Harborough District Council

Green Wedge Review

Prepared: December 2011

CONTENTS

| Section | | Page |
|-----------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 2 | Overview of Current Green Wedge Designations | 2 |
| | 2.1: Green Wedges in the Leicester PUA | 2 |
| | 2.2: Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge | 3 |
| | 2.3: Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge | 4 |
| 3 | Policy Background | 5 |
| | 3.1: Strategic Policy Context (1987-2005) | 5 5 6 |
| | 3.2: Regional Plan (2009) | 6 |
| | 3.3: Local Plan (2001) | 7 |
| | 3.4: Core Strategy (2011) | 8 |
| | 3.5: Draft national Planning Policy Framework (2011) | 9 |
| 4 | Review Methodology | 10 |
| | 4.1: Development of the Methodology | 10 |
| | 4.2: Desk Top Review | 10 |
| | 4.3: Site Visits | 11 |
| 5 | Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge | 11 |
| | 5.1: Overview of Survey Findings | 11 |
| | 5.2: Evaluation Table | 13 |
| | 5.3: Summary | 14 |
| | 5.4: Recommendations | 15 |
| 6 | Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge | 16 |
| | 6.1: Overview of Survey Findings | 16 |
| | 6.2: Evaluation Table | 19 |
| | 6.3: Summary | 21 |
| A a aliiv | 6.4: Recommendations | 22 |
| Appendix | Cara Stratagy Croop Wadge Policy | 25 |
| 1 | Core Strategy Green Wedge Policy | 25 26 |
| 2 3 | Site Visit Proforma for Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge Site Visit Proforma for Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge | 26 36 |
| Figure | Site visit Projointa for Thuriby/Leicestei/Oadby Green wedge | 30 |
| 1 igure 1(a) | Leicester PUA Green Wedges | 3 |
| 1(b) | Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge | 4 |
| 1(c) | Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge | 5 |
| 2 | Proposed Amendment to Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge | 15 |
| - | boundary | - • |
| 3 | Proposed Amendment 1 to Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green | 23 |
| - | Wedge | _• |
| 4 | Proposed Amendment 2 to Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green | 24 |
| - | Wedge | |
| 5 | Proposed Amendment 3 to Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green | 24 |
| | Wedge (see 6.4.3) | |

1. <u>Introduction</u>


- 1.1 There are currently two green wedge designations within Harborough District. The Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge lies entirely within Harborough District and the Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge which adjoins similar designations in Oadby & Wigston Borough and Leicester City. Harborough's green wedge policy is set out in 'saved' policy EV/2 of the Harborough District Local Plan with detailed boundaries shown on the Proposals Map. The emerging Core Strategy sets out the Council's commitment to retaining the principle of green wedges and to carrying out a review of detailed boundaries as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD).
- 1.2 The purpose of this study is to assess the boundaries of the green wedges, as defined in the Local Plan, taking into account the spatial strategy for the District and the scale, nature and location of development proposed in the Leicester Principal Urban Area (PUA) as set out in the Core Strategy. Each green wedge will be assessed on whether it fulfils, or has the potential to fulfil, the four functions set out in the East Midlands Regional Plan (2009) and its sensitivity to development
- 1.3 This is a technical report and as such it does not represent Council policy. The assessment will provide evidence to help inform the preparation of the Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD). Policy in the Allocations DPD will eventually replace Local Plan Policy EV/2 in defining detailed green wedge boundaries.
- 1.4 At the time of writing, Leicester City and Oadby & Wigston Borough Councils are also carrying out a review of their green wedge designations which adjoin the Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge. Officer level meetings have taken place to monitor progress.

2. Overview of Current Green Wedge Designations

2.1 Green Wedges in the Leicester PUA

2.1.1 Harborough's green wedges form part of a wider network of green wedges around the Leicester Principal Urban Area (PUA). Figure 1a shows all these green wedges as designated in Local Plans. Many of them cross administrative boundaries. Essentially they are strategic areas of undeveloped land between the PUA and the countryside aimed at preventing the merging of settlements, providing access to the countryside and facilitating recreational opportunities. They guide new development to ensure that existing communities retain access to greenspace and links to the countryside. Although the landscape character is an important quality of the green wedges, they are not landscape designations.


Figure 1a: Leicester PUA Green Wedges



2.2 Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge (Figure 1b)

2.2.1 Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge lies entirely within Harborough and is 67 hectares in area. The majority of the Green Wedge lies to the north of Scraptoft village, to the east of Hamilton Community College/Nether Hall (Leicester City) and to the west of Beeby Road. It extends to the border of the District with Charnwood to the north and comprises Scraptoft Local Nature Reserve (LNR) and Scraptoft Golf Course. Scraptoft Brook runs along the southern edge of the area. 2.2.2 The southern part of the Green Wedge extends from the golf course south to Scraptoft Lane. It lies between Scraptoft village and Leicester City and at its narrowest the separation is less than 100 metres.

Figure 1b: Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge



2.3 Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge (Figure 1c)

- 2.3.1 This more extensive Green Wedge extends from Coles Nursery on the A47 at Thurnby to the District's border with Oadby & Wigston to the south. The Green Wedge covers approximately 280 hectares and at its longest is 2.8 kilometres (1.74 miles). At its widest point it extends 1.76 kilometres (1.1 miles) into open countryside, enveloping the village of Stoughton. At the District's south western boundary, the Green Wedge extends into Oadby where it continues beyond Gartree Road to incorporate the Spire Hospital, open countryside and playing fields abutting the built up area. Leicester City's Evington Green Wedge adjoins the Green Wedge to the west and incorporates Leicestershire Golf Course, Judgemeadow Community College Playing fields, Evington Brook and a number of spinneys.
- 2.3.2 The section to the north of the A47 is dominated by Coles Nursery. The north western part of the Green Wedge separates Thurnby and Leicester. At its narrowest this separation is less than 200 metres. The Green Wedge then extends eastwards, encompassing the slopes

falling away from Thurnby, and southwards to surround the village of Stoughton. In 2005 a Management Strategy was prepared for the Green Wedge area in conjunction with Oadby & Wigston and the Stepping Stones Countryside Management Project.

Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge

G

Figure 1c: Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge

3. Green Wedge Policy Background and Objectives

3.1 Strategic Policy Context (1987-2005)

- 3.1.1 Green Wedge policies were introduced in Leicestershire in the Leicestershire Structure Plan (1987). The Secretary of State's approval made it clear that Green Wedges were considered to be policies for protecting structurally important areas of open land which influence the form and direction of urban development in Leicester.
- 3.1.2 The Leicestershire Structure Plan (1994) maintained Green Wedge policies and set out their general location but left it for Local Plans to set detailed boundaries. Paragraph 3.21 of the Explanatory Memorandum stated the four specific aims of the policy as follows:
 - a) To protect structurally important areas of open land that influence the form and direction of urban development, prevent

coalescence and maintain the physical identity of adjacent settlements:

- b) To ensure that open areas of land extend outwards from urban centres to preserve links with open countryside;
- c) To provide appropriate recreational facilities within easy reach of urban residents;
- d) To promote the positive management of such wedges to ensure that they remain or are enhanced as attractive environmental features with good public access.
- 3.1.3 Policies in the Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Structure Plan (2005) again supported the continuation of green wedges but slightly amended their purpose to include reference to 'preserving strategic landscape and wildlife links' and left it for local plan reviews to look again at their detailed boundaries. The Structure Plan however was replaced by the Regional Plan following the introduction of the 2004 planning system.

3.2 **Regional Plan (2009)**

3.2.1 The current strategic planning context is set out in the East Midlands Regional Plan (2009). It does not contain a policy on green wedges but instead it emphasises that a review of existing green wedges or the creation of new ones in association with development will be carried out through the local development framework process. Paragraph 4.2.18 of the supporting text states:

'Green Wedges serve useful strategic planning functions in preventing the merging of settlements, guiding development form and providing a 'green lung' into urban areas, and acts as a recreational resource. Although not supported by government policy in the same way as Green Belts, they can serve to identify smaller areas of separation between settlements. Provision will be made in Green Wedges for the retention or creation of green infrastructure or green links between urban open spaces and the countryside, and for the retention and enhancement of public access facilities, particularly for recreation.'

3.2.2 The Regional Plan set the context for the preparation of Green Wedge policy in Core Strategy (see para 3.4). It also formed the basis of the Green Wedge Joint Methodology which was prepared in 2009 (and updated in 2011) in conjunction with other local authorities which have designated green wedges. Whilst the East Midlands Regional Plan will be formally abolished at some point following enactment of the Localism Bill, at the time of writing the Regional Plan is still part of the Development Plan.

