacy, no major vistas, and no dominating buildings. The street scenes change with differing houses on all roads. These include timberframed and thatched cottages, formal 18th century brick houses and farmhouses, and many nineteenth century houses and cottages. The many walls, the wide verges and enclosed spaces and gardens and the interspersed paddocks give form to the village. The washpit and the well on the verges by the junction of Washpit Lane with Back Lane are significant features.

Within the network of lanes, most of which have houses and gardens alongside, are open areas and enclosed spaces. The open areas include the square of The Green, crossed by granite kerbed roads containing the War Memorial, and overlooked by 18th and 19th century houses; the bowling green in Back Street with the detached garden of Ivy House alongside and a garden with mature trees opposite. The churchyard and the church of St. Andrew at the south-east edge of the village forms a notable open area as does the triangle of grass on the main Station Road where Back Lane (formerly the main road to Rugby) drops steeply down. The pair of mid 19th century estate cottages overlooking this triangle forms an attractive break on the main road. The entrances to Back Lane here, and to the very narrow High Street by The Swan Public House are inconspicuous. Throughout the village modern housing has intermingled in the spaces between older housing, adding variety and interest to the existing. Red brick buildings with slate roofs predominate from the tiny former forge in Back Street to the elaborate 19th century brickwork and patterned clay tiles of Nether Hall near the Church. But there is still some thatch in Hawthorne Road and Back Lane. The interspersing of large gardens is a feature, often with red brick walls. The garden gates with stone capped piers at the corner of Back Lane and Hawthorne Lane, opposite the early 18th century North Kilworth Hall, are notable - as are the cast iron railings to the garden opposite Ivy House in Back Lane.
There is no central point to the village (apart from The Green); the major functional buildings of church and new school are peripheral, whereas the village hall and former Union Chapel and shop are in the straight sections of their roads. The two public houses are on the higher Station Road by the almost inconspicuous roads into the village. It is the unexpected and difference in each of the road sections of the village together with the open spaces of garden or paddock within the village road network and the lack of a cohesive physical or functional form that forms so much of the character of North Kilworth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listed buildings and buildings of local importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Church of St Andrew;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Churchyard Wall Along Dag Lane About 20 Meters South of Church of St Andrew;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conservatory Attached to North Kilworth House;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dovecote About 20 Meters West North West of Ivy House;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ivy House;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The Billet, Green Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Manor Farmhouse;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Milepost at Sp 618 836;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• North Kilworth Hall;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• North Kilworth House;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Spring Cottage Including Outbuilding Adjoining West; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tudor Cottages, Green Lane.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Locally important buildings
• Nether Hall, Church Street;
• The Old Rectory, Church Street;
• Spring Cottage, Cramner Lane;
• Honey Cottage, Dag Lane;
• The Close, The Green;
• The War Memorial;
| · The White Lion Public House;  
| · The Post House, Hawthorne Road;; and  
| · Hornby Cottage, Green Lane |
List Entry Summary for Listed Buildings in North Kilworth

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: MANOR FARMHOUSE

List Entry Number: 1061419

Location

MANOR FARMHOUSE, GREEN LANE

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 05-Jun-1992

Details

SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH THE GREEN (south side)

5/36 Manor Farmhouse

- II

House. Circa early to mid C19 refronting and partial rebuilding of C18 or earlier house. Red brick. Slate roof with 2 gable stacks and 1 ridge stack. Plinth. North front:
dentilled cornice. 2½ storeys, 3 bays. Central doorway with C19 wooden lattice surround with flat hood, and 6-panel door with overlight. To left and right, single 3-light casements with cambered arches. Above, a central 2-light casement, with to left and right, single 3-light casements, all with cambered arches. Above, a small, central 2-light casement, with to left and right, single 3-light casements, smaller than those below and all with flat arches. Interior not inspected.

Listing NGR: SP6168083430

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61680 83430

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1061419.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: NORTH KILWORTH HOUSE

List Entry Number: 1061420

Location

NORTH KILWORTH HOUSE, LUTTERWORTH ROAD

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 05-Jun-1992

Date of most recent amendment: Not applicable to this List entry.
Details

SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH LUTTERWORTH ROAD (south side)

North Kilworth House 5/33 (formerly listed under A467 Misterton Road)

II GV

Small Country house. 1888 by A E Purdie for J B N Entwisle. Brick and rendered brick with ashlar dressings. Hipped slate roofs with various rendered chimney stacks. Moulded plinth, ground and first floor cill bands, moulded cornice with pulvinated frieze and plinth parapet with corner ball finials. 2 storeys. South front: 9 bays with slightly projecting 3-bay centre topped by a pediment containing a coat of arms. Ground floor has 9 tall plain sashes with canopies, and moulded surrounds with alternating segmental and triangular pediments. Above, 9 similar though smaller sashes with similar surrounds. West front: 6 bays, with off-centre, slightly projecting, entrance bay and projecting porch with rusticated pilasters supporting a cornice with pulvinated frieze, and panelled parapet with corner ball finials. Round-headed, moulded entrance arch with keystone is supported on single Ionic columns. Round-headed inner doorway with similar moulded arch supported on single Corinthian pilasters and part-glazed double doors with plain fanlight. To the left, 3, and to the right, 2, tall plain sashes in moulded surrounds with alternating pediments, those to the left with canopy boxes. Above, 6 similar sashes, though smaller, with similar surrounds. Lower brick service wing to north has hipped slate roof with overhanging eaves, and sashes and various panelled doors with moulded surrounds. Interior contains many original features including doors, fireplaces, staircase, and an oval billiard room. Contemporary conservatory (q.v.) attached to house. Included for group value.

Listing NGR: SP6020683398

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 60206 83398

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1061420.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
This copy shows the entry on 04-Sep-2015 at 12:32:55.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: MILEPOST AT SP 618 836

List Entry Number: 1294817

Location

MILEPOST AT SP 618 836, STATION ROAD

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 05-Jun-1992

Date of most recent amendment: Not applicable to this List entry.

Details
SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH STATION ROAD (south side)

5/35 Milepost at SP 618 836

- II

Milepost. Late C19. Cast iron. Triangular. Left face inscribed, TO LUTTERWORTH 5 MILES. Oval stamp and Royal Arms of Queen Victoria on top.

Listing NGR: SP6186583556

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61865 83556

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1294817.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: TUDOR COTTAGES

List Entry Number: 1061418

Location

TUDOR COTTAGES, GREEN LANE

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 11-Jan-1955

Date of most recent amendment: 05-Jun-1992
Details

SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH GREEN LANE (south side)

5/32 Tudor Cottages (formerly 11/01/55 listed as Billet Cottages)

II

Pair of cottages. Early C17, C19. Timber-framing with brick infill, now rendered. Thatched roof with 1 gable stack and 1 rear wall stack. North front: plinth, wooden cornice, 2 storeys, 14 panels of square-framing. Ground storey from left to right: a 3-light casement with glazing bars and opening central light, then a doorway, with plank door, followed by another similar window, then a small C20 window followed by another 3-light casement, another doorway with plank door and then a fixed light window with glazing bars. Above, from left to right: a 2-light casement with glazing bars, then another similar casement, followed by a smaller 2-light casement and then a 3-light casement. All openings have flat arches. All C19 doors and windows. Attached to right a single-storey, brick addition with thatched lean-to roof and tall stack. Interior is said to retain many original beams and joists.

Listing NGR: SP6157083438

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61570 83438

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1061418.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: IVY HOUSE

List Entry Number: 1360793

Location

IVY HOUSE, BACK STREET

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 11-Jan-1955

Date of most recent amendment: Not applicable to this List entry.

Details
SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH BACK STREET (west side)

5/27 Ivy House 11/01/55 GV II

House. Circa early C18, altered in circa early C19. Brick, stuccoed, ashlar dressings. Slate roof with coped gables with kneelers. 2 gable stacks, 2 storeys and attic. East front: plinth, stone quoins, moulded cornice. 3 bays with central doorway with rusticated arch and jambs, and 6-panel door with overlight. To left and right, single glazing sashes with rusticated flat arches. Above, 3 glazing-bar sashes with flat arches. All windows have stone sills. Above again, 3 hipped roof dormers with 2-light leaded casements. INTERIOR: panelled dining room with raised and fielded panelling, dentilled cornice and dado rail. Fluted pilasters to 2 doors to south. Staircase with turned balusters, moulded and ramped handrail, closed string and square topped newel

Listing NGR: SP6157283387

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61572 83387

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1360793.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: CONSERVATORY ATTACHED TO NORTH KILWORTH HOUSE

List Entry Number: 1061421

Location

CONSERVATORY ATTACHED TO NORTH KILWORTH HOUSE, LUTTERWORTH ROAD

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II*

Date first listed: 15-Sep-1987

Date of most recent amendment: 05-Jun-1992
Details

SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH LUTTERWORTH ROAD (south side)

5/34 Conservatory attached to 15/09/87 North Kilworth House (formerly listed under A467, Misterton Road)

GV II*

Conservatory. 1888, probably designed by A E PURDIE for J B N ENTWISLE. Cast iron and wood with plain and stained leaded glass. Rendered and moulded plinth with brick base decorated with glazed tiles. Single storey. central large pavilion with 2 smaller side pavilions. South front has a central 5-bay pedimented porch which projects forward by 2 bays with central round-headed double doors flanked by narrow side bays, flanked again by wider bays, each divided by 6 moulded square wooden columns. The pediment is glazed with a central circular panel plus 2 triangular side panels, and topped by an iron weathervane with corner ball finials. Either side are 3 bays completing the central pavilion and these are in turn flanked by the 5-bay side pavilions. Each bay a large segment-headed window, with leaded and partly stained glass, plus a small overlight with stained glass. The central pavilion is topped by a 3-tier lantern, the central section has 3 round-headed, stained glass lights, with a small 2-light lantern above topped with a lead roof and an ornate iron finial, either side are lower 3-bay side lanterns, again with ornate iron finials. The side pavilions are topped by square lanterns with ogee roofs, and ornate iron finials. INTERIORS: the north aisle is divided off by a row of exceedingly ornate iron columns with tall bases, fluted shafts and exaggerated capitals. The main roof structure is wooden, with ornate iron bases and strengthened bars and trusses. The floor has a circular central section and cross aisles, between the raised beds, decorated with Italian mosaics, and lined with iron heating grills.

