

## APPENDIX C:

### Harborough Proposed Submission Local Plan

#### Proposed modifications

Ref.	Policy /Paragraph	Local Plan Page	Proposed modification	Reason/Respondent raising the issue which the Modification seeks to address	Rep ID
1	Key issue 8: Transport	16	Replace first bullet point: <del>•addressing road infrastructure constraints affecting the Leicester urban fringe and South West Leicestershire, and traffic congestion affecting Lutterworth and The Kibworths to relieve congestion, aid vitality and viability and support the movement of people and goods;</del> with: <b><u>Mitigating the highways and transportation impacts of new development within and, where necessary, beyond the District.</u></b>	To improve clarity.  Leicester City Council (Mr Jeevan Dhesi) [6399]	6750
2	GD3: Development in the countryside Clause 1	34	Add new criterion to GD3.1 as follows: <b><u>the delivery of strategic distribution development in accordance with Policy BE2.2</u></b>	To ensure GD3 takes into account Policy BE2.2, the delivery of which may require development in the countryside.  IDI Gazeley [168]	6018
3	H1 Provision of new housing	52	Add additional sentence to Explanation para 5.1.17 after: Each settlement figure is a residual figure, taking account of existing commitments and previous completions within the settlement. For this reason there are relatively few dwellings required to be found in Rural Centres, where a large number	To improve clarity  Mr & Mrs Sandercock [6522] Kibworth Harcourt Parish Council (Dr Kevin Feltham)	7538 5398

Ref.	Policy /Paragraph	Local Plan Page	Proposed modification	Reason/Respondent raising the issue which the Modification seeks to address	Rep ID
			of planning applications have been recently approved. Add: <b><u>In relation to Broughton Astley, the Kibworths, Husbands Bosworth, Ullesthorpe, Great Bowden, and North Kilworth, the residual housing requirement is zero due to the high level of recent completions and ongoing housing commitments.</u></b>	[3789] Mr Peter Jones [6225] Mr Ivan Crane [6014]	6124 6086
4	H2: Affordable Housing Clause 1c	58	Delete criterion H2.1.c: e. of more than 0.5 hectares	To ensure consistency with national planning practice guidance Paragraph: 031 Reference ID: 23b-031-20161116  Mr Paul Johnson [953]	   5809
5	BE2: Strategic distribution Clause 2f	81	Change criterion 2f from: <del>ensure 24 hour operations do not have an unacceptable environmental, community or landscape impact in the immediate and wider surrounding area.</del> To: ensure <b>the development, including</b> 24 hour operations, does not have an unacceptable environmental, community or landscape impact in the immediate and wider surrounding area.	To improve clarity.	N/A
6	RT1: Provision of new retail uses Clause 3a vi	94	Remove criterion 3.a.vi from allocation criteria: <del>mitigating flood risk.</del>	To avoid duplication as covered by IN4 and CC4  Anglian Water Services Ltd (Mr Stewart Patience) [4571]	  6695 7280
7	RT1: Provision of new retail uses Clause 3b iv	94	Remove criterion 3.b.iv from allocation criteria: <del>mitigating flood risk</del>	To avoid duplication as covered by IN4 and CC4	

Ref.	Policy /Paragraph	Local Plan Page	Proposed modification	Reason/Respondent raising the issue which the Modification seeks to address	Rep ID
				Anglian Water Services Ltd (Mr Stewart Patience) [4571]	6696 7280
8	RT4: Tourism and leisure Clause 3c	106	Remove criterion RT.3.c from allocation criteria: <del>mitigates flood risk</del>	To avoid duplication as covered by IN4 and CC4  Anglian Water Services Ltd (Mr Stewart Patience) [4571]	6686
9	RT4: Tourism and leisure Explanation para. 7.7.7	108	Add additional sentence in at Explanation para 7.7.7: <b><u>An element of residential and office development would be acceptable on the site providing it is small scale and complementary to the allocated uses (leisure, entertainment and tourism), and secures the viability of the overall redevelopment scheme.</u></b>	To improve the viability of the site.  Rockridge Properties Ltd [6256]	7491 7495 6259 6418 6420
10	RT4: Tourism and leisure Explanation para. 7.7.11	109	Delete Explanation para 7.7.11: <del>The Canal and River Trust Destination Management Plan, 2016 sets out a vision for the site and a shared statement of intent as to how to manage the area as a regional tourist destination over a period of time, identifying necessary actions for stakeholders and apportioning resources accordingly. The proposed development is a Leicester and Leicestershire Economic Partnership (LLEP) priority through the Strategic Economic Plan, 2015 and there is future potential for the Canal and River Trust to work with a commercial accommodation development partner to progress a scheme for the site. Any future visitor experience enhancement to Foxton Locks will be supported by the Council in partnership with the Canal and River Trust to help ensure that the future of the Locks is secured through appropriate sensitive sustainable development, providing this</del>	To remove inappropriate reference to the Destination Management Plan and commercial accommodation development providers.  Canal & River Trust (Mr Ian Dickinson) [4440]	6722 7282