3.3 Local Plan (2001)

- 3.3.1 Structure Plan policies set the context for the preparation of the Harborough District Local Plan and the detailed definition of Green Wedges. The outer boundaries of the two Geen Wedges however were originally defined in the adopted Scraptoft, Thurnby and Stoughton Local Plan (1987); the policy dealing with Green Wedges was in 2 parts reflecting distinct roles for particular areas of Green Wedge. Part 1 dealt with those parts of the Green Wedges separating Scraptoft from Leicester and Thurnby from Leicester and the need to ensure that the open nature of the land was maintained. Part 2 of the policy was aimed at protecting the quality, character and operation of agricultural land in the rest of the Green Wedge to the south of Thurnby and protecting the environmental setting of Stoughton.
- 3.3.2 These Green Wedge boundaries along with the policy distinction were taken forward in the Draft and Deposit Local Plans (1993 and 1995 respectively). In the HDLP Deposit Draft Report on Objections (1997) the Inspector recommended that Green Wedge policy:
 - Should give a proper explanation of all their purposes (particularly re: incorporating open land within the urban area as it grows);
 - Should state that Green Wedges lie outside the area covered by countryside policies;
 - Should explain more fully what sort of uses and scale of development would be appropriate in Green Wedges;
 - Should not be seen as either a countryside policy or a 'character and appearance' policy. He considered that policy seeking to maintain agricultural character, goes beyond what is required for the land to function as a Green Wedge insofar as it restricts other non-agricultural open uses; and
 - Should not address the issue of the environmental setting of Thurnby and Stoughton as this is not connected with the purpose of Green Wedges.
- 3.3.3 The Inspector concluded that Part 2 of the policy should be deleted and that the approach taken in Part 1 of the policy should apply to all parts of the Green Wedge. His suggested wording was accepted and incorporated into Policy EV/2 of the Adopted Local Plan:

THE DISTRICT COUNCIL WILL SEEK TO PROTECT THE OPEN AND UNDEVELOPED CHARACTER OF THE GREEN WEDGES DEFINED ON THE PROPOSALS MAPS AND WILL REFUSE PLANNING PERMISSION FOR DEVELOPMENT WHICH WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THAT CHARACTER.

His recommendation to explain in detail the purposes of Green Wedges and acceptable uses within them was also accepted as follows.

- Paragraph 3.4 amended to include 'The purpose of Green Wedges is not to seek to restrict the growth of an urban area but to aim to ensure that, as development extends, open land is incorporated within it. The green wedges should remain open and undeveloped to prevent coalescence of settlements on the fringe of Leicester and to retain links with the countryside. They lie outside the area covered by countryside policies.'
- Paragraph 3.6 amended to include 'These include agriculture, recreation, forestry, transport routes and mineral uses. It is recognised that built development may be required in connection with some of the above uses. However, only small scale development limited to the operational requirements of the activity will normally be permitted, where such development does not detract from the open and undeveloped character of the green wedge.
- 3.3.4 Regarding the boundaries of the Green Wedges, the Inspector felt that there was little practical benefit in including the buildings on the Coles Nursery site and the neighbouring dwellings within the Green Wedge: 'The area is not open and undeveloped and does not fulfil the strategic function of a Green Wedge' (para 3.10). Furthermore he called into question the inclusion of the whole area to the north of the A47 in the Green Wedge. He was of the opinion that it put pressure on Greenfield sites further away from the urban area and with poorer access to services and facilities. He also felt that Thurnby and Leicester north of the A47 were already substantially conjoined to the north.
- 3.3.5 The Inspector recommended therefore that the Green Wedge north of A47 be deleted with the nursery buildings and dwellings included within the limits to development. This recommendation was not taken forward by the Council which considered the land to be 'fundamentally open in character' and 'important in retaining the separation of Leicester and Thurnby'. The Council also felt that the Inspector's concern over Coles Nursery's inclusion in the Green Wedge preventing its development in the longer term and thereby placing additional pressure on less well-placed countryside sites, was 'a matter that should properly be considered in the review of the Local Plan', concluding that there was no need to release the land for development at that time (pages 23-24, HDLP: Statement of Decisions and Reasons on the Inspector's Report and Proposed Modifications, 1991).

3.4 Core Strategy (2011)

3.4.1 From 2009 the East Midlands Regional Plan provided the strategic policy context for emerging Green Wedge policy in the Core Strategy. At all stages of the Core Strategy preparation process, local communities expressed strong support for Green Wedges and their continued protection.

- 3.4.2 Core Strategy policy supports the retention of Green Wedges in principle around the Leicester PUA, commits to a review of detailed boundaries as part of the Allocations DPD, outlines their functions and sets out acceptable uses (see Appendix 1). Core Strategy policy also makes it clear that Green Wedges, along with Separation Areas, will be the 'main focus for Green Infrastructure improvements in urban fringe areas of the District'. The commitment to improving accessibility through the provision of walking/cycling routes and leisure activities and promoting biodiversity in Green Wedges is also reflected in Policy CS15: Leicester Principal Urban Area.
- 3.4.3 Both Leicester City Council and Oadby & Wigston Borough Council have adopted complementary Green Wedge policies in their respective Core Strategies.

3.5 Draft National Planning Policy Framework (2011)

- 3.5.1 The Draft National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) aims to simplify planning policy guidance and reflects the growing importance of the government's localism agenda. Paragraphs 128–132 set out the policy in relation to the delivery of open space, sports and recreation facilities. The document recognises the important role that such uses play in contributing to the health and well-being of communities. Not only does it reinforce the importance of protecting and enhancing rights of way and access, it proposes to allow communities through local and neighbourhood plans to identify for special protection green areas of particular importance to them. Many of the criteria specified for identifying these 'Local Green Spaces' overlap with the aims of the Green Wedges as follows (extract from para 131):
 - Where the green space is in reasonably close proximity to a centre of population or urban area;
 - Where the green area is demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance because of its beauty, historic importance, recreational value, tranquillity or richness of its wildlife;
 - Where the green area concerned is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land; and
 - If the designation does not overlap with Green Belt.
- 3.5.2 Green Wedge policy as set out in the Core Strategy reflects the government's commitment to protecting green space that is valued and used by local communities.
- 3.5.3 The Draft NPPF also highlights that public bodies have a duty to cooperate on planning issues that cross administrative boundaries. The Government expects joint working on areas of common interest to be diligently undertaken for the mutual benefit of neighbouring authorities. The development of the Green Wedge Joint Review Methodology since 2009 is an example of this joint working approach.

3.5.4 Consultation on the draft NPPF closed in October. The final version of the NPPF is awaited at the time of writing, but is expected to be in place as work on the Allocations DPD progresses.

4. Review Methodology

4.1 Development of methodology

- 4.1.1 Many Leicestershire authorities, including Harborough, have cross boundary Green Wedges (see Map 3) and in order for a consistent approach to their boundary review, a joint methodology was developed in 2009 in accordance with the Regional Plan. This Leicester and Leicestershire Green Wedge Review Joint Methodology has been reviewed annually to take account of Government announcements and relevant planning appeal decisions. The 2011 version can be viewed at www.harborough.gov.uk/evidence.
- 4.1.2 The overarching purpose of Green Wedges is to safeguard undeveloped corridors of land between the City and the countryside with the overlapping theme of preventing settlements from merging with one another, providing access to the countryside and facilitating recreational opportunities. Alongside this Green Wedges should guide development form whilst fulfilling the three objectives set out above.
- 4.1.3 The methodology allows for the Green Wedge review to be carried out at a macro scale (looking at the Green Wedge as a whole) or at a micro scale (sub-dividing the Green Wedge into appropriate sections). Whatever approach is taken, the Green Wedge as a whole should achieve all of the functions as set out in the Regional Plan. At the micro scale however sub areas may not meet all four Green Wedge functions.

4.2 Desk Top Review

- 4.2.1 A desk top review was undertaken initially to collect data relating to the Green Wedges on the following:
 - Main land uses/Adjacent uses
 - Current physical Green Wedge boundaries
 - Public access/Public RoW/Informal access
 - Historical landscapes and archaeological remains
 - Minerals and Waste Plan
 - Ecology
 - Development Pressures/Development control decisions/Appeals
 - Areas of flood risk
 - Connection to green infrastructure in the wider area

4.2.2 The District's Green Wedges are mapped on the Council Geographical Information System (GIS) allowing planning histories, public rights of way, flood zones, mineral safeguarding areas, tree preservation orders, listed buildings, local wildlife sites, PPG 17 sites and high pressure gas pipelines to be identified. Arial photography was also used to initially identify land uses and vegetation cover/features.

4.3 Site Visits

4.3.1 Supplementing the desk top findings an initial site visit identified if and how the Green Wedges should be sub-divided for analysis purposes. With the identification of vantage points and RoWs, subsequent site visits allowed the assessment proforma sheets to be completed detailing land uses/features/functions/topography of specific parts of the Green Wedges. Desk top and site survey findings have been combined to inform the assessment of Green Wedges and identify issues.

5. <u>Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge</u>

5.1 Overview of survey findings

5.1.1 Main land uses

The majority of the area is in use as Scraptoft Golf Course, practice area, club house and associated parking. The only other built development in the Green Wedge is a dwelling just off Beeby Road. The area to the south of the golf course, adjoining Scraptoft Brook and Scraptoft village, is a designated Local Nature Reserve (LNR) and is in agricultural use as grazing pasture. Agricultural land to the south curves round Scraptoft, separating it from Leicester. The agricultural land here is more urban fringe in character (smaller partitioned paddocks with horse grazing, associated fencing and jumps) and is surrounded by built development. Like most of the surrounding agricultural land it is Grade 3. The Green Wedge is predominantly open and undeveloped in character. The absence of main roads in the vicinity means that the Green Wedge has a tranquil quality.

5.1.2 Current boundaries:

The western boundary of the Green Wedge is delineated by Hamilton Lane beyond which is Leicester (Hamilton Community College/Nether Hall). Beeby Road, a relatively quiet country road, forms the eastern boundary of the Green Wedge. Beyond Beeby Road is open countryside with the land in agricultural use (primarily arable) with views across to an area of woodland which is subject to a Tree Preservation Order (TPO). This woodland is linked to the Green Wedge by a RoW running alongside Scraptoft Brook; both the brook

and the woodland are identified as wildlife corridors in the Phase 1 Habitat Study. The District's boundary with Charnwood marks the northern extent of the Green Wedge and coincides with the golf course's limit. The southern section of the Green Wedge is almost entirely surrounded by urban development (Leicester and Scraptoft). Unusually, the carriageway of both Scraptoft Lane and Scraptoft Rise currently lie within the Green Wedge. This is an anomaly as elsewhere boundary roads are not incorporated.