Listing NGR: SP6022083420

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 60220 83420

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1061421.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: SPRING COTTAGE INCLUDING OUTBUILDING ADJOINING WEST

List Entry Number: 1061417

Location

SPRING COTTAGE INCLUDING OUTBUILDING ADJOINING WEST, CRAMER LANE

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 05-Jun-1992

Date of most recent amendment: Not applicable to this List entry.
SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH CRAMER LANE (north side)

5/31 Spring Cottage including outbuilding adjoining west

- II

House with attached outbuilding. C17 or possibly earlier, altered in C18 and C19. Timber-framed and cob, faced and partly rebuilt in painted brick. Gable-ended thatched roof with deep eaves re-clad in corrugated asbestos sheets; outbuilding has corrugated iron sheet roof. Brick axial and gable end stacks. PLAN: 3-room house, the centre and left-hand rooms heated from back-to-back fireplaces in an axial stack; the right-hand (east) room has a gable end stack. Integral outbuilding open to roof at left (west) end. INTERIOR: 1 storey and attic. Asymmetrical 4 window south front, plus outbuilding to left. Ground floor 3 large C19 4-pane sashes. 3 small C19 2-light attic casements above, 2 of which break eaves, and larger sash to left. Doorways to left and right, the right panelled, the left with weatherboarded porch and canopy. Outbuilding on left weatherboarded and with large double doors. Rear elevation not inspected. INTERIOR: All 3 ground floor rooms have boxed-in axial beams, said to be chamfered. The centre room has large fireplace with high level chamfered timber lintel covered by circa C18 moulded mantel shelf. The roof is ceiled and only the side purlins are exposed in chambers. The outbuilding has cob walls and jowled post at back with brace to tie-beam and large principles on to which is lapped an halved collar; originally a closed truss.

Listing NGR: SP6176983396

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61769 83396

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1061417.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: CHURCH OF ST ANDREW

List Entry Number: 1360794

Location

CHURCH OF ST ANDREW, CHURCH STREET

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II*

Date first listed: 11-Jan-1955

Date of most recent amendment: Not applicable to this List entry.

Details
Parish church. C13, early C14, mid C19 additions, restored 1864- 5 by Joseph Clarke. Rubblestone with limestone ashlar dressings. Lead and slate roofs. West tower with recessed spire, nave, north aisle, south aisle, north porch, chancel with organ chamber. Plinth. Buttresses with set-offs. 3-stage tower, lower stage early C14, with plinth, angle buttresses, embattled parapet and 3 string courses. West elevation: chamfered, pointed arch 2-light window with hoodmould with headstops. Above, in 2nd stage, a C20 clock face and above in 3rd stage a chamfered, four- centred arch 2-light louvred bell-opening with transom and hoodmould. North elevation has similar clock face and bell- opening, south elevation has tall, thin lancet in 2nd stage, and bell-opening above. East elevation has similar bell-opening. Octagonal spire, rebuilt in 1862, has stone banding, and 2-light lucarne with a single light lucarne above, both with pointed hoods, on 4 sides. Weathervane. Nave has lead roof, coped parapets and gable. Clerestory has 3 quatrefoil lights in square frames on north and south sides, the north side is C14, south side C19. C19 south aisle of Charnwood granite, has lead, tall plinth, plain cornice and continuous band. West wall has chamfered, pointed arch 2-light window with hoodmould and stops. Polygonal chimney on north-west corner. North wall has 3, chamfered, pointed arch windows with hoodmoulds and stops. East wall has similar window, with headstops. C14 north aisle has lead roof, plain cornice and continuous string course. West wall has chamfered, pointed arch single-light window. North wall, from west to east: C19 north porch, lead roof, coped gable with kneelers and cross finial. Heavily moulded, pointed arch doorway with double gates. East and west walls each have a strip of 5 trefoiled lights in a chamfered rectangular frame. Stone benches inside. Double-chamfered, pointed arch south doorway with double plank doors. To east, 2, moulded, pointed arch 2- light windows with reticulated tracery and hoodmoulds with headstops. East wall has moulded, pointed arch, 3-light windows with intersecting tracery and hoodmould. C13 chancel has graded slate roof and coped east gable with kneelers and cross finial. North wall has tall plinth, and from west to east: a chamfered, pointed arch, 2-light window with plate tracery and hoodmould, then a C19, heavily moulded, pointed arch priest's doorway, with shafts with capitals and bases, hoodmould with stops, and plank door. Then another, similar, 2-light window, followed by a buttress. South wall has string course, and from west to east; a chamfered, pointed arch, 2-light window with transom and plate tracery, then C19 organ chamber with coped gable, followed by a similar window to those of north wall, then a buttress. East wall has low plinth, sill band, and 1856, chamfered, pointed arch 3-light window with headmould and stops, flanked by 2 buttresses. INTERIOR:C14 triple-chamfered, pointed tower arch, with keel- moulded responds with capitals and bases. 3-bay nave arches, C14 north arcade has double-chamfered, pointed arches with continuous hoodmould, and polygonal piers and responds. C19 south arcade has moulded, pointed arches with continuous hoodmould and compound piers and responds with annulets, foliage capitals and bases. Plain, segmental arch doorway to former rood loft on north wall near chancel arch. North aisle has chamfered, trefoiled piscina in south east corner. Double-chamfered, pointed chancel arch with polygonal responds with capitals and bases. Chancel has south-west window with detached nook-shafts, with annulets and sill lowered to form seat. Then a mid C20 organ followed by a south-east window with nook-shafts and a moulded, pointed arch, piscina in south-east corner. North-west window and north-east window similarly treated, with nook-shafts. Double-moulded, four-centred arch, north doorway with shafts with capitals and bases. C19 east wall has tall, plain niche to north, and east window with polished marble shafts with capitals and hoodmould with carved stops. C19 reredos, altar table, altar rails, chairs, pews, stalls, lectern, font with square bowl on 5 short marble shafts with foliage capitals and bases, C16 polygonal wineglass pulpit with traceried panels and stem with moulded capital and base. MONUMENTS: north aisle: C20 stone wall memorial on north wall. C20 stone wall tablet carved with names of rectors of North Kilworth, near north doorway. South aisle: marble wall memorial to Lieut. Hyde Parker Dobson (d1836); marble memorial to Admiral Dobson (d1847) with shrouded cannons in relief; 2, early C20 brass memorial plaques: WWI memorial, all on south wall. Chancel: marble wall memorial to Mrs Jane Belgrave (d1800) with urn and shroud in relief, on south wall. 4, C20, brass memorial plaques to Belgrave family on north wall. Late C19 brass plaque to another Belgrave below north-west window. Marble wall memorial to Elizabeth Pochin (d1831) also on north wall. C19 roofs. Minton tiles in sanctuary. Red tiled floors. C19 stained glass, west window 1869, and south east window in south aisle 1878, both by Hardman. East window 1856, by Holland of Warwick.

(Buildings of England: Leicestershire: p. 335)
Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61604 83192

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1360794.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: DOVECOTE ABOUT 20 METERS WEST NORTH WEST OF IVY HOUSE

List Entry Number: 1061415

Location

DOVECOTE ABOUT 20 METERS WEST NORTH WEST OF IVY HOUSE, BACK STREET

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 05-Jun-1992

Date of most recent amendment: Not applicable to this List entry.

Details
SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH BACK STREET (west side)

5/28 Dovecote about 20m W.N.W. of Ivy House

GV II

Dovecote. C18, C20. Red brick. Slate roof with coped gables. 2 storeys. East front has C20 inserted windows and glazed, folding doors. INTERIOR: brick nesting boxes remain around walls. Staircase and gallery against south wall. Included for group value.

Listing NGR: SP6153883369

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61538 83369

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1061415.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: CHURCHYARD WALL ALONG DOG LANE ABOUT 20 METERS SOUTH OF CHURCH OF ST ANDREW

List Entry Number: 1061416

Location

CHURCHYARD WALL ALONG DOG LANE ABOUT 20 METERS SOUTH OF CHURCH OF ST ANDREW, CHURCH STREET

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 05-Jun-1992

Date of most recent amendment: Not applicable to this List entry.