Ref.	Policy /Paragraph	Local Plan Page	Proposed modification	Reason/Respondent raising the issue which the Modification seeks to address	Rep ID
			<p>maintains and enhances the heritage asset in accordance with Policy HC1 Built heritage.</p> <p>and replace with:</p> <p><b><u>The Canal and River Trust, as owner and operator of Foxton Locks, is working to identify how best to manage the area as a regional tourist destination in the future. Foxton Locks is identified as one of four projects in the Leicester and Leicestershire Canal and Rivers Investment Programme, part of the Leicester &amp; Leicestershire Economic Partnership's Strategic Economic Plan (2014-2020). Appropriate sensitive, sustainable development to enhance future visitor experience of Foxton Locks will be supported by the Council, providing that this maintains and enhances the heritage asset in accordance with Policy HC1.</u></b></p>		
11	HC1: Built heritage Clause 2	110	<p>Amend Clause 2 from:</p> <p><u>Development will be refused where the proposal would lead to harm to or the loss of significance of a heritage asset and/or its setting, unless it can be demonstrated that the harm or loss is clearly outweighed by the public benefits of the proposal.</u></p> <p>To:</p> <p><b><u>Where the proposed development would lead to substantial harm to a designated heritage asset within its setting, or its total loss, planning permission will not be granted unless:-</u></b></p> <p><b><u>a) The proposed development would result in substantial public benefits which demonstrably outweigh the consequential harm to, or loss of, the heritage asset; or</u></b></p>	<p>To ensure compliance with NPPF (paras 133 and 134)</p> <p>Gladman Developments (Mr Richard Agnew) [6504]</p> <p>Davidsons Developments Limited [4740]</p> <p>Bidwells (Mr Robert Love) [4663]</p>	<p>7425</p> <p>7364</p> <p>7609</p>

Ref.	Policy /Paragraph	Local Plan Page	Proposed modification	Reason/Respondent raising the issue which the Modification seeks to address	Rep ID
			<p><b><u>b) The nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and</u></b>  <b><u>c) No viable use can be found within a reasonable period of time that would enable its conservation despite appropriate marketing at a reasonable price reflecting the location, size and condition of the heritage asset; and</u></b>  <b><u>d) conservation by grant-funding or some form of charitable or public ownership is demonstrably not possible; and</u></b>  <b><u>e) The harm or loss is demonstrably outweighed by the benefits to the public of bringing the site back into beneficial use.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Where the proposed development would lead to less than substantial harm to a heritage asset and/or its setting, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal, including securing its optimum viable use.</u></b></p>		
12	HC2: Community facilities Para. 8.3.2	115	<p>Amend Explanation para. 8.3.2 as follows:</p> <p>Community facilities (for example, places of worship, town and village halls, community centres, indoor sports facilities, schools, doctors' surgeries and libraries) <b><u>provide for the health and wellbeing, social, educational, spiritual, recreational, leisure and cultural needs of the community. They</u></b> play an important role at the heart of communities, providing hubs for recreation, congregation and interaction through which social networks can be maintained, while minimising the need to travel.</p>	<p>To rectify absence of reference to culture despite its inclusion in Objective 13.</p> <p>The Theatres Trust (Mr Ross Anthony) [3907]</p>	5341
13	CC3: Managing	140	Amend criterion CC3.2.a as follows:	To reinforce an important	

Ref.	Policy /Paragraph	Local Plan Page	Proposed modification	Reason/Respondent raising the issue which the Modification seeks to address	Rep ID
	flood risk 2a		It satisfactorily addresses the mitigation, flood management, <b><u>flood resilience measures</u></b> and design requirements identified in a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment of all sources of flood risk on the site and down stream of the site.	issue which a Flood Risk Assessment should address.  Environment Agency (Mr Nick Wakefield) [5127]	6816
14	CC3: Managing flood risk 3b	140	Amend criterion CC3.3.b as follow: Flood Zone 3b: water compatible development <b><u>where appropriate</u></b> ; this zone will be safeguarded to ensure protection of the functional floodplain.	To reflect that such development may not always be appropriate.  Environment Agency (Mr Nick Wakefield) [5127]	6818
15	CC4: Sustainable drainage 3g	143	Amend criterion CC4.3.g as follows: Demonstrate that the peak rate run-off over the lifetime of the development, allowing for climate change, is no greater for the developed site than it was for the undeveloped site <b><u>and reduced wherever possible</u></b> .	To reflect that a reduction may be achievable and beneficial, and in light of heavy clay soils.  Environment Agency (Mr Nick Wakefield) [5127]	6822
16	SC1: Scraptoft North SDA Clause 4/ Inset Map 55 (Houghton on the Hill)	168	Amend Inset Map 55 (Houghton on the Hill) to show correct boundary for the proposed golf course. See map below.	Incorrect boundary shown on Inset Map 55.  Parker Strategic Land Limited [6459]	7558

Proposed amendment to SC1.4 – Amended golf course allocation