5.1.3 **Topography/Key physical features:**

From being relatively flat in the north around the golf course, the terrain slopes gently southwards, falling away more noticeably in the LNR towards Scraptoft Brook. High, often wide, hedges and scattered mature trees prevent significant views into the golf course from the boundary roads. Open views across the LNR are prominent with dense scrub towards the brook and field margins. A significant woodland belt runs to the east of the Green Wedge and is the subject of a Tree Preservation Order. Beyond Scraptoft Brook the land rises more sharply with Nether Hall Farm/Nether Hall prominent on the higher ground. From there the terrain levels off towards Scraptoft Lane, the southern boundary of the Green Wedge. Views into this southern section are more open.

5.1.4 RoW/Public access/Informal access:

RoW footpath D26 crosses the narrowest part of the Green Wedge linking Scraptoft (Hamilton Lane) with Leicester. Footpaths D26A, D19 and D50 run close to the Green Wedge. The LNR is subject to informal public access. A green lane/track crosses the golf course east to west. The accessibility of this track to the public is unknown and will be investigated further as to its potential for public access.

5.1.5 Historical landscapes and archaeological remains:

The management plan for the LNR recognises that the undulating, uneven nature of the site echoes its ridge and furrow history and the underlying rubble of the prisoner of war camp that once occupied the LNR site. The historic core of Scraptoft is a Conservation Area, with several listed buildings, and very different in character to the surrounding, more modern development of both Scraptoft and Leicester City (Nether Hall).

5.1.6 **Ecology**:

The field to the north of Scraptoft was designated as Local Nature Reserve in 2002 due the value of its ecological habitats specifically unimproved grassland, scrub, pond, mature hedgerow and standard trees and a small stream on its southern border (Scraptoft Brook). The Phase 1 Habitat Survey (2008) found that the LNR was heavily grazed, making any determination of species-richness impossible (at the time

of writing the LNR continues to be heavily grazed). The Council adopted the Scraptoft Green Wedge Management Plan as Supplementary Planning Guidance in 2001 and a Scraptoft LNR management plan was drawn up in 2005 by Leicester City Council (as owner). Neither of these documents has been updated since preparation. Scraptoft Brook runs along the southern boundary of the LNR is of ecological significance at a Parish Level and recognised as a wildlife corridor in the Phase 1 Habitat Survey. The Brook links up with the area of broadleaved woodland to the east of Scraptoft (also of Parish Level significance and a wildlife corridor).

5.1.7 Minerals and Waste:

Much of the Green Wedge lies within the minerals safeguarding area for gypsum resources (Leicestershire Minerals Development Framework: Core Strategy & Development Control Policies up to 2021). In common with the rest of Leicester's urban fringe areas, the Green Wedge is included in the 'Broad Locations for Strategic Waste Management Sites' (Leicestershire Waste Development Framework: Core Strategy and Development Control Policies up to 2021). However, policy WCA13 does give added protection to Green Wedges.

5.1.8 Evidence of development pressures:

Since the adoption of the Local Plan in 2001 there has been little evidence development pressure. The only application was in 2007 on the land between the LNR and the golf club (change of use application from football pitch to golf practice area) and was permitted. The 2010 SHLAA update includes one site (ref: UF 003/09) within the Green Wedge as being potentially developable. Development of this site would however undermine the separation of Scraptoft and Leicester.

5.1.9 Flood Risk/Water Management:

There are no flood risk issues identified in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for the Green Wedge.

5.1.10 Socio-economic data:

The Green Wedge is not adjacent to any Priority Neighbourhoods.

5.2 Evaluation Table

| Criteria | Does the Green Wedge meet the criteria? |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Preventing the merging of settlements | Yes. The GW plays a role in preventing coalescence between Scraptoft and Leicester (<100m at narrowest point). Its separation role helps to ensure that the village character of Scraptoft, the core of which is a designated Conservation Area, is protected; a recognised policy priority in the Core |

| | Strategy. Fundamentally the Green Wedge remains open and undeveloped in character. The southern section of the GW is open to views from surrounding parts of the built up area, particularly from New Romney Crescent and Scraptoft Lane bringing greenspace into the urban environment. With the possibility of the Leicester PUA growing in the Hamilton area, within Charnwood District, the north of the Green Wedge will ensure that an open, undeveloped area is maintained to prevent coalescence. |
|---|---|
| Guiding development form | Yes: The Green Wedge will ensure that as Leicester PUA expands (not only within Harborough but in adjoining districts) a substantial block of countryside is protected and remains undeveloped. There are significant development pressures on Scraptoft village and it is likely to receive further development in accordance with the Core Strategy. The designation will guide this development as it is considered as part of the Allocations DPD. |
| Providing a green "lung" into urban areas | Yes. The Green Wedge penetrates the urban area running between Leicester and Scraptoft, ensuring that wildlife has an access corridor locally and into the wider countryside via the LNR and the golf course. The Green Wedge has links with wider green infrastructure assets (i.e. via the Memorial Park to the Separation Area). Scraptoft Brook forms a significant wildlife corridor through the Green Wedge and beyond (woodland belt to east which is subject to a TPO and Nether Hall recreation ground to west). |
| A recreational resource | Yes. The golf course, footpath and informal public access mean that there is a degree of public access across the GW which plays a part in encouraging healthy lifestyles. However, it has the potential to contribute to a greater extent and the Core Strategy provides the policy context for this. As part of the Steeping Stones Countryside Management Project opportunities to improve and formalise access in the Green Wedge need to be pursued. |

5.3 Summary:

- 5.3.1 Overall the Leicester/Stoughton Green Wedge fulfils all of the functions set out in the Core Strategy. It has a role in preventing the coalescence of Scraptoft and Leicester, providing greenspace and green corridors in the urban area, safeguarding valuable ecological habitats close to the PUA and providing recreational opportunities and potential. Working with the Stepping Stones Countryside Management Project and other partners (i.e. Leicester City as owners of the LHR) further recreational opportunities and improved public access in the Green Wedge should be explored.
- 5.3.2 For the most part its detailed boundaries, formed by adjoining development, roads and the District boundary are defensible. The only

- anomaly is the inclusion of the Scraptoft Lane and Scraptoft Rise carriageways within the Green Wedge.
- 5.3.3 Whilst the Core Strategy sets out the scale of development in the PUA up to 2028, the process of accessing and identifying specific sites is yet to be carried out. This report is only one of many being carried out as part of the Allocations DPD evidence base. The extent of allocations within or adjacent to Scraptoft will be considered as part of this process. Scraptoft has seen substantial new development over the past few years and the Council recently granted planning permission (subject to S106 agreement) for 150 dwellings on the site adjacent to The Mount to the east of the village. It is considered however that the current extent and scale of the Green Wedge is appropriate in view of the scale of development envisaged for Scraptoft.

5.4 Recommendations:

- 5.4.1 Retain existing extent of Green Wedge but for the sake of consistency amend detailed boundary to take out Scraptoft Lane and Scraptoft Rise carriageways (see Figure 2); and
- 5.4.2 Develop further initiatives to improve public access, recreational opportunities and biodiversity in the Green Wedge where appropriate.

<u>Figure 2: Proposed Amendment to Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge boundary</u>



6. Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge

6.1 Overview of survey findings

For the sake of analysis the Green Wedge has been split into 2 parts:

Area A - North of Bushby Brook incorporating area north of A47 Area B – Wider Green Wedge area running south from Thurnby to Stoughton.

6.1.1 Main land uses:

Area A – North of the A47, the Green Wedge is dominated by Coles Nursery (ancillary buildings, poly tunnels, planting areas and parking) and nos. 576 – 596 Uppingham Road (detached dwellings). To the west of these properties is an agricultural field (PPG17 open space – specified as grazing for horses). South of the A47 the land is open in character, with horticultural uses (tree plantations) adjacent to Evington and A47 and agricultural grazing on the slopes adjacent to Thurnby. There is a small wooded area (PPG17 area) in the north east corner adjacent to A47 and Thurnby (some of trees are subject to TPO protection). Bushby Brook is a significant landscape feature.

Area B: This area is mainly in agricultural use with large arable fields dominating. Smaller fields of improved grassland exist on the slopes adjacent to Thurnby. An area of horticultural plantation lies adjacent to Evington. Small areas of spinney are also a feature of the landscape especially to the southern end, along with remnant parkland around Stoughton. A stretch of ribbon development (large detached houses) is included in the Green Wedge along Stoughton Lane and Stoughton Lodge Farm has been redeveloped for residential use. Stoughton village is entirely surrounded by Green Wedge but is excluded from it. Although the buildings of Stoughton Park Farm lie outside Harborough, the associated fields lie within the District and allow informal access to surrounding countryside.

6.1.2 Current boundaries:

Area A: Built up parts of Leicester City (Thurnby Lodge and Evington) and Thurnby form the boundaries of the Green Wedge.

Area B: Leicester City (Evington) and neighbouring Green Wedges form the western and south western boundaries. Elsewhere field boundaries are followed where possible. However, the eastern extremity of the Green Wedge on the Thurnby slopes follows a field boundary that no longer exists. This needs to be revised and an alternative defensible boundary established. The ribbon development along Stoughton Lane is currently included in the Green Wedge. The western part of this development however lies in Leicester City and is not included in the Evington Green Wedge. For the sake of

consistency, consideration should be given to removing the ribbon development from the Green Wedge as it is not open and undeveloped. This would reflect the approach taken to Stoughton which is excluded from the Green Wedge.