Details
SP 68 SW NORTH KILWORTH CHURCH STREET (south side)

5/30 Churchyard wall along Dog Lane about 20m south of Church of St Andrew

GV II

Wall. C18. Rubblestone with broad ashlared stone coping. 0.8m high, c55m long running east-west along edge of churchyard.

Listing NGR: SP6166483166

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61664 83166

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1061416.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
List Entry Summary

This building is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as amended for its special architectural or historic interest.

Name: NORTH KILWORTH HALL

List Entry Number: 1360795

Location

NORTH KILWORTH HALL, GREEN LANE

The building may lie within the boundary of more than one authority.

County: Leicestershire
District: Harborough
District Type: District Authority
Parish: North Kilworth

National Park: Not applicable to this List entry.

Grade: II

Date first listed: 23-Oct-1987

Date of most recent amendment: Not applicable to this List entry.
5/26 North Kilworth Hall 23/10/87 - II

House. C1700 with additions c1830. Red brick with slate roofs. 3 ridge and 2 gable brick stacks. 2 storeys plus attics. East front has 5-bay wing to right with early C19 3-bay addition to left. Off centre doorway with 6-panel door with blocked over-light and pilaster door surround supporting bracketed hood. To right, 2 cross casements with exterior shutters, to the left a narrow casement, and beyond a cross casement with shutters. Above, a first floor brick band and 4 cross casements, all with segmental heads. Above again, 2 hipped dormers with casements. 3-bay wing to left has a slightly projecting central bay topped by a gable, containing a 3-light mullion window with plain sashes. Either side are single similar 2-light windows, that to the left now blocked. Above, a similar window arrangement, topped by a brick parapet. West front has 3-bay early C19 wing to right with a central projecting bay topped by a gable. Central 3-light cross mullion window, flanked by single doorways with glazed double doors and overlights; each door has an ashlar porch with 2 corinthian columns. Above, a 3-light mullion window with plain sashes, flanked by single 2-light windows. To the left, a 2-storey glazed corridor with central canted bay window on the ground floor, articulated with plain pilasters and topped by a parapet with urns. The 2-bay north return has panel door with a 2-light glazing-bar casement to the right. Above, 2-light and a 3-light plain casement. Interior has good quality staircase, c1830, and various fireplaces.

Listing NGR: SP6158283528

Map

National Grid Reference: SP 61582 83528

The below map is for quick reference purposes only and may not be to scale. For a copy of the full scale map, please see the attached PDF - 1360795.pdf - Please be aware that it may take a few minutes for the download to complete.
Appendix 2

Annex I

1. Crude-oil refineries (excluding undertakings manufacturing only lubricants from crude oil) and installations for the gasification and liquefaction of 500 tonnes or more of coal or bituminous shale per day.
2. Thermal power stations and other combustion installations with a heat output of 300 megawatts or more and nuclear power stations and other nuclear reactors (except research installations for the production and conversion of fissionable and fertile materials, whose maximum power does not exceed 1 kilowatt continuous thermal load).
3. Installations solely designed for the permanent storage or final disposal of radioactive waste.
4. Integrated works for the initial melting of cast-iron and steel.
5. Installations for the extraction of asbestos and for the processing and transformation of asbestos and products containing asbestos: for asbestos-cement products, with an annual production of more than 20 000 tonnes of finished products, for friction material, with an annual production of more than 50 tonnes of finished products, and for other uses of asbestos, utilization of more than 200 tonnes per year.
6. Integrated chemical installations.
7. Construction of motorways, express roads (1) and lines for long-distance railway traffic and of airports (2) with a basic runway length of 2 100 m or more.
8. Trading ports and also inland waterways and ports for inland-waterway traffic which permit the passage of vessels of over 1 350 tonnes.
9. Waste-disposal installations for the incineration, chemical treatment or land fill of toxic and dangerous wastes.

(1) For the purposes of the Directive, 'express road' means a road which complies with the definition in the European Agreement on main international traffic arteries of 15 November 1975.

(2) For the purposes of this Directive, 'airport' means airports which comply with the definition in the 1944 Chicago Convention setting up the International Civil Aviation Organization (Annex 14).
Annex II

1. Agriculture

(a) Projects for the restructuring of rural land holdings.
(b) Projects for the use of uncultivated land or semi-natural areas for intensive agricultural purposes.
(c) Water-management projects for agriculture.
(d) Initial afforestation where this may lead to adverse ecological changes and land reclamation for the purposes of conversion to another type of land use.
(e) Poultry-rearing installations.
(f) Pig-rearing installations.
(g) Salmon breeding.
(h) Reclamation of land from the sea.

2. Extractive industry

(a) Extraction of peat.
(b) Deep drillings with the exception of drillings for investigating the stability of the soil and in particular:
   - geothermal drilling,
   - drilling for the storage of nuclear waste material,
   - drilling for water supplies.
(c) Extraction of minerals other than metalliferous and energy-producing minerals, such as marble, sand, gravel, shale, salt, phosphates and potash.
(d) Extraction of coal and lignite by underground mining. (e) Extraction of coal and lignite by open-cast mining. (f) Extraction of petroleum.
(g) Extraction of natural gas.
(h) Extraction of ores.
(i) Extraction of bituminous shale.
(j) Extraction of minerals other than metalliferous and energy-producing minerals by open-cast mining.
(k) Surface industrial installations for the extraction of coal, petroleum, natural gas and ores, as well as bituminous shale.
(l) Coke ovens (dry coal distillation).
(m) Installations for the manufacture of cement.
3. Energy industry

(a) Industrial installations for the production of electricity, steam and hot water (unless included in Annex I).
(b) Industrial installations for carrying gas, steam and hot water; transmission of electrical energy by overhead cables.
(c) Surface storage of natural gas.
(d) Underground storage of combustible gases.
(e) Surface storage of fossil fuels.
(f) Industrial briquetting of coal and lignite.
(g) Installations for the production or enrichment of nuclear fuels.
(h) Installations for the reprocessing of irradiated nuclear fuels.
(i) Installations for the collection and processing of radioactive waste (unless included in Annex I).
(j) Installations for hydroelectric energy production.

4. Processing of metals

(a) Iron and steelworks, including foundries, forges, drawing plants and rolling mills (unless included in Annex I).
(b) Installations for the production, including smelting, refining, drawing and rolling, of nonferrous metals, excluding precious metals.
(c) Pressing, drawing and stamping of large castings.
(d) Surface treatment and coating of metals.
(e) Boilermaking, manufacture of reservoirs, tanks and other sheet-metal containers.
(f) Manufacture and assembly of motor vehicles and manufacture of motor-vehicle engines.
(g) Shipyards.
(h) Installations for the construction and repair of aircraft.
(i) Manufacture of railway equipment.
(j) Swaging by explosives.
(k) Installations for the roasting and sintering of metallic ores.

5. Manufacture of glass

7. Chemical industry
(a) Treatment of intermediate products and production of chemicals (unless included in Annex I).
(b) Production of pesticides and pharmaceutical products, paint and varnishes, elastomers and peroxides.
(c) Storage facilities for petroleum, petrochemical and chemical products.

8. Food industry

(a) Manufacture of vegetable and animal oils and fats.
(b) Packing and canning of animal and vegetable products.
(c) Manufacture of dairy products.
(d) Brewing and malting.
(e) Confectionery and syrup manufacture.
(f) Installations for the slaughter of animals.
(g) Industrial starch manufacturing installations.
(h) Fish-meal and fish-oil factories.
(i) Sugar factories.

9. Textile, leather, wood and paper industries

(a) Wool scouring, degreasing and bleaching factories.
(b) Manufacture of fibre board, particle board and plywood.
(c) Manufacture of pulp, paper and board.
(d) Fibre-dyeing factories.
(e) Cellulose-processing and production installations.
(f) Tannery and leather-dressing factories.

10. Rubber industry

Manufacture and treatment of elastomer-based products.

11. Infrastructure projects
(a) Industrial-estate development projects.
(b) Urban-development projects.
(c) Ski-lifts and cable-cars.
(d) Construction of roads, harbours, including fishing harbours, and airfields (projects not listed in Annex I).
(e) Canalization and flood-relief works.
(f) Dams and other installations designed to hold water or store it on a long-term basis.
(g) Tramways, elevated and underground railways, suspended lines or similar lines of a particular type, used exclusively or mainly for passenger transport.
(h) Oil and gas pipeline installations.
(i) Installation of long-distance aqueducts.
(j) Yacht marinas.

12. Other projects

(a) Holiday villages, hotel complexes.
(b) Permanent racing and test tracks for cars and motor cycles.
(c) Installations for the disposal of industrial and domestic waste (unless included in Annex I).
(d) Waste water treatment plants.
(e) Sludge-deposition sites.
(f) Storage of scrap iron.
(g) Test benches for engines, turbines or reactors.
(h) Manufacture of artificial mineral fibres.
(i) Manufacture, packing, loading or placing in cartridges of gunpowder and explosives.
(j) Knackers' yards.

