South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan

Summary of representations submitted by Harborough District Council to the independent examiner pursuant to Regulation 17 of Part 5 of The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012

Name	Policy	Full Representation
	/Page	
Historic England 2nd Floor, WINDSOR HOUSE, CLIFTONVILLE, NORTHAMPTON, NN1 5BE		South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Thank you for you email of 20 June 2018 consulting us on the submission stage of the Kilworth neighbourhood plan. We have no further comments to make to our response dated 16 February 2018 on the pre-submission plan.
Leicestershire County Council	Policies H1, H2 and H5 Page 56 – Policy CF3	South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Comments Request – 20th June 2018 Leicestershire County Council is supportive of the Neighbourhood plan process and welcome being included in this consultation. Highways Specific Comments Policies H1, H2 and H5 – The design of the access to and the impact of new development will be determined through the planning process and technical approval by LCC. Page 56 – Policy CF3: Expansion of the Primary School – will be supported only if the expansion would not create severe access related, parking or traffic circulation problems that cannot be mitigated, and does not have an adverse impact on existing community facilities (T&S) The school currently has yellow 'keep clear' markings to protect the entrance, a small parking layby is located adjacent to Walcote Road, no staff car parking is apparent for school staff. This Policy talks about supporting expansion of the school if that would not cause 'severe' access related, parking or
	Page 59 – Policy TR1	 traffic circulation problems. Such expansion should not be supported if doing so causes any negative impacts. Page 59 – Policy TR1 Traffic Management (T&S) a) Existing 7.5t restriction in force prohibits the through movements of HGV's unless delivering to addresses in the area, whilst North Road /Rugby Road are not included within the restrictions recent changes at M1 jct19 and the

r	
	existing restrictions at Swinford discourage these routes for general traffic.
	d) The parish must be fully aware of the costs associated with access improvements as this would need to be fully
	funded by a third party and not LCC.
	e) The parish must be fully aware of the costs associated with provision of new footpaths/cycle ways as this would
	need to be fully funded by a third party and not LCC.
	See reference to Policies H1, H2 and H5 above.
	Also, where the creation of footpaths and cycle ways are considered this is unlikely to fit in with current County Council
	funding criteria and would only be supported if third party funding where available.
	Any traffic calming measures for the village would have to be assessed against
	Council criteria for funding, unless third party funding were made available.
	It should be noted that within the Neighbourhood Plan area there has been just one
	recorded injury accident within the last five years. This involved slight injuries and did
	not occur within the built up area of the village itself.
	Any speeding issues would have to be established by assessing if there is an actual
	speeding problem, by the use of speed data surveys. Any changes would have to
	take place in consultation with Leicestershire Police.
	General Comments
	The County Council recognises that residents may have concerns about traffic
	conditions in their local area, which they feel may be exacerbated by increased traffic
	due to population, economic and development growth.
	Like very many local authorities, the County Council's budgets are under severe
	pressure. It must therefore prioritise where it focuses its reducing resources and
	increasingly limited funds. In practice, this means that the County Highway Authority
	(CHA), in general, prioritises its resources on measures that deliver the greatest
	benefit to Leicestershire's residents, businesses and road users in terms of road
	safety, network management and maintenance. Given this, it is likely that highway
	measures associated with any new development would need to be fully funded from
	third party funding, such as via Section 278 or 106 (S106) developer contributions. I
	should emphasise that the CHA is generally no longer in a position to accept any
	financial risk relating to/make good any possible shortfall in developer funding.
	To be eligible for S106 contributions proposals must fulfil various legal criteria.
	Measures must also directly mitigate the impact of the development e.g. they should
	ensure that the development does not make the existing highway conditions any
	worse if considered to have a severe residual impact. They cannot unfortunately be
	sought to address existing problems.
	Where potential S106 measures would require future maintenance, which would be
	paid for from the County Council's funds, the measures would also need to be