6.1.3 **Topography/Key physical features:**

Area A: Whilst most of the land to the north of the A47 is relatively flat, it falls away noticeably westwards towards Bushby Brook (Thurnby Hill). This means that despite having mature hedgerows the agricultural field adjacent to Wintersdale Road is open to good views when looking east from Leicester City, marking a change in the character of the urban area and the start of the Green Wedge. South of the A47 the land is undulating with a more pronounced falling away to the brook which forms the western and southern borders (offering views across Leicester). Due to the slope views into this area are extensive especially from Evington and the wider Green Wedge area to the south. From the A47 views across this area to the arable farmland of the Green Wedge give a feeling of openness. Bushby Brook is marked by a substantial tree/scrub belt.

Area B: The majority of this area is gently undulating farmland; the southern part around Stoughton being more enclosed than the northern section with its open character. However, the land rises relatively steeply from Bushby Brook to meet the edge of Thurnby. Thurnby village stands out as a prominent view from the footpaths in the northern section of the Green Wedge. Stoughton lies on higher ground to the south and views across Leicestershire to the west and north can be seen. Leicester itself is not prominent as it is low lying. Mature trees and healthy hedgerow growth are dominant features throughout the Green Wedge, often limiting the views available. Even though this area is immediately adjacent to Leicester, it is very rural and tranquil in character, with arable farming and horticultural plantations being the predominant land uses. It is a relatively unified landscape and does not appear to be unduly affected by its urban fringe location.

6.1.4 RoW/Public access/Informal access:

Area A: Footpath (ROW D17 runs northwards along edge of field (but not in the field). It is narrow and overgrown although well signposted. There is informal access into the area of woodland off the A47 adjacent to Thurnby.

Area B: This part of the Green Wedge is well served by RoWs, all of which are used regularly and well signposted:

- Footpath D18 Evington to Thurnby;
- Footpath D24 Thurnby south linking to bridleway D14;
- Bridleway D25 Evington eastwards across Green Wedge is also a recognised as a traffic-free cycle route;
- Bridleway D14 Thurnby Lane to Houghton Lane;

• Bridleway D15 Stoughton to Gartree Road.

Other RoWs exist within adjoining Green Wedge areas which can be accessed. Footpath D11 runs from Thurnby into open countryside just beyond the eastern extremity of the current Green Wedge boundary.

National Cycle Network on-road route 63 runs along Stoughton Lane and Gaulby Lane across the Green Wedge.

6.1.5 Historical landscapes and archaeological remains:

Work carried out as part of the Management Strategy found evidence of ridge and furrow earthworks remaining in two fields just south of Thurnby. There are 2 scheduled monuments in Stoughton village (site of a former Manor House and a 14th century cross in the churchyard). There are however numerous Sites and Monuments Record (SMR) sites and references within the Green Wedge with most finds concentrated around Stoughton and along Gartree Road, which marks the general location of the former Roman Road Via Devana. The historic cores of both Thurnby and Stoughton are designated Conservation Areas.

6.1.6 **Ecology**:

The majority of the Green Wedge comprises of large arable fields lined with hedgerows, with the occasional pocket of woodland. There are 3 sites of nature conservation importance at a 'Parish Level', namely:

- Pasture, horse grazed (adjacent toThurnby);
- Buttercup meadow (Thurnby slopes); and
- Dams Spinney (south of Stoughton Lane).

The Phase 1 Habitat Study identified Bushby Brook as wildlife corridor as it is 'likely to support a number of BAP (Biodiversity Action Plan) species, and provide a dispersal corridor for many other species.' The buttercup meadow mentioned above lies adjacent to Bushby Brook.

6.1.7 Minerals and Waste:

Much of the Green Wedge lies within the minerals safeguarding area for gravel and sand resources (Leicestershire Minerals Development Framework: Core Strategy & Development Control Policies up to 2021). In common with the rest of Leicester's urban fringe areas, the Green Wedge is included in the 'Broad Locations for Strategic Waste Management Sites' (Leicestershire Waste Development Framework: Core Strategy and Development Control Policies up to 2021). However, policy WCA13 does give added protection to Green Wedges.

6.1.8 Evidence of development pressures:

Planning applications in the Green Wedge have been limited to those relating to Coles Nursery (miscellaneous ancillary development), Stoughton Lodge Farm (residential development) and ancillary

development in connection with the dwellings along Stoughton Lane and Uppingham Road. The Coles Nursery site north of the A47 is included in the 2010 SHLAA as a potentially deliverable brownfield site with a potential yield of 65 dwellings. Preliminary public consultation on proposals for the site (175 dwellings) has recently taken place. At the time of writing a planning application has not been received.

6.1.9 Flood Risk/Water Management:

A swathe of land along the line of Bushby Brook is classified as being Flood Zone 2 & 3, much of it functional floodplain (3b). Core Strategy policy makes it clear that the use of Flood Zones 2 and 3a for recreation, amenity and environmental purposes will be supported, and land in Flood Zone 3b will be safeguarded from development.

6.1.10 Socio-economic data:

The Green Wedge is not adjacent to any Priority Neighbourhoods.

6.2 Evaluation Table

| Criteria | Does the Green Wedge meet the criteria? |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Preventing the merging of settlements | Area A North of the A47. Yes. Whilst Coles Nurseries part of Green Wedge is no longer primarily open/undeveloped and is more urban in character, the north west corner of the site plays a role in the separation of Leicester City and Thurnby. The dwellings along Uppingham Road and the nursery operation on the majority of the area have eroded the separation between Thurnby and Leicester, which are already joined to the north of the Green Wedge. This means that the limited separation to the north west of the site is of added significance. The greenspace contribution of the Green Wedge north of the A47 is limited to the grazing field. Although not large enough to be considered strategic, this field plays a separation role and marks the transition into the Green Wedge from the west (Leicester). |
| | South of the A47: Yes: From Bushby Brook to the A47 the Green Wedge is open and undeveloped playing a role in preventing the merging of Leicester (Evington) and Thurnby. |
| | Area B Yes: The northern section adjacent to Evington and Bushby Brook contributes to preventing the merging of Evington and Thurnby (south of Bushby Brook). The southern part of the Green Wedge helps to ensure that Stoughton, a rural village, is not encroached upon. Stoughton is the first village |

| | outside the eastern edge of the built up area of the PUA |
|---|--|
| Guiding development form | Area A Yes: In the process of identifying appropriate sites for the level of development set out in the Core Strategy for the Leicester PUA, the Green Wedge (and any recommended changes to it) will ensure that strategic land needed to prevent coalescence and provide greenspace will be safeguarded around Thurnby. In that way the Green Wedge will guide development form to the most appropriate locations. |
| | Area B Yes: The Green Wedge along the slopes of Thurnby is likely to contribute to guiding development form in so far as it will avoid development taking place in an area of high landscape sensitivity, which is open to views from the GW footpaths. Elsewhere development is not planned during the Core Strategy period and therefore the GW will not guide development form. |
| Providing a green "lung" into urban areas | Area A Yes: This part of the GW, particularly south of the A47, constitutes a green corridor in a primarily urban area being almost entirely surrounded by built development. The A 47 however represents a substantial physical barrier to wildlife linkages. |
| | Area B Viewed as a standalone area, Harborough's Green Wedge designation does not penetrate into the urban area. However, when similar neighbouring designations (Leicester/Oadby & Wigston) are taken into account the southern part of the Green Wedge supports this role. |
| A recreational resource | Area A Public access to this part of the GW is very limited with only 1 RoW (running north from the A47). There are no recreational uses other than the PPG17 site (woodland) south of A47 adjacent to Thurnby which is accessed informally. Opportunities to improve the recreational value of the Green Wedge north of the A47 should be sought. |
| | Area B The numerous footpaths, bridleways and cycle routes in this part of the GW mean that it presents the opportunity for residents of Leicester City, Oadby & Wigston and Harborough to access open and tranquil countryside in close proximity to the PUA. However, the potential of the area to contribute further to recreation and public access need to be explored further and encouraged as set out in Core Strategy policy. |

6.3 Summary:

- 6.3.1 While not all parts of this relatively large Green Wedge fulfil all the functions set out in the Core Strategy, taken as a whole it meets all the objectives. The section just south of the A47 plays an important role in preventing the merging of Leicester and Scraptoft. The numerous RoWs and recognised cycle routes mean that the Green Wedge is a recreational resource, contributing to health and wellbeing of surrounding communities. It has however the potential to contribute further, something that the Core Strategy encourages. With the exception of the area to the north of the A47, it remains largely open and undeveloped contributing to maintaining wildlife corridors into the urban area, particularly when adjoining Green Wedges are taken into account.
- 6.3.2 The majority of the Coles Nursery site north of the A47 is not open and undeveloped since it is occupied by garden centre buildings, glass houses and large horticultural polytunnels which appear to be a permanent built feature. There is no justification for continued allocation as Green Wedge.
- 6.3.3 The north west corner of the site however directly adjoins the Leicester City boundary and residential properties at Wintersdale Road Leicester City. To the north of the site are properties at Telford Way Thurnby which have the railway corridor and the Willowbrook playing fields separating them from Leicester. Any development of the Coles Nursery site may therefore prejudice the current function of the Green Wedge in preventing the merging of settlements at the corner of the site with Wintersdale Road.
- 6.3.2 There are some amendments that could be made to detailed boundaries to strengthen the designation and ensure consistency:
 - Remove Coles Nursery and the Uppingham Road properties from the Green Wedge as the area is not open and undeveloped. Should the site be allocated for development, however, provision should be made within the development to retain the existing separation between Leicester City and Thurnby in the north west of the site. This would be best achieved through the maintenance of an area of Green Wedge on the site, allowing for better public access and recreational opportunities which are at present lacking in this part of the Green Wedge;
 - Adjust the north eastern boundary up to the eastern hedgerow so that it has a defensible physical boundary. Land to the eastern extremity of the present allocation (south of Thurnby) is located between the rear of existing development

fronting Uppingham Road and the bottom of a small valley and stream. It narrows to the east and is crossed by a public footpath. However unlike the present allocation which is sloping land away from the ridge, much of the land is level and the slope is confined to a smaller sliver of land on the southern flank of this area. If it is considered that land to the east of the proposed Green Wedge boundary should be allocated for residential development, the Green Wedge allocation should be continued along the southern sloping part of the site in order to guide the form of development. Public access could be secured by the diversion of the current footpath D11 which crosses the site;