13. Modifications to development projects included in Annex I and projects in Annex II undertaken exclusively or mainly for the development and testing of new methods or products and not used for more than one year
Appendix 3

Sustainability Appraisal for North Kilworth within the New Local Plan SA and SEA

The reasonable alternatives against which the housing growth for North Kilworth has been assessed are shown below.

Table 2.2: Strategic options for housing and employment (i.e. the reasonable alternatives tested in the SA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 1: Rural</strong>&lt;br&gt;Continue the current distribution strategy with a rural focus</td>
<td>60% of the District’s future housing need would be met in the urban settlements (Thurnby, Bushby and Scraptoft, Market Harborough, Lutterworth and Broughton Astley) and 40% met in the rural settlements (Rural Centres and Selected Rural Villages). The bulk of employment provision would be in Market Harborough (approximately 10ha), with at least 4ha at Lutterworth and approximately 3ha at Fleckney to balance its relatively high potential housing growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2: Core Strategy Distribution</strong>&lt;br&gt;Continue to use the Core Strategy distribution strategy</td>
<td>Distribution of future housing need would continue as identified in the Core Strategy with approximately 70% of future new housing planned for the urban settlements and 30% planned for the rural settlements. The bulk of employment provision would be in Market Harborough (approximately 10ha) with at least 4ha at Lutterworth and approximately 3ha at Fleckney to balance its relatively high potential housing growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3: Urban</strong>&lt;br&gt;Continue the current distribution strategy with an urban focus</td>
<td>80% of the District’s future housing need would be met in the urban settlements and 20% met in the rural settlements. The bulk of employment provision would be in Market Harborough (approximately 10ha) with at least 4ha at Lutterworth and approximately 3ha at Fleckney to balance its relatively high potential housing growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 4: Scraptoft / Thurnby SDA</strong>&lt;br&gt;Scraptoft / Thurnby Strategic Development Area and reduced growth in other parts of the District</td>
<td>A proposal which would provide a significant extension to the east of Scraptoft and Thurnby has been received by the Council. The proposal is for at least 1000 dwellings with community facilities together with a link-road between Scraptoft village and the A47. Further assessment of transport impacts, landscape and viability is needed. However, delivery of this strategic development area would reduce the requirement for all other settlements in the District. The bulk of employment provision would be in Market Harborough (approximately 10ha) with at least 4ha at Lutterworth and approximately 3ha at Fleckney to balance its relatively high potential housing growth. The potential SDA at Scraptoft does not include proposals to deliver employment land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 5: Kibworth SDA</strong>&lt;br&gt;Kibworth Strategic Development Area and reduced growth in other parts of the District</td>
<td>Two proposals near the Kibworths have been received. Both proposals offer new road infrastructure, community and employment facilities and around 1,200 houses. One proposal involves development to the north of Kibworth Harcourt and a potential relief road for the existing A6. The other involves development to the west of the Kibworths and linking road infrastructure between the A6 and Saddington Road. Further assessment of transport impacts, landscape and viability is needed in terms of both proposals. This Option would include just one of these two strategic development areas. Delivery of either potential strategic development area would reduce the requirement for all other settlements in the District. Approximately 5ha of employment land would be delivered as part of the Kibworth SDA. A further approximately 10ha of employment land would be delivered in Market Harborough along with at least 4ha at Lutterworth and approximately 3ha at Fleckney to balance its relatively high potential housing growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 6: Lutterworth SDA</strong>&lt;br&gt;Lutterworth Strategic Development Area and reduced growth in other parts of the District</td>
<td>A proposal which could result in development of approximately 1,950 dwellings, local facilities and employment land by 2031 to the east of Lutterworth has been received by the Council. This would involve provision of a road link between the A4304 (to the east of Lutterworth) and A426 (Leicester Road to the north of Lutterworth) thus providing relief for Lutterworth town centre. It would lead to approximately 550 dwellings delivered in this location after 2031. There is also scope for provision of a motorway service facility adjoining M1 Junction 20 and land for logistics and distribution. Further assessment of transport impacts, landscape and viability is needed. Delivery of this strategic development area would reduce the requirement for all other settlements in the District. Approximately 10ha of employment land would be delivered at Lutterworth in conjunction with delivery of the Lutterworth SDA. A further approximately 10ha of employment land would be delivered in Market Harborough along with approximately 3ha at Fleckney to balance its relatively high potential housing growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 7: Scraptoft / Thurnby SDA and Kibworth SDA</strong>&lt;br&gt;Strategic Development Areas at Scraptoft / Thurnby and Kibworth and limited growth in other part of the District</td>
<td>This would involve two strategic development areas in the District: approximately 1,200 dwellings at the Kibworths; and approximately 1,000 dwellings to the east of Scraptoft / Thurnby. Further housing in each of the proposed strategic development areas may take place beyond 2031. Other settlements would receive limited housing growth. Approximately 5ha of employment land would be delivered at Kibworth in conjunction with delivery of one of the potential Kibworth SDAs. A further approximately 10ha of employment land would be delivered in Market Harborough, at least 4ha in Lutterworth and approximately 3ha at Fleckney to balance its relatively high potential housing growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8 Scraptoft / Thurnby SDA and Lutterworth SDA</strong>&lt;br&gt;Strategic Development Areas at Scraptoft / Thurnby and Lutterworth and limited growth in other part of the District</td>
<td>This would involve two strategic development areas in the District: approximately 1,950 dwellings to the east of Lutterworth; and approximately 1,000 dwellings to the east of Scraptoft / Thurnby. Further housing in each of the proposed strategic development areas may take place beyond 2031. Other settlements would receive limited housing growth. Approximately 10ha of employment land would be delivered at Lutterworth in conjunction with delivery of the Lutterworth SDA. A further approximately 10ha of employment land would be delivered in Market Harborough and approximately 3ha of employment land at Fleckney to balance its relatively high potential housing growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 9: Lutterworth SDA and Kibworth SDA</strong>&lt;br&gt;Strategic Development Areas at Lutterworth and Kibworth and limited growth in other part of the District</td>
<td>This would involve two strategic development areas in the District: approximately 1,950 dwellings to the east of Lutterworth; and approximately 1,200 dwellings at the Kibworths. Further housing in each of the proposed strategic development areas may take place beyond 2031. Other settlements would receive limited housing growth. Approximately 10ha of employment land would be delivered at Lutterworth in conjunction with delivery of the Lutterworth SDA. Approximately 5ha of employment land would be delivered at Kibworth in conjunction with one of the potential Kibworth SDAs. A further approximately 10ha of employment land would be delivered in Market Harborough and approximately 3ha of employment land at Fleckney to balance its potential housing growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Each of the above housing options has been tested against the following sustainability topics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainability Topic</th>
<th>SA Objectives</th>
<th>Guiding Criteria</th>
<th>Potential Monitoring Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Natural Environment** | 1) Protect, enhance and manage biodiversity.  
2) Protect, enhance and manage environmental resources. | 1.1) Would biodiversity interests be affected?  
2.1) What could be the effects on the quality of water environments?  
2.2) What could be the effects on land quality? | - Net contribution towards habitat creation / improvement (hectares).  
- Net loss of Best and Most versatile Agricultural land.  
- Effect on condition of SSSIs and overall percentage of SSSI in favourable or unfavourable recovering condition.  
- Net effect on number and area of Local Wildlife Sites.  
- Impact on Water Framework Development compliance.  
- Hectares of contaminated land brought back into productive use.  
- The number of new systems or area of land covered by Sustainable Drainage Systems. |
| **Built and natural heritage** | 3) Protect, enhance and manage the historic character and distinctiveness of the District’s settlements and their surrounding landscapes. | 3.1) How could proposals affect the historic value and character of settlements and/or surrounding landscapes?  
3.2) Could proposals hinder or assist efforts to maintain and enhance features (designated and non-designated) of historic, cultural or archaeological interest? | - Number of heritage features ‘at risk’.  
- Development granted contrary to heritage policies.  
- Percentage of people that think the character of their neighbourhood has improved / stayed the same / declined. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainability Topic</th>
<th>SA Objectives</th>
<th>Guiding Criteria</th>
<th>Potential Monitoring Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health and Wellbeing</td>
<td>4) Safeguard and improve community health, safety and wellbeing.</td>
<td>4.1) How could proposals affect standards of open space, recreation and leisure provision?</td>
<td>- Average healthy life expectancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5) Improve accessibility to employment, retail, business, health and community services, supporting health and well-being in the district.</td>
<td>4.2) Could proposals have an effect on efforts to maintain and strengthen local identity and community cohesion?</td>
<td>- Participation levels in sport and recreation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3) Could proposals have different impacts on certain social groups (age, gender, social class for example)?</td>
<td>- Area of green infrastructure provided in conjunction with new housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4) How could proposals impact upon air quality (particularly in Lutterworth)?</td>
<td>- Amount of eligible open spaces managed to green flag award standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1) What impact could there be on local service provision, particularly in rural areas?</td>
<td>- Number of properties experiencing pollutant concentrations in excess of the standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2) What modes of transport would most likely be encouraged and how would these affect greenhouse gas emissions?</td>
<td>- Percentage of completed non-residential development complying with car-parking standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Length of new/improved cycleway and pedestrian routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability Topic</td>
<td>SA Objectives</td>
<td>Guiding Criteria</td>
<td>Potential Monitoring Indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Resilience (to climate change) | 6) Reduce the risks from local and global climate change upon economic activity, delivery of essential services and the natural environment. | 6.1) What would be the effect in terms of flood risk?  
6.2) How would the resilience of local businesses be affected?  
6.3) How would the proposal affect the delivery of essential services?  
6.4) What will be the effects on green infrastructure and its ability to contribute to climate change resilience? | - Number of planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency advice on flooding.  
- Annual local authority expenditure on flood management measures. |
| Housing and Economy       | 7) Provide affordable, sustainable, good-quality housing for all.               | 7.1) How could proposals affect levels of house building?  
7.2) How could proposals affect the ability to deliver affordable housing? | - Net additional dwellings.  
- Gross affordable housing completions. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainability Topic</th>
<th>SA Objectives</th>
<th>Guiding Criteria</th>
<th>Potential Monitoring Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8) Support investment to grow the local economy. | 8.1) Would proposals help to create job opportunities for local residents?  
8.2) Would the proposals support the rural economy?  
8.3) Would the proposals help to support the vitality of town centres and their retail offer?  
8.4) Would the proposals help to secure improvements in telecommunications infrastructure? (*For example high speed broadband connectivity*) | - Total amount of additional floor space by type.  
- Employment land available.  
- Jobs created / retained in rural areas.  
- Total number of visitors and spend on tourism.  
- Broadband coverage and speed. |
| Resource use | 9.1) To what extent would proposals lead to an increase or decrease in the use of energy and / or water?  
9.2) Do proposals help to achieve / support a reduction in carbon emissions?  
9.3) Do proposals encourage the efficient use of minerals? | - % of developments achieving a higher CFSH homes water efficiency rating than required by building regulations.  
- Carbon emissions from road transport. |
The effects of each Scenario for growth in Great Easton are presented against the six SA Topics listed below, which encapsulate the SA Framework.