assessed against the County Council's other priorities and as such may not be	
maintained by the County Council or will require maintenance funding to be provide	
as a commuted sum.	
With regard to public transport, securing S106 contributions for public transport	
services will normally focus on larger developments, where there is a more realistic	
prospect of services being commercially viable once the contributions have stopped	
i.e. they would be able to operate without being supported from public funding.	
The current financial climate means that the CHA has extremely limited funding	
available to undertake minor highway improvements. Where there may be the	
prospect of third party funding to deliver a scheme, the County Council will still	
normally expect the scheme to comply with prevailing relevant national and local	
policies and guidance, both in terms of its justification and its design; the Council will	
also expect future maintenance costs to be covered by the third party funding.	
Where any measures are proposed that would affect speed limits, on-street parking	
restrictions or other Traffic Regulation Orders (be that to address existing problems	
or in connection with a development proposal), their implementation would be	
subject to available resources, the availability of full funding and the satisfactory	
completion of all necessary Statutory Procedures.	
Flood Risk Management	
The Council are fully aware of flooding that has occurred within	
Leicestershire and its impact on residential properties resulting in concerns relating	
to new developments. LCC in our role as the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)	
undertake investigations into flooding, review consent applications to undertake	
works on ordinary watercourses and carry out enforcement where lack of	
maintenance or unconsented works has resulted in a flood risk. In April 2015 the	
LLFA also became a statutory consultee on major planning applications in relation to	
surface water drainage and have a duty to review planning applications to ensure	
that the onsite drainage systems are designed in accordance with current legislation	
and guidance. The LLFA also ensures that flood risk to the site is accounted for	
when designing a drainage solution.	
The LLFA is not able to:	
 Prevent development where development sites are at low risk of flooding or can 	
demonstrate appropriate flood risk mitigation.	
 Use existing flood risk to adjacent land to prevent development. 	
 Require development to resolve existing flood risk. 	
When considering flood risk within the development of a neighbourhood plan, the	
LLFA would recommend consideration of the following points:	
Locating development outside of river (fluvial) flood risk (Flood Map for Planning	

(Rivers and Sea)).
Locating development outside of surface water (pluvial) flood risk (Risk of
Flooding from Surface Water map).
Locating development outside of any groundwater flood risk by considering any
local knowledge of groundwater flooding.
 How potential SuDS features may be incorporated into the development to
enhance the local amenity, water quality and biodiversity of the site as well as
manage surface water runoff.
 Watercourses and land drainage should be protected within new developments to
prevent an increase in flood risk.
All development will be required to restrict the discharge and retain surface water on
site in line with current government policies. This should be undertaken through the
use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). Appropriate space allocation for SuDS features should be included
within development sites when considering the
housing density to ensure that the potential site will not limit the ability for good
SuDS design to be carried out. Consideration should also be given to blue green
corridors and how they could be used to improve the bio-diversity and amenity of
new developments, including benefits to surrounding areas.
Often ordinary watercourses and land drainage features (including streams, culverts
and ditches) form part of development sites. The LLFA recommend that existing
watercourses and land drainage (including watercourses that form the site boundary)
are retained as open features along their original flow path, and are retained in public
open space to ensure that access for maintenance can be achieved. This should
also be considered when looking at housing densities within the plan to ensure that
these features can be retained.
LCC, in its role as LLFA will not support proposals contrary to LCC policies.
For further information it is suggested reference is made to the National Planning
Policy Framework (March 2012), Sustainable drainage systems: Written statement -
HCWS161 (December 2014) and the Planning Practice Guidance webpage.
Planning
Developer Contributions
If there is no specific policy on Section 106 developer contributions/planning
obligations within the draft Neighbourhood Plan, it would be prudent to consider the
inclusion of a developer contributions/planning obligations policy, along similar lines
to those shown for example in the Draft North Kilworth NP and the draft Great Glen
NP albeit adapted to the circumstances of your community. This would in general be
consistent with the relevant District Council's local plan or its policy on planning
obligations in order to mitigate the impacts of new development and enable
appropriate local infrastructure and service provision in accordance with the relevant