- Exclude area of residential ribbon development along Stoughton Lane from Green Wedge reflecting the approach taken to Stoughton and the fact that it is not open and undeveloped. However, the specific site boundary for removing from the Green Wedge should apply to the boundaries of residential development only. Stoughton Lane is bordered by an avenue of mature trees at that point which are in the highway and beyond the curtilage of residential development. The highway land and trees should continue to be identified as within the Green Wedge.
- 6.3.3 The recommended changes to the Green Wedge should ensure that it is robust enough to guide development away from strategically important areas of open and undeveloped land.

6.4 Recommendations:

- 6.4.1 The boundary of the Green Wedge site allocation north of the A47 is recommended in general to exclude land occupied by Coles Nursery and Uppingham Road properties as the site includes significant areas of built development. If on reviewing other site allocations it is considered that land at Coles Nursery/Uppingham Road should be identified for residential development, then there is a case for maintaining Green Wedge allocation across the western/north western part of the site in order to ensure separation between any new development at Thurnby and properties on the edge of Leicester City. This will maximise the open character of the remaining Green Wedge allocation north of the A47 and allow potential for improved public access across this area.
- 6.4.2 The allocated boundary of the Green Wedge south of Thurnby is recommended to include a defensible boundary by being extended to the field boundary as shown on Figure 4. If it is considered that land to the east of this part of the Green Wedge


should be allocated for residential development, it is recommended that the Green Wedge allocation should be continued along the southern sloping part of the site in order to guide the form of development. The current public footpath could be diverted to ensure that the Green Wedge, if extended, has a recreational resource.

- 6.4.3 Amend boundary to exclude Stoughton Lane properties (Figure 5). The highway land and adjacent trees should continue to be identified as within the Green Wedge; and
- 6.4.4 Develop further initiatives to improve public access, recreational opportunities and biodiversity in the Green Wedge where appropriate.

<u>Figure 3: Proposed Amendment 1 to Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge</u> (see 6.4.1)



<u>Figure 4: Proposed Amendment 2 to Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge (see 6.4.2)</u>



<u>Figure 5: Proposed Amendment 3 to Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge (see 6.4.3)</u>



Appendix 1: Core Strategy Green Wedge Policy

Policy CS1: Spatial Strategy for Harborough (extract)

Continue to support in principle the Green Wedge designations in order to prevent the merging of settlements, guide development form and provide access to strategic green space and recreational opportunities around the Leicester urban area;

Policy CS8: Protecting and Enhancing Green Infrastructure (extract)

The Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge to the north of Scraptoft and the Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge to the south of Thurnby will be important elements of Green Infrastructure provision not only for that part of the District but also for communities in neighbouring authorities.

Green Wedges are largely undeveloped areas defined with the aim of:

- Preventing the merging of settlements;
- Guiding development form;
- Providing access from urban areas into green spaces/ countryside; and
- Providing recreational opportunities.

In order to retain the open and undeveloped character of the Green Wedges the following land uses will be acceptable, providing that they are consistent with the above aims:

- Agriculture, horticulture and allotments not accompanied by retail development;
- Forestry;
- Outdoor leisure, sporting and recreation facilities;
- Cycle ways, footpaths and bridleways;

A detailed review of Green Wedge boundaries will take place as part of the Allocations DPD.

Policy CS15: Leicester Principal Urban Area (extract)

The principle of Green Wedges in the Leicester PUA will be maintained. Green Wedges have been identified as the Leicester/ Scraptoft Green Wedge to the north of Scraptoft, and the Thurnby/ Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge to the south and west of Thurnby. The latter is part of a more extensive Green Wedge which extends out from Leicester City and Oadby and Wigston Borough. These Green Wedges contribute to the aims of:

- Preventing the merging of settlements;
- Guiding development form;
- Providing access from urban areas into green spaces/ countryside; and
- Providing recreational opportunities.

Appendix 2: Site Visit Proforma for Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge

Leiester/Scraptoft Green Wedge site visit – 1

Location: Beeby Road layby just north of Scraptoft Time and date of site visit: 27th October 2011 1.00pm

| Land use description | I leavily are ad carioulture | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Land use description | Heavily grazed agricultural pastureland. | | |
| | Natural/semi-improved (check on Habitat Study). | | |
| | Designated as a Local Nature Reserve. Horse grazing at time of survey. Beeby Rd boundary wire | | |
| | | | |
| | fencing (poor condition) and occasional length of | | |
| | hedgerow. Areas of dens | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | bushes/trees to south and west). | | |
| Adjacent land uses (other | Scraptoft village to south, | | |
| than Green Wedge) | 1 | ricultural land in arable use | |
| | | ded area (subject toTPO). | |
| Landscape features (high | | nated by dense scrub with | |
| hedgerows etc) | some mature tree. Views | limited by this growth. | |
| | Views from this point limit | ted by trees/tall hedge | |
| | growth. Although there ar | e very distant views of | |
| | beyond Leicester to south | n west. | |
| Topography | LNR slopes gently from n | orth to the south, falling | |
| | away to the brook on the | , , | |
| | | | |
| Can more than one | | | |
| settlement be seen from | | No | |
| current position? | | | |
| Details | Only Scraptoft residential | development is visible with | |
| | trees/bushes hiding much | of it. Leicester cannot be | |
| | seen from this point. | Total Edicotor carmot be | |
| Perception of distance to | Scraptoft is visible in the near distance to the south | | |
| neighbouring | | influence on the view. No | |
| settlements | other development can be | e seen from here. | |
| Does this part of current | ' | | |
| Green Wedge have a strong | Yes | | |
| defensible boundary? | | | |
| Details | Beeby Road forms easter | rn boundary and southern | |
| | • | ge of Scraptoft. Golf course | |
| | to north and west is part of GW. | | |
| Does an alternative strong | , and the second | | |
| defensible | | No | |
| boundary exist? | | | |
| Details | | I | |
| _ 5.55 | | | |
| Extent of built development | None other than a small brick shed and animal pens. | | |
| within this part of Green | 130110 other than a other briok office and arminal perio. | | |
| Wedge | | | |
| What effects would built | It would represent development in open countryside | | |
| development in this part of | and could threaten the separation between Nether | | |
| Green Wedge have? | Hall (to west) and Scraptoft (to south). | | |
| Does this part of Green | Trail (to west) and sociaptort (to south). | | |
| Wedge provide green open | | | |
| space in a predominantly | | No | |
| space in a predominantly | | INU | |

| urban environment? | | |
|---|---|----|
| Details | It is on the edge of Scraptoft but the village does not exert an urban influence on the area. Nether Hall is not visible from this point. | |
| Does this part of Green Wedge provide recreational opportunities? (including footpaths / cycle ways / bridle paths) | | No |
| Details | None evident. | |
| Can this part of Green Wedge be accessed by the public | | No |
| Details | No access apparent | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | Yes | No |
| Details | N/A | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | N/A | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None visible | |
| Could the integrity and quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the Green Wedge boundaries were moved? | No recreational uses observed but area is a LNR and therefore sensitive. Need to investigate whether there is any informal access. | |

Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge site visit - 2

Location: Golf Club, Beeby Road NE corner of GW Time and date of site visit: 27th October 2011, 1.20pm

| | To 11 | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Land use description | Golf course with club house with associated buildings | | | |
| | and parking. One further residence to south on | | | |
| | Beeby Road. Open practice area of golf course adjacent to LNR with area of scrub/semi-improved | | | |
| | grassland. | | | |
| Adjacent land uses (other | Ü | 2 farms and agricultural farmland (arable) | | |
| than Green Wedge) | | , | | |
| , | | | | |
| Landscape features (high | Views into golf course are | | | |
| hedgerows etc) | | and trees (some native and | | |
| | some non native planting | in association with golf | | |
| Torrange | course) | - Balatana da da Cara | | |
| Topography | Generally quite level with | Generally quite level with slight undulations | | |
| Can more than one | | | | |
| settlement be seen from | | No | | |
| current position? | | | | |
| | There are no views of set | tlements as high hedges | | |
| Details | limit views. | | | |
| | | e as no views from this part | | |
| Perception of distance to | of GW from Beeby Road. | | | |
| neighbouring | | | | |
| settlements | | 1 | | |
| Does this part of current | Yes | | | |
| green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | res | | | |
| defensible boundary: | District boundary/ field margins to north, Beeby Road | | | |
| Details | to east | | | |
| Does an alternative strong | | | | |
| defensible | | No | | |
| boundary exist? | | 140 | | |
| Details | N/A | | | |
| | | | | |
| Extent of built development | Club house and associate | ed buildings and parking. I | | |
| within this part of Green | | y along Beeby Road. Not | | |
| Wedge | intrusive. | | | |
| What effects would built | Would represent develop | ment in the countryside as | | |
| development in this part of | Would represent development in the countryside as not near existing built development | | | |
| Green Wedge have? | Hot hour oxiding built development | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| Does this part of green | | | | |
| wedge provide green open | | | | |
| space in a predominantly | | | | |
| urban environment? | | No | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Details | It is not predominately urban in this part of GW as not adjacent to Scraptoft or Nether Hall | |
|---|---|--------|
| Does this green wedge provide recreational opportunities? (including footpaths / cycle ways / bridle paths) | Yes | |
| Details | Scraptoft Golf Course (pri | vate). |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | Yes | No |
| Details | There is a track running along the north of the Golf Course. Not a right of way. Need to investigate whether it can be used by the public. Nobody seen using it at time of survey | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | Yes | No |
| Details | N/A as no RoW in this part of GW | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | N/A | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None identified | |
| Could the integrity and quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | No. The boundary follows golf course extent. Would make no sense to move GW boundary either beyond or through the golf course. | |

Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge site visit - 3

Location: Hamilton Lane NE part of GW Time and date of site visit: 27th October, 1.50pm

| Land use description | Golf course with heavily wooded/wide hedged (scrub) boundary. Very few views into golf course from Hamilton Lane | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Adjacent land uses (other than Green Wedge) | To west horse grazing paddocks and beyond that Hamilton Community College. To north arable agricultural land | | |
| Landscape features (high hedgerows etc) | Very high hedgerows with plentiful mature native trees (check whether any TPOs in this area). Occasional, rare view into golf course and associated tree planting (some non native) | | |
| Topography | Gently undulating and falling away to south (brook) | | |
| Can more than one settlement be seen from current position? | | No | |
| Details | | n until half way down her Hall starts to the west. | |
| Perception of distance to neighbouring settlements | No perception of distance as views are really limited by excessively tall hedges and tree growth. | | |
| Does this part of current green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | Yes | | |
| Details | Hamilton Lane (which is the District boundary) and the end of the golf course (district boundary) | | |
| Does an alternative strong defensible boundary exist? | | No | |
| Details | | | |
| Extent of built development within this part of Green Wedge | No built development in this part of GW | | |
| What effects would built development in this part of Green Wedge have? | In the very north of the GW it would impinge on open countryside. Further south to east of Hamilton Lane development would threaten the separation of Leicester and Scraptoft | | |
| Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? | Yes | | |
| Details | Certainly it marks and end to the built form when coming from Leicester. However, it cannot really be described as open as no views into it at present. | | |
| Does this green wedge provide recreational | Yes | , | |

| opportunities? (including footpaths / cycle ways / bridle paths) | | |
|---|--|----|
| Details | Only in so far as it is a golf course (private). It is not publically accessible . No RoW through site. | |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | | No |
| Details | Doesn't look like it can be. There is a track indicated on the map but there is a gate preventing access to it from Hamilton Lane. | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | | No |
| Details | N/A as no RoWs | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | N/A | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None | |
| Could the integrity and quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | Hard to tell as no access to golf course to exact of the layout. | |

Leicester/Green wedge site visit - 4

Location: New Romney Crescent, Nether Hall looking southwards Time and date of site visit: 27th October 2011, 2.20pm

| Land use description | Agriculture. Relatively small, wire fenced paddocks of improved and semi-improved grassland with well-vegetated brook running to northern edge along New Romney Crescent. Land under grazing. | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Adjacent land uses (other than Green Wedge) | Residential with Nether Hall to west and Scraptoft to east and south. | | |
| Landscape features (high hedgerows etc) | High hedgerows/numerous mature trees, brook and associated tree/bush growth, Nether Hall Farm on hill top visible from New Romney Crescent | | |
| Topography | Rising from brook to Nether Hall Farm and Nether Hall | | |
| Can more than one settlement be seen from current position? | Yes | | |
| Details | Nether Hall part of Leices Scraptoft although much | ster and the fringes of hidden by trees/bushes etc. | |
| Perception of distance to neighbouring settlements | | dium distant view of rest of | |
| Does this part of current green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | Yes | | |
| Details | The edge of the built up areas of Scraptoft and Nether Hall (Leicester) define the boundary of this part of the GW | | |
| Does an alternative strong defensible boundary exist? | | No | |
| Details | N/A | | |
| Extent of built development within this part of Green Wedge | There is no built development in this part of the GW. Only thing that impinges on the agricultural landscape are field boundaries which are mainly wire/tape. This part of the GW (to the west of Hamilton Lane) has more of an urban fringe character with horse grazing, smaller partitioned fields, show jumping fences etc. Field to east of Hamilton Lane is more pastoral, less urban fringe in character. Views into it are limited however by high hedgerows. | | |
| What effects would built development in this part of Green Wedge have? | Development here would severely reduce the separation between Scraptoft and Leicester (Nether Hall) and raise very real possibility of coalescence. Essential to keep this part of the GW open and undeveloped | | |
| Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? | Yes | | |

| Details | Essentially surrounded by development and views into it are widespread. | |
|---|---|----|
| Does this green wedge provide recreational opportunities? (including footpaths / cycle ways / bridle paths) | Yes | |
| Details | Footpath D26 (RoW) crosses the GW from Nether Hall Farm to Leicester. | |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | Yes | |
| Details | Footpath | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | | No |
| Details | The footpath was not signposted from Hamilton Lane (seemed to be a post but no sign on it). Not obvious that there is a footpath. | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | None | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None | |
| Could the integrity and quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | There is little recreational opportunity at present but there is potential to improve access. | |

Leicester/Scraptoft Green Wedge site visit - 5

Location: Scraptoft Lane Time and date of site visit: 27th October 2011, 2.50pm

| improved grassland. Adjacent land uses (other Residential areas of Scraptoft to east and south | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Agriculture, grazing paddocks. Improved/Semi- improved grassland. | | |
| than Green Wedge) Nether Hall (Leicostor) to west and north GW | Residential areas of Scraptoft to east and south and | | |
| i man Green weage, i nemer han (Leicester) to west and north. Gw | Nether Hall (Leicester) to west and north. GW | | |
| separated from Edith Cole Memorial Garden (F | separated from Edith Cole Memorial Garden (PPG 17 | | |
| open space) to eastern edge by Scraptoft Rise | open space) to eastern edge by Scraptoft Rise. | | |
| Landscape features (high Generally lower hedgerows which allow better | Generally lower hedgerows which allow better views | | |
| hedgerows etc) into GW. Occasional mature trees along hedge | into GW. Occasional mature trees along hedgerows | | |
| and field margins. | and field margins. | | |
| Topography Relatively flat from this viewpoint but falls away | Relatively flat from this viewpoint but falls away to | | |
| north towards brook | | | |
| Can more than one | | | |
| settlement be seen from Yes | | | |
| current position? | | | |
| Details Views again affected trees/hedges Scraptoft ar | Views again affected trees/hedges Scraptoft and | | |
| Leicester can seen | Leicester can seen | | |
| Perception of distance to No long distance views due to trees/hedges. G | No long distance views due to trees/hedges. GW | | |
| neighbouring gives area an open character. | | | |
| settlements | | | |
| Does this part of current | | | |
| green wedge have a strong Yes | | | |
| defensible boundary? | | | |
| | Development associated with Scraptoft and Leicester | | |
| | form the boundary. Check if roads are included in | | |
| | GW (ie. Scraptoft Rise and Scraptoft Lane) | | |
| Does an alternative strong | | | |
| defensible | | | |
| boundary exist? | | | |
| Details N/A | | | |
| Details | IV/A | | |
| Extent of built development None evident other than odd hut/cabin in conn | None evident other than odd hut/cabin in connection | | |
| within this part of Green with keeping of animals | | | |
| Wedge | | | |
| | | | |
| · | Development here would result in the coalescence of | | |
| | Leicester (Nether Hall) and Scraptoft both very | | |
| Change Washington and Laliffornia to the second of the control of | would | | |
| Green Wedge have? different in character. The open feel of the area | be lost. | | |
| be lost. | | | |
| be lost. Does this part of green | | | |
| be lost. Does this part of green wedge provide green open | | | |
| be lost. Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly | | | |
| be lost. Does this part of green wedge provide green open | | | |
| be lost. Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? Yes | | | |
| be lost. Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly | | | |
| be lost. Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? Details be lost. Yes | | | |
| be lost. Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? Details It fulfils this function increasingly as Scraptoft continues to grow eastwards. | | | |

| footpaths / cycle ways / bridle paths) | | |
|---|---|----|
| Details | One footpath crosses the GW at Nether Hall Farm. | |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | Yes | |
| Details | It can be though not well signposted locally. | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | | No |
| Details | Not signposted from Hamilton Lane | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | No seen | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None | |
| Could the integrity and quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | The recreational value of the GW is not good but it has the potential to contribute | |

Appendix 3: Site Visit Proforma for Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green Wedge