The scenarios for growth are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Range of housing growth for Great Easton</th>
<th>Relevant Housing options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate growth (43-51 dwellings)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low-Moderate growth (14-32 dwellings)</td>
<td>2, 4, 5, 7, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low growth (5-7 dwellings)</td>
<td>3, 8, 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SA topics are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA Topic</th>
<th>SA Objectives covered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Natural Environment</td>
<td>Biodiversity, agricultural land, soil, water geodiversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Built and Natural Heritage</td>
<td>Landscape &amp; settlement character, heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Health and Wellbeing</td>
<td>Education, health, recreation, open space access to services, air quality, community cohesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Resilience to Climate Change</td>
<td>Flooding, green infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Housing and Economy</td>
<td>Housing delivery, rural economy, investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Resource Use</td>
<td>Energy efficiency, water efficiency, carbon emissions, minerals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine the effects on each SA Topic, consideration has been given to the factors listed in the SEA Regulations to determine whether the effects are significant or not, for example: the nature of effects (including magnitude and duration); the sensitivity of receptors; the Likelihood of effects occurring; and
These factors have been considered to predict effects against each SA Topic using the following scoring system.

- Major positive
- Moderate positive
- Minor positive
- Insignificant impacts
- Minor negative
- Moderate negative
- Major negative
- Uncertain effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive Effect</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>☑ ☑ ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>☑ ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Negative Effect</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>☒ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>☒ ☒ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report**  
**North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan**

**North Kilworth**

**Scenarios tested for North Kilworth**

The table below sets out five distinct scenarios for North Kilworth to assess the implications of the nine strategic housing options and corresponding employment provision. The housing options and employment provision have been grouped into scenarios to reflect potential differential effects that the housing and employment options could have for North Kilworth. Therefore, if the level of housing and employment is anticipated to have very similar effects for certain options, then these have been grouped together to avoid duplication. The grouping of options has taken into account available land, the scale and rate of growth, and the sensitivity of receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Range of housing growth</th>
<th>Relevant Housing options</th>
<th>Local Employment provision*</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Market Harborough</td>
<td>Lutterworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate growth (31-47 dwellings)</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>10 ha</td>
<td>4 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is possible that employment land in Lutterworth could provide job opportunities that could be easily accessed by residents in North Kilworth. Provision differs from either 4ha for some housing options to 10ha for others. Higher provision of employment Land in Lutterworth ought to be more beneficial for residents in North Kilworth in terms of access to jobs. Therefore, although Scenarios 2a and 2b have similar levels of housing growth, they differ in terms of employment provision in Lutterworth (and have been separated on this basis). Provision in Kibworth and Fleckney would be less likely to be beneficial to residents in Lutterworth as they are some distance away.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Low growth no SDA in Lutterworth (6-24 dwellings)</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 7</td>
<td>10 ha</td>
<td>4 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Low growth SDA in Lutterworth (6-24 dwellings)</td>
<td>6, 8</td>
<td>10 ha</td>
<td>10 ha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excludes strategic distribution sector*
**SA findings for North Kilworth**

### Natural Environment (SA Objectives 1 and 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of effects</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2a</th>
<th>Scenario 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| *For natural environment, there would be no different effects for scenarios 2a and 2b as these are only differentiated on the basis of the provision of employment land in Lutterworth. Therefore references to Scenario 2 below covers both sub-options.*

**Biodiversity** - Increased housing on greenfield land could have a negative effect on biodiversity through the loss and disturbance to wildlife habitats of local importance such as grassland, hedges and trees. The magnitude of effects would not be high.

**Environmental quality** - There is the potential for loss of land classified as Grade 2/3 under Scenario 1, and to a lesser extent scenario 2.

Higher levels of growth could affect local air quality if it leads to an increase in car trips to and through the village centre. The level of growth is not substantial enough to have a significant effect though.

### Sensitivity of receptors

Local species of importance include bats and badgers. The Bogs (wetland) and Millennium Green with its unique wetland Ecology are also important local sites as well as the dismantled railway line.

Grade 3 agricultural land surrounds the settlement.

### Likelihood of effects

It is likely that effects on biodiversity could be avoided through sensitive layout and design.

It is very likely that there would be a permanent loss of agricultural land of Grade 3 under Scenarios 1 and to a lesser extent Scenario 2.

Depending upon the location and scale of development, trips to and through the village centre by car are likely to increase, as development would be likely to occur on the settlement edges.

### Significance

For Scenario 1, a minor negative effect is predicted as there could be a loss of agricultural land categorised as Grade 3. There is also the potential for effects on habitats and species of local importance. The effects are only considered to be minor as the surrounding areas are not particularly sensitive (and mitigation / enhancement ought to be possible), and the level of growth is not substantial. The effects of Scenario 2 would be similar to scenario 1, but at a lower scale, and hence a neutral effect is predicted.
### Built and Natural Heritage (SA Objective 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of effects</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2a</th>
<th>Scenario 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| *For built and natural heritage, there would be no different effects for scenarios 2a and 2b as these are only differentiated on the basis of the provision of employment land in Lutterworth. Therefore references to Scenario 2 below covers both sub-options.*