legislation and regulations, where employed
legislation and regulations, where applicable.
www.northkilworth.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/nk-draft-low-resolution-1.pdf http://www.harborough.gov.uk/downloads/file/3599/great_glen_referendum_version_2pdf
nup.//www.narborougn.gov.uk/downloads/lile/5599/great_glen_referendum_version_2pdf
Mineral & Waste Planning
The County Council is the Minerals and Waste Planning Authority; this means the
council prepares the planning policy for minerals and waste rearrange Additionally, this means the
makes decisions on mineral and waste development.
Although neighbourhood plans cannot include policies that cover minerals and waste
development, it may be the case that your neighbourhood contains an existing or
planned minerals or waste site. The County Council can provide information on
these operations or any future development planned for your neighbourhood.
You should also be aware of Mineral Consultation Areas, contained within the
adopted Minerals Local Plan and Mineral and Waste Safeguarding proposed in the
new Leicestershire Minerals and Waste Plan. These proposed safeguarding areas
and existing Mineral Consultation Areas are there to ensure that non-waste and nonminerals
development takes place in a way that does not negatively affect mineral
resources or waste operations. The County Council can provide guidance on this if
your neighbourhood plan is allocating development in these areas or if any proposed
neighbourhood plan policies may impact on minerals and waste provision.
Education
Whereby housing allocations or preferred housing developments form part of a
Neighbourhood Plan the Local Authority will look to the availability of school places
within a two mile (primary) and three mile (secondary) distance from the
development. If there are not sufficient places then a claim for Section 106 funding
will be requested to provide those places.
It is recognised that it may not always be possible or appropriate to extend a local
school to meet the needs of a development, or the size of a development would yield
a new school. However, in the changing educational landscape, the Council retains
a statutory duty to ensure that sufficient places are available in good schools within
its area, for every child of school age whose parents wish them to have one.
Property
Strategic Property Services
No comment at this time.
Adult Social Care
It is suggested that reference is made to recognising a significant growth in the older
population and that development seeks to include bungalows etc of differing tenures

to accommodate the increase. This would be in line with the draft Adult Social Care
Accommodation Strategy for older people which promotes that people should plan
ahead for their later life, including considering downsizing, but recognising that
people's choices are often limited by the lack of suitable local options.
Environment
With regard to the environment and in line with the Governments advice,
Leicestershire County Council (LCC) would like to see Neighbourhood Plans cover
all aspects of the natural environment including climate change, the landscape,
biodiversity, ecosystems, green infrastructure as well as soils, brownfield sites and
agricultural land.
Climate Change
The County Council through its Environment Strategy and Carbon Reduction
Strategy is committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions in Leicestershire and
increasing Leicestershire's resilience to the predicted changes in climate.
Neighbourhood Plans should in as far as possible seek to contribute to and support a reduction in greenhouse gas
emissions and increasing the county's resilience to
climate change.
Landscape
The County Council would like to see the inclusion of a local landscape assessment
taking into account Natural England's Landscape character areas; LCC's Landscape
and Woodland Strategy and the Local District/Borough Council landscape character
assessments. We would recommend that Neighbourhood Plans should also consider
the street scene and public realm within their communities, further advice can be
found in the latest 'Streets for All East Midlands ' Advisory Document (2006)
published by English Heritage.
Biodiversity
The Natural Environment and Communities Act 2006 places a duty on all public
authorities in England and Wales to have regard, in the exercise of their duties, to
the purpose of conserving biodiversity. The National Planning Policy Framework
(NPPF) clearly outlines the importance of sustainable development alongside the
core principle that planning should contribute to conserving and enhancing the
natural environment and reducing pollution. Neighbourhood Plans should therefore
seek to work in partnership with other agencies to develop and deliver a strategic
approach to protecting and improving the natural environment based on local
evidence and priorities. Each Neighbourhood Plan should consider the impact of
potential development on enhancing biodiversity and habitat connectivity such as
hedgerows and greenways.
The Leicestershire and Rutland Environmental Records Centre (LRERC) can