Thurnby/Leicester/Oadby Green wedge site visit: 1 Location 1: North of A47 Time and date of site visit: 1.30pm 13th October 2011

| Land use description | Commercial nursery with associated buildings/poly tunnels/ parking area with low plant growing areas on western part of nursery to rear of Uppingham Road properties. Row of detached dwellings front onto A47 (576 – 596 Uppingham Road). Western part of area is agricultural field (PPG17 open space – specified as grazing for horses), no evidence of animals at lime of site visit. Footpath (ROW D17 runs northwards along edge of field (but not in the field) | |
|--|--|---|
| Adjacent land uses (other than Green Wedge) | A47 runs to south of this part of GW and forms physical barrier with rest of GW south of A47. Otherwise area is surrounded by residential development. | |
| Landscape features (high hedgerows etc) | | of field, occasional mature nursery, mainly not native. |
| Topography | Area is predominantly flat but falls away to west towards the valley of Bushby Brook | |
| Can more than one settlement be seen from current position? | Yes | |
| Details | Thurnby, Evington and Thurnby Lodge | |
| Perception of distance to neighbouring settlements | All but western part feels part of the built up area. | |
| Does this part of current green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | | No |
| Details | Other than the paddock, most of the area is impacted by development and there is no clear demarcation between GW and surrounding housing development. | |
| Does an alternative strong defensible boundary exist? | | No |
| Details | Not for the area as a whole. The field to the west is defensible as it is open/undeveloped/green in character with clear boundary. | |
| Extent of built development within this part of Green Wedge | Built development impacts on this part of the GW. The dwellings and the buildings associated with the nursery have the effect of making the area feel urban in nature. | |
| What effects would built development in this part of Green Wedge have? | In terms of its impact on the Green Wedge as a whole development on this part would not affect the functioning. Its character is not open and undeveloped and therefore development would not have such a detrimental impact. The north east corner of the site however directly adjoins the | |

| | Leicester City boundary and residential properties at Wintersdale Road Leicester City. To the north of the site are properties at Telford Way Thurnby which have the railway corridor and the Willowbrook playing fields separating them from Leicester. Development of the Coles Nursery site could prejudice the current function of the GW in preventing the merging of settlements at the corner of the site with Wintersdale Road. | |
|---|---|---|
| Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? | Yes | |
| Details | this part of the GW it do provides green open sp urban area. However th this function particularly (driving from Leicester e evident as open green surban environment north shielded from the A47 a hedges. There are also of the Coles Nursery sit | ace in a predominantly e field to the west does fulfil when viewed from west eastwards the field is space in the predominantly h of A47). The field is and the wider GW by high o views of the western part |
| Does this green wedge provide recreational opportunities? (includingfootpaths / cycle ways /bridle paths) | Yes | |
| Details | A footpath (RoW D17) runs along the eastern edge of the field running from A47 to Wintersdale Road. The footpath is separate from the field however. | |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | | No |
| Details | Whilst there is public access along the footpath, overall there is no other public access to this part of the Green Wedge. | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | | No |
| Details | Whilst the RoW is signposted, it is badly overgrown and very narrow. Opportunities to improve this should be sought. | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | Saw no evidence on site visit of footpath being used. Overgrown nature of RoW would suggest it is not well used. | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None evident from site visit | |
| Could the integrity/quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | N/A as no recreational uses were identified in this part of the Green wedge | |

Location 2: A47 Southwards towards Bushby Brook Time and date of site visit: 2.00pm 13th October 2011

| Land use description | Horticultural land (tree plantation) adjacent to Evington and A47. Lower slopes adjacent to Thurnby agricultural grazing land. Wooded area (PPG17 area) north east corner adjacent to A47 and Thurnby (some of Tree are subject to TPO protection). | |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| Adjacent land uses (other than Green Wedge) | A47 and GW to north. Beyond Bushby Brook to the west is residential area (Evington). To east is Thurnby village (part of which is Conservation Area). To south adjoins the greater GW area. | |
| Landscape features (high hedgerows etc) | Bushby Brook is heavily vegetated with shrub and tree cover (biodiversity value). | |
| Topography | Undulates from A47 then falls away more steeply towards Bushby Brook to west and south. Good view of GW from Evington. | |
| Can more than one settlement be seen from current position? | Yes | |
| Details | Extensive views across valley of Evington and wider Leicester area. Thurnby more hidden by trees at this point. This part of GW separates Thurnby from Evington. | |
| Perception of distance to neighbouring settlements | Extensive views across valley towards Evington in near distance and wider Leicester area beyond. Views also of wider Green Wedge area are evident. | |
| Does this part of current green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | Yes | |
| Details | Bushby Brook is a natural boundary to west. Edge of Thurnby forms western boundary. N.B. A47 forms a barrier to GW to north of the busy road | |
| Does an alternative strong defensible boundary exist? | Yes | |
| Details | N/A | |
| Extent of built development | Hillcrest Cottages (2 in number) adjacent to A47 are | |
| within this part of Green Wedge | the only built development in this part of the Green Wedge. These cottages do not impact adversely on the undeveloped open character of the area. | |
| What effects would built | | this part of the GW would |
| development in this part of Green Wedge have? | impact severely on the open character of the area, views into and through the GW and lead to the coalescence of Thurnby and Evington. | |
| Does this part of green wedge provide green open | Yes | |
| wouge provide green open | 1 | |

| space in a predominantly urban environment? | | |
|---|---|-----|
| Details | Given the built up character of Evington, Thurnby Lodge and Thurnby this area of the GW provides an essential arm of undeveloped, open, green space | |
| Does this green wedge provide recreational opportunities? (including footpaths / cycle ways / bridle paths) | | No |
| Details | None evident from site vis | sit |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | | No |
| Details | No RoWs or informal access identified in this part of GW. | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | Yes | No |
| Details | N/A | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | N/A | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None evident from visit. | |
| Could the integrity and quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | N/A as no direct recreational uses identified. However, views into and beyond area should be factored in to value of the area. | |
| Perception of tranquillity | A47 impacts on the tranquillity of the northern part of this section of the GW | |

Location: 3 – South of Bushby Brook from footpath D18 near Evington Time and date of site visit: 2.50 13^{th} October 2011

| Land use description | Fairly large agricultural fie Bushby Brook to north of | |
|--|--|---|
| Adjacent land uses (other than Green Wedge) Landscape features (high | Evington residential area to west. Thurnby to north east. Otherwise wider countryside/agricultural land High hedgerows separate fields. Mature trees and | |
| hedgerows etc) | shrubs along the edge of | • |
| Topography | Overall land is sloping, ris Brook in north to bridlewa | |
| Can more than one settlement be seen from current position? | Yes | |
| Details | Views of Thurnby on northwest. | hern slopes and Evington to |
| Perception of distance to neighbouring settlements | Sweeping view of Thurnby on northern slopes gives perception of distance. Flatter views towards Evington and beyond – do not impact greatly. | |
| Does this part of current green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | Yes | |
| Details | Edge of Evington built up area is the main boundary in this part of the GW | |
| Does an alternative strong defensible boundary exist? | | No |
| Details | N/A | |
| Extent of built development within this part of Green Wedge | There is no built developr | ment in this part of the GW. |
| What effects would built development in this part of Green Wedge have? | Built development in this part of GW would impinge on quality, open countryside and have a big impact on the integrity and overall function of the GW. The countryside is not urban fringe in character in so far as the change from urban to rural is practically immediate. Would not appear to have any of the problems often associated with urban fringe countryside. | |
| Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? | Yes | |
| Details | | y urban fringe, Evington and close proximity. It provides |

| | immediate access to countryside for nearby residents. | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Does this green wedge provide recreational opportunities? (including footpaths / cycle ways / bridle paths) | Yes | |
| Details | Footpath (RoW D18) links Evington to Thurnby Bridleway (RoW D25) runs from Evington to eastwards linking with other RoWs (D24 to Thurnby/Bushby and D14 southwards) | |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | Yes | |
| Details | Footpaths and bridleways open countryside. | s allow extensive access to |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | Yes | |
| Details | Mainly compacted earth footpaths across arable fields, well signposted with stiles where necessary. | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | Appear to be well used. At time of survey several people were out walking/ jogging/dog walking. | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None evident from survey | |
| Could the integrity and quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | The recreational value of the GW here is based on its access to unspoilt, peaceful countryside with views to Thurnby being especially unique. Any development in this area would invariably impact negatively on these special qualities. | |
| Perception of tranquillity | Very tranquil in character. No noise other than occasional vehicle on local route between Stoughton and Thurnby. No city noise evident. | |

Location: 4 – Layby on Thurnby/Stoughton Road looking northeast/south east Time and date of site visit: $3.10 \mathrm{pm} \ 13^{\mathrm{th}}$ October 2011

| Land use description Adjacent land uses (other than | Fields immediately adjacent in arable use. Views of Thurnby slopes where agricultural fields are generally smaller with a mix of arable and grassland. Small area of woodland on edge of eastern edge of GW. Bridleway to southern edge Thurnby/Bushby to north. Elsewhere agricultural | |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| Green Wedge) | land/open countryside. | <u> </u> |
| Landscape features (high hedgerows etc) | Field boundaries are marked by hedgerows of varying quality. Scattering of mature trees along field boundaries. Bushby Brook runs across the northern part of the area. Excellent views of valley form of Bushby Brook. | |
| Topography | Undulating arable land which falls away in the north to Bushby Brook before rising steeply to edge of Thurnby/Bushby. This sloping land levels out more to the east of the GW south of Thurnby. | |
| Can more than one settlement be seen from current position? | Yes | |
| Details | Thurnby/Bushby/Leices | ter/Houghton on the Hill |
| Perception of distance to neighbouring settlements | Feel as though well out into the countryside with panoramic views across to Thunby/Bushby and Houghton further along the A47. | |
| Does this part of current green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | Yes | |
| Details | For the most part the GW boundary follows field boundaries. Where it does not the boundary takes in wider field margin, skirts the coppice area and cuts across to next available field boundary. | |
| Does an alternative strong defensible boundary exist? | | No |
| Details | GW boundary bisects one field but this is unavoidable as it is an extensive field which extends well into open countryside. It would not be feasible to follow field boundary in this location. The north eastern boundary follows a field boundary that no longer exists. GW needs to be taken east to existing field boundary. | |
| Extent of built development within this part of Green Wedge | There is no built development within this part of the GW | |
| What effects would built development in this part of Green Wedge have? | Development in this area would be in open countryside and would impact greatly on the open, tranquil quality of the area. Any development along the slopes adjacent to Thurnby/Bushby would | |