Development of edge of settlement sites could affect the character of the built and natural environment, by altering the scale and appearance of the settlement. This would be most notable for scenario 1, which involves a higher level of development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity of receptors</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2a</th>
<th>Scenario 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Millennium Green (site of Norman wooden stockade and sub subsequently a manor house on moated mound). A Conservation Area covers most of the village.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of effects</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2a</th>
<th>Scenario 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depending upon the location and design of development, there may be an adverse effect on the character of the settlement. The small scale of growth ought to ensure that development in the most sensitive areas can be avoided and/or mitigated. However, the character of the settlement is likely to be affected given that the scale of the settlement will be altered and development would be adjacent to the Conservation Area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2a</th>
<th>Scenario 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development under scenario 1 could alter the character in this location; thus a moderate negative effect is predicted for Scenario 1. If there is lower delivery of housing, particularly lower density or smaller scale, a minor negative effect is predicted as per Scenarios 2 and 2b. <strong>Recommendation</strong> – Development in North Kilworth ought to be low density and carefully designed to ensure that it is in keeping with the scale and character of the settlement. The Conservation Area (CA), Scheduled Monuments and number of listed buildings would need to be respected. Development adjacent to the Conservation Area ought to adopt the principles of the Conservation Area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Health and Wellbeing (SA Objectives 4 and 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of effects</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2a</th>
<th>Scenario 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1 (and to a lesser extent) Scenarios 2a and 2b would require increased provision of local school and health provision. Each of these scenarios would have a positive effect in terms of providing affordable housing, and potentially securing enhancements to open space and community infrastructure through developer contributions. Scenario 2b would improve job opportunities in Lutterworth through the delivery of an SDA, which ought to have a positive effect on health for residents in North Kilworth that are able to benefit from these jobs. Lower levels of development ought to help preserve the community identity of the village, although in the longer term, this could have the opposite effect if sufficient housing is not available to support local residents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity of receptors</td>
<td>Capacity of Husbands Bosworth GP practice. There is insufficient capacity to manage any increase in patient numbers and a new surgery is required. S106 Contributions towards the provision of a new GP surgery would be sought. Capacity of primary school. S106 contributions towards a primary school extension would be sought. Shortfall in types of open space. Appropriate S106 contributions would be sought where a shortfall in certain types of open space is identified.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood of effects</td>
<td>For both scenarios the amount of growth proposed would not support a viable new primary school (assuming a dwelling/pupil ratio of 0.2). Therefore contributions would need to be sought to expand the existing school. The site ought to have capacity to extend. For both scenarios contributions would be sought to improve health facilities in Husbands Bosworth, so effects would be anticipated to be positive, albeit the health facilities would not be within the village. For both scenarios (more for Scenario 1) it is likely that development would secure enhancements to open space provision, which could help to address any identified shortages.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>Scenario 1 would increase housing provision locally, having a positive effect on health and wellbeing. Development would also help to support the viability the village centre and may also help to enhance open space through developer contributions. These effects are considered to be a minor positive. The increased population would put pressure on the primary school and health facilities, but these could be managed through contributions to enhancements. Scenarios 2a and 2b would have similar effects but on a smaller scale. The lower levels of growth proposed under these scenarios ought to better preserve community identity. Overall, a minor positive effect is predicted for these 2 scenarios.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resilience (to climate change) (SA Objective 6)</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2a</th>
<th>Scenario 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>For climate change, there would be no different effects for scenarios 2a and 2b as these are only differentiated on the basis of the provision of employment land in Lutterworth. Therefore references to Scenario 2 below covers both sub-options.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New development could increase surface water run-off under Scenarios 1 and 2a/2b. The level of development proposed is fairly low under each scenario.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity of receptors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no areas at risk of fluvial flooding. Surface water flooding may present a risk throughout the settlement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood of effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The majority of land surrounding North Kilworth is not at risk of fluvial flooding and hence effects would be unlikely in this respect for each Scenario. Surface water run-off would need to be managed to ensure that surface water flooding did not occur, and the level of run off to sewers was not increased significantly. However, the total level of development proposed under each scenario is only small.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The level of development on greenfield land associated with scenarios 1 and 2 have the potential to lead to an increase in surface water run-off. However, given the small scale of development, the effects are considered to be neutral.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of effects</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2a</th>
<th>Scenario 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1 (and to a lesser extent Scenario 2a/2b) would deliver housing in North Kilworth, helping</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to improve housing choice and affordability. This would have a positive effect on housing and help</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to support the vitality of the village.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 2b would have additional benefits in terms of improved access to jobs at an SDA in Lutterworth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity of receptors</th>
<th>Population of 597 (increase of 119 or 25% since 2001 compared to an increase of 11.5% across the District over the same period).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Parish Plan identified 13 companies within the parish employing more than 5 people. In addition there are other small companies and self-employed businesses that operate from home. There are good road links to access jobs in Market Harborough, Lutterworth and Magna Park.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of effects</th>
<th>There is sufficient land capacity identified in the draft SHLAA 2015 to deliver housing under all scenarios.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>A higher growth Scenario, such as in Scenario 1, would have a positive effect on delivering housing (including the provision of affordable housing) in North Kilworth. Homes would also be well related to employment opportunities and ought to support the vitality of the local village.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario 2a would provide a lower amount of growth than Scenario 1, and so positive effects are predicted only to be minor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario 2b would also provide lower housing growth, but would involve an SDA at Lutterworth which would provide alternative housing choice (albeit not in North Kilworth itself) and would also enhance employment opportunities. Consequently, the overall effect of Scenario 2b is predicted to be a moderate positive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Resource Use (SA Objective 9)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of effects</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
<th>Scenario 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>For resource use, there would be no different effects for scenarios 2a and 2b as these are only differentiated on the basis of the provision of employment land in Lutterworth. Therefore references to Scenario 2 below covers both sub-options.</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1 and to a lesser extent 2 would increase resource use, with more homes needing power and water. However, this would be the case regardless of where development occurs.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There would be more car journeys made based on the current trend (reliance on car travel) which could increase greenhouse gas emissions. More car trips would be generated for Scenario 1, and less for Scenario 2.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensitivity of receptors</strong></td>
<td>Access to public transport is reasonable from North Kilworth, but there is heavy reliance on private transport.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Likelihood of effects</strong></td>
<td>Access to mains gas and electricity ought to be available, so new development would not be dependent upon decentralised power sources such as oil heating, which lead to greater emissions of greenhouse gases compared centralised networks.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of district heating would be unlikely due to a lack of sufficient heat demand in North Kilworth and any new development would be unlikely to change this.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The majority of people travel by private car, and this is likely to continue.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significance</strong></td>
<td>The level of growth associated with Scenario 1 would lead to increased numbers of people living in North Kilworth; which as a sustainable rural village, only has moderate access to jobs and services locally. Coupled with a reliance on private transport, it is likely that the level of growth under this scenario would therefore contribute to an increase (albeit insignificant) in greenhouse gas emissions across the district.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 2a/2b would lead to lower growth, which ought to minimise further carbon emissions contributed from travel to and from North Kilworth – however, the effects are predicted to be neutral given that the level of emissions that would be offset is very low.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Summary of effects for North Kilworth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
<th>Scenario 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment (SA Objectives 1 and 2)</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built and Natural Heritage (SA Objective 3)</td>
<td>✗ ✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Wellbeing (SA Objectives 4 and 5)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience (to climate change) (SA Objective 6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Economy (SA Objectives 7 and 8)</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Use (SA Objective 9)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 4 - North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan determination – February 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK1: GENERAL POLICY PRINCIPLE</td>
<td>Policy sets out that where the NP is silent the relevant NPPF and CS (LP on adoption) policies will apply. NPPF: paras 14, 183 – 185</td>
<td>NK1 is considered to be in general conformity with CS and NPPF. NK1 acknowledges that the NP does not cover all issues and that where this is the case CS/NPPF policies apply (which ever is most up to date). This is reflective of national guidance. Once adopted the LP will be NPPF compliant and will be the up to date development plan where NP is silent.</td>
<td>Policy is unlikely to have significant effects.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No negative effect. CS policies found to have no significant effects in HRA Screening Report. A new HRA Screening Report will accompany the emerging LP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK2: HOUSING PROVISION</td>
<td>Policy CS17: Countryside, rural centres and rural villages. NPPF: Delivering sustainable</td>
<td>Housing numbers as set out in Policy CS2 are out of date and do not reflect the latest evidence. The target figure of 24 dwellings for North Kilworth is taken from the</td>
<td>The policy, in identifying the minimum housing requirement for the village, is unlikely to result in significant effects. The level of impact identified. The level of housing provision is considered appropriate to</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No negative effect. Development of this limited scale will not adversely</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>development / delivering a wide choice of high quality homes (para 55 promotes sustainable development in rural areas). Emerging LP will set out a settlement target figure for North Kilworth (identified as a selected rural village).</td>