provide a summary of wildlife information for your Neighbourhood Plan area. This
will include a map showing nationally important sites (e.g. Sites of Special Scientific
Interest); locally designated Wildlife Sites; locations of badger setts, great crested
newt breeding ponds and bat roosts; and a list of records of protected and priority
Biodiversity Action Plan species. These are all a material consideration in the
planning process. If there has been a recent Habitat Survey of your plan area, this
will also be included. LRERC is unable to carry out habitat surveys on request from
a Parish Council, although it may be possible to add it into a future survey
programme.
Contact: planningecology@leics.gov.uk, or phone 0116 305 4108
Green Infrastructure
Green infrastructure (GI) is a network of multi-functional green space, urban and
rural, which is capable of delivering a wide range of environmental and quality of life
benefits for local communities, (NPPF definition). As a network, GI includes parks,
open spaces, playing fields, woodlands, street trees, cemeteries/churchyards
allotments and private gardens as well as streams, rivers, canals and other water
bodies and features such as green roofs and living walls.
The NPPF places the duty on local authorities to plan positively for a strategic
network of GI which can deliver a range of planning policies including: building a
strong, competitive economy; creating a sense of place and promote good design;
promoting healthier communities by providing greater opportunities for recreation
and mental and physical health benefits; meeting the challenges of climate change
and flood risk; increasing biodiversity and conserving and enhancing the natural
environment. Looking at the existing provision of GI networks within a community
can influence the plan for creating & enhancing new networks and this assessment
can then be used to inform CIL (Community Infrastructure Levy) schedules, enabling
communities to potentially benefit from this source of funding.
Neighbourhood Plan groups have the opportunity to plan GI networks at a local scale
to maximise benefits for their community and in doing so they should ensure that
their Neighbourhood Plan is reflective of the relevant Local Authority Green
Infrastructure strategy. Through the Neighbourhood Plan and discussions with the
Local Authority Planning teams and potential Developers communities are well
placed to influence the delivery of local scale GI networks.
Brownfield, Soils and Agricultural Land
The NPPF encourages the effective use of brownfield land for development,
provided that it is not of high environmental/ecological value. Neighbourhood
planning groups should check with DEFRA if their neighbourhood planning area
includes brownfield sites. Where information is lacking as to the ecological value of

these sites then the Neighbourhood Plan could include policies that ensure such
survey work should be carried out to assess the ecological value of a brownfield site
before development decisions are taken.
Soils are an essential finite resource on which important ecosystem services such as
food production, are dependent on. They therefore should be enhanced in value and
protected from adverse effects of unacceptable levels of pollution. Within the
governments "Safeguarding our Soils" strategy, DEFRA have produced a code of
practice for the sustainable use of soils on construction sites which could be helpful
to neighbourhood planning groups in preparing environmental policies.
High quality agricultural soils should, where possible be protected from development
and where a large area of agricultural land is identified for development then
planning should consider using the poorer quality areas in preference to the higher
quality areas. Neighbourhood planning groups should consider mapping agricultural
land classification within their plan to enable informed decisions to be made in the
future. Natural England can provide further information and Agricultural Land
classification.
Impact of Development on Civic Amenity Infrastructure
Neighbourhood planning groups should remain mindful of the interaction between
new development applications in a district area and the Leicestershire County
Council. The County's Waste Management team considers proposed developments
on a case by case basis and when it is identified that a proposed development will
have a detrimental effect on the local civic amenity infrastructure then appropriate
projects to increase the capacity to off-set the impact have to be initiated.
Contributions to fund these projects are requested in accordance with
Leicestershire's Planning Obligations Policy and the Community Infrastructure
Legislation Regulations.
Communities
Communities
Consideration of community facilities is a positive facet of Neighbourhood Plans that
reflects the importance of these facilities within communities and can proactively
protect and develop facilities to meet the needs of people in local communities.
Neighbourhood Plans provide an opportunity to;
1. Carry out and report on a review of community facilities, groups and allotments
and their importance with your community.
2. Set out policies that seek to;
protect and retain these existing facilities,
• support the independent development of new facilities, and,
• identify and protect Assets of Community Value and provide support for any
existing or future designations.

	 3. Identify and support potential community projects that could be progressed. You are encouraged to consider and respond to all aspects community resources as part of the Neighbourhood Planning process. Further information, guidance and examples of policies and supporting information is available at www.leicestershirecommunities.org.uk/np/useful-information. Economic Development We would recommend including economic development aspirations with your Plan, outlining what the community currently values and whether they are open to new development of small businesses etc. Superfast Broadband High speed broadband is critical for businesses and for access to services, many of which are now online by default. Having a superfast broadband connection is no longer merely desirable, but is an essential requirement in ordinary daily life. All new developments (including community facilities) should have access to superfast broadband (of at least 30Mbps) Developers should take active steps to incorporate superfast broadband at the pre-planning phase and should engage with telecoms providers to ensure superfast broadband is available as soon as build on the development is complete. Developments of 30+ properties. Consideration for developers to make provision in all new houses regardless of the size of development should be considered. Equalities While we cannot comment in detail on plans, you may wish to ask stakeholders to bear the Council's Equality Strategy 2016-2020 in mind when taking your Neighbourhood Plan forward through the relevant procedures, particularly for engagement and consultation work. A copy of the strategy can be view at: www.leicestershire.gov.uk/sites/default/files/field/pdf/2017/1/30/equalitystrategy2016-2020.pdf
National Grid	South Kilworth Neighbourhood Plan Consultation SUBMISSION ON BEHALF OF NATIONAL GRID National Grid has appointed Wood to review and respond to development plan consultations on its behalf. We are instructed by our client to submit the following representation with regards to the above Neighbourhood Plan consultation. About National Grid National Grid owns and operates the high voltage electricity transmission system in England and Wales and operate the Scottish high voltage transmission system. National Grid also owns and operates the gas transmission system. In the UK, gas leaves the transmission system and enters the distribution networks at high pressure. It is then transported through a number of reducing pressure tiers until it is finally delivered to our customer. National Grid own four of the