| | interfere with the panoramic views offered by the GW | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? | Yes | No |
| Details | Whilst not directly providing green open space within the urban environment this part of the GW links with the urban area via RoWs to provide stunning views which are not available from the built up area itself | |
| Does this green wedge provide recreational opportunities? (includingfootpaths / cycle ways /bridle paths) | Yes | |
| Details | Footpath D24 runs from Thurnby south through the area and links in to bridleways D25 (which runs east west across the Green Wedge i.e. from Evington) and D14 which is also within the GW. | |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | Yes | |
| Details | Footpath/bridleway acceases | ess is plentiful as described |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | Yes | |
| Details | Very good. Paths across fields are compacted earth. Well signed with stiles where needed | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | Several people were using the paths on the survey day (dog walking, jogging, walking) | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None evidenced | |
| Could the integrity/quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | This part of the GW offers excellent access to views and tranquil, open countryside which could be threatened if the GW status was removed, especially with regard to the edge of Thurnby/Bushby. | |

Location: 5 – Bridleway D25 southwards Time and date of site visit: 3.40pm 13th October 2011

| Land use description | - | In northern part of the area the fields in use for tree growing. Rest of fields, around Stoughton Lodge | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Adjacent land uses (other than Green Wedge) | Adjacent to residential part of Evington and Judge Meadow College to west. Also adjoins Leicester City's part of the Green Wedge (this includes the playing fields of the college). Stoughton Lodge Farm (residential development) lies in the centre of the area. | | |
| Landscape features (high hedgerows etc) | 2 area of broad leaved woodland lie within the area, one of which is Jones's Spinney. Otherwise hedgerows and occasional mature trees are a feature of the agricultural landscape. Evington Brook runs SE to NW across the area (not as heavily vegetated as Busby Brook however) | | |
| Topography | Broadly undulating farm south west. | nland, falling away slightly to | |
| Can more than one settlement be seen from current position? | Yes | | |
| Details | Evington / Thurnby. Judge Meadow College impacts on view across to Evington | | |
| Perception of distance to neighbouring settlements | There is a perception of distance to Evington and beyond | | |
| Does this part of current green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | Yes | | |
| Details | District boundary define the GW here. | es the Harborough's part of | |
| Does an alternative strong defensible boundary exist? | | No | |
| Details | N/A | | |
| Extent of built development within this part of Green Wedge | The only built development is the residential development associated with Stoughton Lodge Farm. Stoughton village is to south east of the area | | |
| What effects would built development in this part of Green Wedge have? | It would be development in open countryside and impact on rural character of the wider GW area including Leicester and O & Ws areas. It would also impact on the separation between Leicester and Stoughton. | | |
| Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? | | No | |
| Details | | | |

| Does this green wedge provide recreational opportunities? (including footpaths / cycle ways /bridle paths) | Yes | |
|---|---|---|
| Details | | oss the north of the area, |
| | linking with other footpa | |
| | _ | on-road Route 63 follows |
| | the road to the south of Stoughton. | the area from Evington to |
| Can this green wedge be | Yes | |
| accessed by the public | | |
| Details | | Cycle route allow views ideveloped nature of the rural quality and tranquillity |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | Yes | |
| Details | RoWs/Cycle route are well sign posted | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | Footpath use witnessed | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None evident | |
| Could the integrity/quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | No this central part of the GW is essential to its openness and tranquillity. | |

Location: 6 – East of Stoughton Bridleway D14 Time and date of site visit: 4.00pm 13th October 2011

| Land use description | Large agricultural fields around Stoughton village. Smaller improved grassland fields in and adjacent to village. | |
|--|--|---|
| Adjacent land uses (other than Green Wedge) | Leicester Airport adjacent to south east. Otherwise agricultural land (arable and improved/semi-improved grassland). | |
| Landscape features (high hedgerows etc) | Two woodland areas – Dam's Spinney and Brook Spinney. High hedgerows and mature trees are features throughout the area, | |
| Topography | | elatively high position in e GW with the land gently egton Brook to the west. |
| Can more than one settlement be seen from current position? | Yes | |
| Details | Evington and the wider Also Stoughton and Thu | |
| Perception of distance to neighbouring settlements | There are relatively distant views from the higher ground around Stoughton, over Leicester PUA to East Midlands Airport and Ratcliffe on Soar power station. Real perception of distance as a result. | |
| Does this part of current green wedge have a strong defensible boundary? | Yes | |
| Details | Follows district boundary with O&W and Leicester City and field boundaries for the most part. Only one part at boundary with airport does it not follow hedgerow boundary. | |
| Does an alternative strong defensible boundary exist? | | No |
| Details | There is not an obvious alternative boundary at Airport which could be substituted. | |
| Extent of built development within this part of Green Wedge | Stoughton village is located fully within the GW here although the boundary goes round the settlement following limits to development. Ribbon development along Stoughton Road leading out of Evington lies within the GW boundary. | |
| What effects would built development in this part of Green Wedge have? | Development in this part of the Green Wedge would have a detrimental effect not only on Harborough's part of the GW but also on neighbouring authority parts by impacting on open countryside and tranquillity, and on the separation between Stoughton and the Leicester PUA. | |
| Does this part of green wedge provide green open space in a predominantly urban environment? | Yes | |
| Details | Viewing the GW as a wareas) this area provide | hole (across all 3 authority es extended green open |

| | space for the PUA. | |
|---|---|----------------|
| Does this green wedge provide recreational opportunities? (including footpaths / cycle ways /bridle paths) | Yes | |
| Details | The National Cycle Network on-road Route (63) runs along Stoughton Road from Leicester through Stoughton. A bridleway (RoW D15) leads from Stoughton out to the south east of the GW. | |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | Yes | |
| Details | Cycle way and RoW. | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | Yes | |
| Details | RoW well signed and go Cycleway signposted. | ood condition. |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | No evidence on this at s | site visit. |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None evident | |
| Could the integrity/quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | | |

Location: 7 – Stoughton Lane looking east Time and date of site visit: 4.15pm 13th October 2011

| | T | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| Land use description | Large arable agricultural fields to north. Ribbon | | |
| | development comprising detached houses along | | |
| | southern side of Stoughton Lane. Jones Spinney | | |
| | and a further unnamed spinney to north. Brook | | |
| | forms boundary with Leicester City. | | |
| Adjacent land uses (other than | Neighbouring Green Wedges | | |
| | Neighbouring Green Wedges | | |
| Green Wedge) | | | |
| Landscape features (high | Small spinney. Medium height hedgerows with | | |
| hedgerows etc) | occasional mature trees. | | |
| Topography | Land rises from brook at edge of GW, levels out | | |
| | then rises gently to Stoughton. | | |
| Can more than one settlement | | | |
| be seen from current position? | | No | |
| розина | | | |
| Details | Evington (particularly Ju | udgemeadow School) on | |
| 2 5 5 5 11 5 | higher ground to north can be seen from road. | | |
| Perception of distance to | | | |
| | There are relatively distant views across to | | |
| neighbouring settlements | Evington. | T | |
| Does this part of current green | | | |
| wedge have a strong | Yes | | |
| defensible boundary? | | | |
| Details | The District boundary with Leicester City and Oadby and Wigston form the GW boundary here. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Green wedge designations extend beyond administrative boundary. | | |
| Door on alternative atrans | administrative boundary | /. | |
| Does an alternative strong | | No | |
| defensible boundary exist? | | | |
| Details | N/A | | |
| | | | |
| Extent of built development | Residential development extends along much of | | |
| within this part of Green | Stoughton Lane (southside). Other residential | | |
| Wedge | development at Stoughton Lodge Farm impacts | | |
| 1.0.9 | less on the GW. | | |
| What effects would built | Any intensification of development in this area | | |
| development in this part of | | | |
| | would further impact on the openness of this GW. | | |
| Green Wedge have? | | | |
| | | | |
| Does this part of green wedge | | | |
| provide green open space in a | | No | |
| predominantly urban | | INU | |
| environment? | | | |
| | Although there is residential development along | | |
| Details | etails Stoughton Lane, it is not urban in character. However Leicester (Evington) is close by although | | |
| Details | | | |
| | | | |
| Describio anno d | not visible. | | |
| Does this green wedge | | | |
| provide recreational | Yes | | |
| opportunities? (including | 100 | | |
| footpaths / cycle ways /bridle | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | | |

| paths) | | |
|---|--|----|
| Details | The National Cycle Network on-road Route (63) runs along Stoughton Lane and through Stoughton. | |
| Can this green wedge be accessed by the public | | No |
| Details | Only on the on-road cycle route. There are no RoW here. | |
| Are the footpaths and bridleways of a good quality? (signposted, stiles / gates, surface condition etc.) | Yes | |
| Details | Cycleway signposted. | |
| Is there any evidence of the level of use on the footpaths / bridleways? | N/A | |
| What visible informal uses are there? | None evident | |
| Could the integrity/quality of the recreational opportunity be maintained if the green wedge boundaries were moved? | No recreational uses evident | |