<td>options consultation of the LP and represented (at the time) the most up to date evidence. It is considered to be in ‘general conformity’ with the Core Strategy while trying to future proof the NP in line with the emerging LP.</td>
<td>development is considered appropriate to the character and level of service provision of the village. As part of identifying allocations, potential housing sites underwent a Site Selection Process (see North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan – Housing Site Selection Framework) and were rated in relation to 24 potential constraints/criteria (including for example impact on listed buildings/conservation area and setting, ancient monuments, agricultural land, local</td>
<td>North Kilworth’s size, character and level of service provision. The on-going emerging LP SA process is not suggesting that the North Kilworth housing requirement should be reduced.</td>
<td>impact on Natura 2000 sites.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- wildlife, existing pattern of built development, flooding etc. Public consultation also fed into the selection of the identified sites. Site capacities are reflective of the relative sensitivity of the sites. A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required with planning applications relating to sites which have the potential to impact on the historic environment (i.e. listed buildings/ conservation area and setting). This will inform the determination of any application and potential mitigation required.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICY NK3: HOUSING PROVISION</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS2: Delivering New Housing (out of date in relation to number of houses to be provided) Policy CS17 – Countryside, Rural Centres and Rural Villages. NPPF: Delivering sustainable development and delivering a wide choice of high quality homes (para 55). Emerging LP will set out a sustainable housing target for North Kilworth but will only allocate large strategic sites. It will set the policy context.</td>
<td>The CS is a strategic document and does not set out housing allocations. However, it identifies North Kilworth as a selected rural village and suitable for housing on a scale reflective of its size, character and service provision. The NPPF allows allocations to take place in Local Plans and Neighbourhood Plans. The emerging LP will not identify specific sites where a NP is at an advanced stage of preparation (as is the case with North Kilworth). Policy NK3 is in general conformity with the emerging local plan in so far as it takes into account the latest housing need evidence.</td>
<td>There may be some potential limited impacts but the policy is unlikely to result in significant effects. The allocations have undergone a site selection process which looked into their constraints. It is considered that impact on heritage assets and environmental designations has been considered in arriving at the allocations. All 3 housing allocations lie adjacent to the Conservation Area, therefore NK9 makes it clear that Conservation Area design principles.</td>
<td>Limited impacts. No significant effects are identified. It is considered that the scale and impact of development in relation to heritage assets has been considered in the identification of allocations. Detailed mitigation will be considered through the Development Management process. However, the policy could be</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect. Development of this scale and on these sites will not adversely impact on Natura 2000 sites.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS)/NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK4: WINDFALL SITES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2: Delivering New Housing (out of date in relation to number of houses to be provided) Policy CS17 – to enable NPs to identify local sites.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(at the time of preparation) and seeks to support rural vitality of North Kilworth, identified as a selected rural village and therefore a sustainable location for rural development. The allocation of the housing site and 2 reserve housing sites set out in this policy take into local site characteristics and needs resulting from the development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>should apply. Impact on Listed Buildings is likely to be limited given the location of the allocations. Furthermore, Development Management will require the submission of a Heritage Impact Assessment for planning proposals which potentially have an impact on heritage assets and/or their setting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strengthened to refer to site specific issues relating to the historic environment which need to be considered.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK4 is considered to be in general conformity with CS and NPPF. NK4 recognises that throughout the NP period small scale housing sites may come forward that</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There may be some limited impacts but the policy is unlikely to result in significant effects. Only a limited number of dwellings are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited impact. No significant effects are identified. The policy includes the necessary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No negative effect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</strong></td>
<td><strong>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy / emerging Local Plan</strong></td>
<td><strong>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</strong></td>
<td><strong>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</strong></td>
<td><strong>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countryside, Rural Centres and Rural Villages. NPPF: Delivering sustainable development and delivering a wide choice of high quality homes (para 55). Emerging LP will have a settlement development policy aimed at protecting settlements from development in inappropriate locations. It will also set out the number of dwellings to be provided to 2031, reflecting up to date evidence.</td>
<td>are not allocated in the Plan. Limits to development have been defined to enable application of the policy. The policy sets out the considerations that should be taken into account in the determination of such applications. It provides safeguards to ensure that the distinctive character of the village is respected and where possible enhanced. The emerging LP will set out settlement targets, including one for North Kilworth. As CS2 is out of date, North Kilworth’s NP has been informed by emerging settlement requirement figures in the LP. These figures are based on the likely to come forward under the policy and any planning application will be determined in line with the criteria set out in the policy and other NP policies. The limits have been drawn to allow for a sustainable, organic growth in a settlement which has access to 4 key services (post office, food store, primary school and pub).</td>
<td>safeguards to ensure that development (within limits to development) takes into account the character of the village, its size, form and level of service provision.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
### North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK5: HOUSING AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT OUTSIDE THE VILLAGE OF NORTH KILWORTH</td>
<td>Policy CS17: Countryside, rural centres and rural villages. NPPF: 3 - Supporting a prosperous rural economy. NPPF para. 55 – Promoting sustainable development in rural areas.</td>
<td>NK5 expresses a strong presumption against new housing and development outside limits to development whilst recognising that the NPPF supports sustainable development in rural areas. Policy CS17 does not fully comply with the NPPF at present and therefore NK5’s reference to both the Core</td>
<td>There may be some limited impacts but the policy is unlikely to result in significant effects. There are safeguards in the NPPF to ensure that significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations are avoided. Policy NK3 and</td>
<td>Limited impact. No significant effects are identified. Any impacts will not be significant given the policy approach set out.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No negative effect. Development outside limits to development will not adversely impact on Natura 2000 sites.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The latest available evidence. The LP will only allocate strategic sites, leaving smaller sites to come forward through NPs and the development management system. These small windfall sites will contribute to meeting the identified housing requirement for North Kilworth.
### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS)/NPPF/emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK6: HOUSING MIX</td>
<td>Strategy and the NPPF is prudent. The emerging LP will be NPPF compliant.</td>
<td>NK4 support the delivery of housing needs within limits to development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK7: AFFORDABLE HOUSING</td>
<td>NK6 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in seeking to deliver an appropriate mix of housing types to reflect local needs based on local evidence.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as it only relates to mix of homes on previously identified sites.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy / emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>policy as expressed in National Planning Policy Framework (May 2016) which seeks to incentivise smaller housing developments, affordable housing is no longer requested from sites below 11 dwellings or have a maximum combined gross floor space of no more than 1000 square metres. Emerging LP policy will reflect above threshold and updated evidence in relation to affordable housing need.</td>
<td>(Affordable housing is no longer requested where development involves less than 11 dwellings and which have a maximum combined gross floor space of no more than 1000 square metres). Otherwise H7 is considered to be in general conformity with CS and NPPF.</td>
<td>sites previously identified.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy / emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICY NK8: AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND LOCAL PEOPLE</strong></td>
<td>NPPF 6: Delivering a wide choice of high quality homes. Para. 54 sets out the need to be responsive to local circumstances and reflect local needs.</td>
<td>The NPPF encourages the preparation of NPs which reflect and address local issues. Policy NK8 is seen as addressing a need for affordable housing within the community. The Local Plan will also encourage the preparation of NPs to identify and seek solutions to meeting local housing needs.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as it only relates to the allocation of affordable homes on housing sites.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICY NK9: DESIGN QUALITY</strong></td>
<td>Policy CS11: Promoting Design and Built Heritage. NPPF – Requiring good design (paras 56-68). Emerging LP will have a policy to achieve good design in development.</td>
<td>NK9 is considered to be in general conformity with CS and NPPF in setting out building design principles and emphasising the importance of the design affecting the Conservation Area (within and adjoining).</td>
<td>Potential positive impacts as the policy promotes design of new development which reflects the character and historic context of its surroundings.</td>
<td>Possible positive impacts. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
#### North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS)/NPPF/emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK10: SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>Policy CS11: Promoting Design and Built Heritage.</td>
<td>NK10 promotes the appropriate use of sustainable design, drainage and construction techniques, and energy and water efficiency in line with the NPPF and the aims of the emerging Local Plan.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects. It will help conserve water resources and promote energy efficiency. Its application will be balanced alongside policies for the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NPPF – Requiring good design (paras 56-68). Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change (93-108).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emerging LP will have a policies relating to climate change, renewable energy, flood risk and SUDs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
NPPF – Conserving and enhancing the historic environment (paras 126-141).  
Emerging LP will have policy relating to conserving and enhancing built heritage. | NK11 is considered to be in general conformity with CS11 and NPPF in seeking to ensure that development which affects a non-designated building or structure of architectural interest or its setting is conserved and enhanced. | Potential for limited positive impacts as policy aims to ensure that development takes into account potential impacts on local non-designated heritage assets and their setting. The supporting text lists the non-designated Locally Listed Buildings. | Limited positive impacts. No significant effects identified. | None. | No negative effect arising from this policy which seeks to conserve and enhance local non-designated heritage assets. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK12: SIGNAGE</td>
<td>Policy CS5: Providing sustainable transport.</td>
<td>NK12 reflects the NPPF in seeking to restrict the use of signage and its impact on the character of the village.</td>
<td>Potential for limited positive impact. The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as its aim is to ensure that signage does not detract from the character of the village/conservation area. The policy is likely to have a positive impact.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified as policy seeks to minimise impact of signage. Potential for limited positive impacts.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POLICY NK12: SIGNAGE