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		UK's gas distribution networks and transport gas to 11 million homes, schools and businesses through 81,000 miles of
		gas pipelines within North West, East of England, West Midlands and North London.
		To help ensure the continued safe operation of existing sites and equipment and to facilitate future infrastructure
		investment, National Grid wishes to be involved in the preparation, alteration and review of plans and strategies which
		may affect our assets.
		Specific Comments
		An assessment has been carried out with respect to National Grid's electricity and gas transmission apparatus which
		includes high voltage electricity assets and high-pressure gas pipelines and also National Grid Gas Distribution's Intermediate / High Pressure apparatus.
		National Grid has identified the following high voltage overhead powerline and high-pressure gas pipeline as falling
		within the Neighbourhood area boundary:
		 ZL Route - 400kV from East Claydon substation in Aylesbury Vale to Enderby substation in Blaby FM02 - Duddington to Churchover
		From the consultation information provided, the above overhead powerline and underground high- pressure gas
		pipeline does not interact with any of the proposed development sites.
		Gas Distribution – Low / Medium Pressure
		Whilst there are no implications for National Grid Gas Distribution's Intermediate / High Pressure apparatus, there may
		however be Low Pressure (LP) / Medium Pressure (MP) Gas Distribution pipes present within proposed development
		sites. If further information is required in relation to the Gas Distribution network please contact
		plantprotection@cadentgas.com
		Key resources / contacts
		National Grid has provided information in relation to electricity and transmission assets via the following internet link:
		http://www2.nationalgrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shape-files/
		The first point of contact for all works within the vicinity of gas distribution assets is Plant Protection
		(plantprotection@nationalgrid.com).
Harborough		Comments on South Kilworth NP – Examination version
District Council	5.1 - 1st	5.1 - 1st paragraph: Delete UK as NPPF sets out planning policies for England only.
The Symington	paragraph	5.1 - TSt paragraph. Delete OK as NEET sets out planning policies for England only.
Building	paragraph	
Market Harborough	6.2 – 3rd	6.2 – 3rd paragraph: May be clearer to say:
ina not na sol ough	paragraph:	As a result the housing target for South Kilworth up to 2031 is 20 units. This is in addition to any housing developments
	paragraph.	with planning permission as at 31 March 2017.
	6.3 – 2nd	6.3 – 2nd paragraph: Did the sites go through some sort of assessment process which can be referred to? What were
		the 'only sites that came forward'?
	paragraph:	
		'Deemed unsuitable by Harborough District Council' is misleading as I understand this was an informal discussion with a planning policy officer who gave initial feedback on the potential suitability of sites only.
	6.3 – 3rd	6.3 – 3rd paragraph: Officer views on the potential way forward were discussed rather than agreed. Replace 'agreed'

	paragraph:	with 'discussed as a potential approach'.
	6.4 –	6.4 – Methodology: In some cases clearly defined physical features have not been followed (i.e. along Walcote Road)
	Methodology	and it would be helpful to have an explanation of why this has not been possible in some cases. Policy H5: include 'and after criterion e).
	Figure 7:	Figure 7: Important Open Space. The amp shows 'Monte Bello frontage' as IOS but this is not referred to in Policy ENV 2.
	Policy ENV 5:	Policy ENV 5: The part of the policy relating to hedgerows should reflect the last sentence of the policy explanation (i.e. 'wherever practicable') and set out how any unavoidable loss of hedgerows should be mitigated (through planting of new trees, hedges and woodland)
	Policy ENV 6:	Policy ENV 6: Second part of policy. 'Permitted development' is just that and the policy can't be enforced. Would be better in explanation or as a community action to encourage this approach.
	Policy ENV 9:	Policy ENV 9: Not clear what this policy is trying to add to the emerging strategic Local Plan policy to which it refers.
	Policy CF2	Policy CF2: include 'and' after criterion c).
	Policy E2: Criterion b)	Policy E2: Criterion b) – What does 'within or adjacent to South Kilworth Village mean'? There needs to be clarification as to whether this means Limits to Development or the wider built up area of the village.
	Policies E2 and E4:	Policies E2 and E4: Include 'and' after criterion c).
Sport England Sport Park, 3		Thank you for consulting Sport England on the above neighbourhood plan.
Oakwood Drive, Loughborough, Leicester, LE11 3QF		Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important.
		It is essential therefore that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Pars 96 and 97. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document.

	http://www.sportengland.org/playingfieldspolicy
	Sport England provides guidance on developing planning policy for sport and further information can be found via the link below. Vital to the development and implementation of planning policy is the evidence base on which it is founded. http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/planning-for-sport/forward-planning/
ENV1	ENV1 We have noted that ENV1 does not designate Leys Crescent (identified in the Harborough PPS as South Kilworth Playing Fields) as a Local Green Space (LGS), despite the site meeting the criteria set out by the NPPF. This does not appear to be in keeping with the vision and objectives in section 4 of the plan, which highlights the need to retain and enhance the character and appeal of the unique assets of the parish including open green spaces. The PPS identifies that sections of the site are of poor quality therefore the plan should be reviewed to link with the requirements for improvement set out in the PPS and include an approach towards securing sports facility provision through the growth proposed.
ENV2	ENV2 We note that Leys Crescent/South Kilworth playing field site has been designated as an Important Open Space after being identified by the community, which is positive as the site is offered protection by this policy. However, the protection offered by this policy is not as great as the protection offered to sites designated as LGS. As the playing fields meet the criteria set out in the NPPF for a LGS we would recommend the site designation be reviewed and re- designated as a LGS.
H1	H1 We have noted that H1 designates the Abbatoir site for housing. Due to the allocation bordering the playing field and the close proximity of any development to the sports field, this allocation has the potential to prejudice the use of the playing fields. The Council will be aware of Sport England's role as a statutory consultee on planning applications affecting playing fields and we would wish to avoid having to make potential objections through the plan process and the subsequent planning application process to address the impact of potential allocations on community sports facilities. Therefore we would recommend that this allocation includes specific policies to require assessment work and any required mitigation to ensure the development will not prejudice the use of the playing fields.
H7	H7 Sport England supports the idea within the vision of supporting opportunities for residents to lead a healthy lifestyle. Sport and recreation can play a big part in helping to achieve this and we would encourage the health impact to be a design consideration for new communities and would encourage the inclusion of a design policy which encourages developments to be designed to promote active lifestyles through sport and physical activity, through use of Sport England's and Public Health England's established Active Design guidance (<u>http://www.sportengland.org/facilities- planning/planning-for-sport/planning-tools-and-guidance/active-design/</u>).

CF1	CF1
	The plan identifies Lees Crescent/South Kilworth playing fields as an existing community facility and amenity. Sport
	England are generally supportive of this policy. However we would like to draw your attention to paragraph 97 of the
	NPPF which requires the demand/need for the facility to be demonstrated through a robust and up to date assessment
	(such as the recently completed PPS available in the following link,
	https://www.harborough.gov.uk/downloads/file/4352/gr6a_harborough_pps_final_report_080218). Therefore the policy
	should be specific and require any case made to demonstrate a sports facility as surplus should be justified through
	reference to the Playing Pitch Strategy or other appropriate needs assessment. Also the NPPF is specific about
	alternative provision and states that any replacement facility should be of equivalent or better provision in terms of
	quantity and quality and in a suitable location. We would recommend that the policy should reflect and be in
	accordance with the NPPF, therefore the policy should be updated to specify the requirement for equivalent or better
	provision in terms of quantity and quality. The NPPF also includes an exception for if the development is for alternative
	sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss of the existing facility. This is seen as a
	more effective and implementable policy than the proposed wording of CF1 b) therefore we would recommend the
	policy be reworded to reflect and incorporate national policy.
	Sport England would be willing to provide further advice to the Council in relation to sport and we would be willing to
	discuss the comments made in response to the questions or provide comments on any draft policy wording in advance
	of formal consultation