Policy CS5: Providing sustainable transport.

NPPF:
7 - Requiring good design.
12 - Conserving and enhancing the historic environment (paras 126-141.

Emerging Local Plan will have a policy to ensure that adverts/signage respects the character of the area.
### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report

**North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK13: EMPLOYMENT</td>
<td>Policy CS7: Enabling Employment and Business Development. NPPF: Supporting a prosperous rural economy. Emerging LP will have policies promoting healthy rural communities.</td>
<td>NK13 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in so far it aims to promote small scale employment related development and to prevent the loss of current employment opportunities in the village. The policy refer to the need for proposals to be compatible with the local character, surrounding uses and residential amenity.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects. There are safeguards within the policy to ensure that any potential impacts are not unacceptable and that any new development is compatible with the local character and surrounding uses. Impact on historic environment is covered by other policies and would be taken into account.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK14 BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE</td>
<td>CS17: Countryside, rural centres and rural villages.</td>
<td>NK14 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in supporting the provision infrastructure to ensure the provision of super-fast broadband providing it is sensitively located.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects given the requirement for sensitive location of such installations.</td>
<td>Limited impact only. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report

**North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy / emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICY NK15:</strong> KEY COMMUNITY SERVICES, FACILITIES AND SHOPS</td>
<td>CS12: Delivering Development and Supporting Infrastructure. CS6: Improving town centres and retailing. NPPF: Supporting a prosperous rural economy. Emerging LP will have policy to protect local services and community facilities from unnecessary loss.</td>
<td>NK15 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in aiming to prevent the loss of or adverse effects on community facilities and services. It sets out the evidence needed to accompany any development proposal involving the loss of such a service/facility.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as scope for redevelopment of such premises and its scale is likely to be limited. Applications will also be assessed against other NP policies.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK16: NEW OR IMPROVED COMMUNITY FACILITIES</td>
<td>CS12: Delivering Development and Supporting Infrastructure. CS6: Improving town centres and retailing. NPPF: Supporting a prosperous rural economy. Emerging LP will have policy to protect local services and community facilities from unnecessary loss</td>
<td>NK16 sets out to support improvements to, and the range of, community facilities and, in doing so, sets out the criteria such development need to meet. It reflects Core Strategy and NPPF policy in recognising the importance of community facilities in promoting healthy communities.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects given the scale of such facilities/ improvements and the safeguards set out in the policy.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy / emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK17: ASSETS OF COMMUNITY VALUE</td>
<td>CS12: Delivering Development and Supporting Infrastructure. CS6: Improving town centres and retailing. NPPF: Supporting a prosperous rural economy. Emerging LP will have policy to protect local services and community facilities from unnecessary loss.</td>
<td>NK17 seeks to protect assets of community value from loss or adverse impacts. It sets out the evidence needed to accompany any development proposal involving the loss of an ACV.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as scope for such redevelopment and its scale is likely to be limited. Applications will also be assessed against other NP policies.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
### North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy / emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK18: PROTECTION OF LOCAL GREEN SPACES</td>
<td>CS11: Promoting design and built heritage. Policy CS8: Protecting and Enhancing Green Infrastructure. CS does not refer to LGS as it predates the NPPF. Important Open Land Policy HS/9 (Local Plan 2009) is retained. NPPF – Promoting healthy communities (para 76 and para 77). Emerging LP will identify LGS not allocated in NPs.</td>
<td>NK18 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in identifying LGS and setting out policy for their protection. CS11 refers to new development being directed away from undeveloped areas of land which are important to the form and character of a settlement or locality. The designation of LGS in the village recognises the value of such areas and the contribution they make to the character and setting of the settlement.</td>
<td>Potential for limited positive impact as policy identifies and protects open land that is of demonstrable value to the community and of outstanding significance for their natural and historical environmental features.</td>
<td>Possible positive impacts. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy which seeks to protect local green space.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK 19: BIODIVERSITY</td>
<td>Policy CS8: Protecting and Enhancing Green Infrastructure.</td>
<td>NK19 is considered to be in general conformity with NPPF and CS policy in seeking to protect, and where possible create new, features, species and habitats.</td>
<td>Possible positive impact as the policy requires development proposals are required to conserve and enhance areas of biodiversity.</td>
<td>Possible limited positive impact. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy as it promotes biodiversity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POLICY NK 19: BIODIVERSITY

Policy CS8: Protecting and Enhancing Green Infrastructure.
NPPF: 11 Conserving and enhancing the natural environment.
Emerging LP will have policy relating to biodiversity and geodiversity protection and improvement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK 20: IMPORTANT TREES AND HEDGES</td>
<td>Policy CS8: Protecting and Enhancing Green Infrastructure. NPPF: Conserving and enhancing the natural environment.</td>
<td>NK20 is considered to be in general conformity with the NPPF and CS as it seeks to protect trees and hedgerows of value.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as it gives protection to trees and hedgerows of value.</td>
<td>Limited impact. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy which gives protection to trees and hedgerows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK 21: LANDSCAPE</td>
<td>Policy CS17: Countryside, rural centres and rural villages. NPPF: Conserving and enhancing the natural environment/ Conserving and enhancing the historic environment. Emerging LP will have a policy referring to safeguarding public views, skylines and landmarks.</td>
<td>NK21 is considered to be in general conformity with CS and NPPF in seeking to safeguard the special landscape of the parish, which lies in the Laughton Hills LCA.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as it is requiring development proposals to respect and enhance the landscape.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy as it seeks to ensure that the landscape is respected and enhanced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy / emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| POLICY NK22: IMPORTANT OPEN VIEWS AND VISTAS | Policy CS17: Countryside, rural centres and rural villages.  
NPPF: Conserving and enhancing the natural environment/ Conserving and enhancing the historic environment.  
Emerging LP will have a policy referring to safeguarding public views, skylines and landmarks. | NK22 is considered to be in general conformity with CS and NPPF in seeking to safeguard important views and vistas as identified by the community. These vistas are identified on the Proposals Map and defined in the policy. | The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as it is affording important views protection. | No significant effects identified. | None. | No negative effect arising from this policy as it seeks to protect defined views/ vistas. |
### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
**North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS)/NPPF/emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK23: ARCHAEOLOGY AND GEOLOGY</td>
<td>Policy CS11: Promoting Design and Built Heritage. Policy CS8: Protecting and Enhancing Green Infrastructure. Policy CS17: Countryside, rural centres and rural villages. NPPF: 11. Conserving and enhancing the natural environment/ 12 . Conserving and enhancing the historic environment. Emerging LP will have policies protecting built heritage and local landscape character.</td>
<td>NK23 is considered to be in general conformity with the NPPF and CS as it seeks to afford protect to sites of archaeological or geological value. Specific sites are set out in the accompanying text.</td>
<td>The policy may deliver minor positive impacts as it aims to protect sites of archaeological or geological value.</td>
<td>Possible limited positive impact. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy which seeks to protect sites of archaeological or geological value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK24: TRAFFIC MANAGEMEN T</td>
<td>CS5: Providing sustainable transport. NPPF: Promoting healthy communities. Emerging LP will have policies promoting development which delivers safe access, servicing and parking arrangements.</td>
<td>NK24 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in seeking to ensure that the traffic generation and parking impacts of development are taken into account and are not unacceptable in relation to congestion or road or pedestrian safety.</td>
<td>The policy may deliver positive impact as it is seeking to ensure that the impacts of traffic are taken into account.</td>
<td>Potential minor positive impacts. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy as it is about limiting the impacts of traffic/parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK25: PARKING</td>
<td>CS5: Providing sustainable transport. NPPF: Promoting healthy communities. Emerging LP will have policies promoting development which delivers safe access, servicing and parking arrangements.</td>
<td>NK25 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in seeking to ensure that car parking issues in the village are not exacerbated. It sets out off-street car parking standards in new housing development.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as it is seeking to ensure that car parking issues are not exacerbated.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy as it is about limiting the impacts of on-street car parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</td>
<td>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / emerging Local Plan (LP)</td>
<td>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</td>
<td>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK26: FOOTPATHS, FOOTWAYS AND BRIDLEWAYS</td>
<td>CS5: Providing sustainable transport. CS8: Protecting and enhancing green infrastructure. NPPF: Promoting healthy communities. Emerging LP will have policies promoting linkages within the green infrastructure network.</td>
<td>NK26 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in seeking to protect and improve the existing network of footpaths/cycleways contributing to healthy lifestyles and community safety.</td>
<td>The policy may deliver minor positive impacts as it is about protection and improvements of cycleways/ footpaths.</td>
<td>Possible minor positive impacts. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy as it is about protection of footpaths and cycleways.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report
North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK27: SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS</td>
<td>Policy CS9: Addressing climate change. NPPF: 10 – meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change. Emerging Local Plan will have a policy promoting sustainable drainage systems.</td>
<td>NK27 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF in seeking to minimise surface and roof water run off in development over 50sqm. The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as it relates to the minimisation of surface and roof water run-off. May deliver small improvements in water quality.</td>
<td>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Limited impact. No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy as it is about promotion of sustainable drainage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening and Determination Report

#### North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY NK28: SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS</td>
<td>Policy CS9: Addressing climate change. NPPF: 10 – meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change. Emerging Local Plan will have a policy to prevent net loss or sterilisation of natural assets and resources.</td>
<td>NK29 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF as it seeks to ensure that full details of proposed drainage systems for surface and foul water are submitted and approved in development proposals.</td>
<td>The policy is unlikely to result in significant effects as it strengthens the process for consideration of drainage issues.</td>
<td>No significant effects identified.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>No negative effect arising from this policy as it is about the submission of full details of sustainable drainage in development proposals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

#### Policy NK29: AQUIFER

**Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) / NPPF / Emerging Local Plan (LP):**
- Policy CS9: Addressing climate change. CS17: Countryside, rural centres and rural villages.
- NPPF: 10 – meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change.

**Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ Emerging Local Plan:**
NK29 is considered to be in general conformity with the CS and NPPF as it seeks to avoid significant adverse impact to local aquifers and surface water drainage.

**Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations:**
The policy may have minor positive effects as it seeks to strengthen protection for the areas 2 aquifers and therefore the flora and fauna associated with them.

**Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment:**
Limited positive impacts. No significant effects identified.

**Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km):**
None.

**Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA):**
No negative effect arising from this policy as it is about protecting the parish’s aquifers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>North Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Policy</th>
<th>Relevant Policy in Harborough District Core Strategy (CS) /NPPF/ emerging Local Plan (LP)</th>
<th>Relationship between NK Neighbourhood Plan and Core Strategy/ emerging Local Plan</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on national and local historic and/or environmental designations</th>
<th>Conclusions relating to Strategic Environmental Assessment</th>
<th>Potential for likely significant effects on Natura 2000 sites within 50km (nearest Ensor’s Pool SAC within 25km)</th>
<th>Conclusion relating to Habitat Regulations (HRA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| POLICY NK30: DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS  | Policy CS12: Delivering development and supporting infrastructure  
NPPF: Planning conditions and obligations (203-206)  
The emerging Local Plan will set out a policy relating to infrastructure provision through S106 contributions and allow for the introduction of a CIL. | NK30 supports Core Strategy and NPPF policy by setting out local priorities for spending contributions relating to S106 obligations and/or CIL monies. | No significant impacts. | No significant effects identified. | None | No negative effect arising from this policy as it is about funding for improving traffic/parking, road crossing and connectivity, and delivering affordable housing. |